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#### Abstract

Knowledge about the sponge fauna from the Mexican Pacific Ocean has increased substantially in recent years, but most of these modern taxonomic studies have been focused on hadromerids. The aim of this study was to contribute to the knowledge of the order Poecilosclerida. At present, seven species of Mycale have been described or recorded from the Pacific coast of Mexico, but only three of them are considered valid: M. contax, $M$. cecilia and M. aff. magnirhaphidifera. After a revision of the material collected during the last eight years throughout the East Pacific coast of Mexico, along with the type material, and the literature available, eight species of Mycale are considered valid, three of them; M. magnitoxa sp. nov., M. dickinsoni sp. nov., and $M$. ramulosa sp. nov., are proposed as new to science. In addition, M. adhaerens is reported for the first time from the Mexican Pacific Ocean. Another Mycale-species that was identified was M. psila, which constitutes its seconLamberd record for the Mexican Pacific Ocean. The systematic, distribution and detailed species descriptions are based on newly collected material and previous descriptions from the literature.
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## Introduction

Knowledge on the sponge fauna from the Mexican Pacific Ocean has increased substantially in recent years
(Gómez et al., 2002; Carballo et al., 2003; Carballo et al., 2004a; Carballo and Cruz-Barraza, 2005, 2006, 2008; Cruz-Barraza and Carballo, 2005, 2006, 2008). Most of these modern taxonomic studies have been focused on hadromerids of the sublittoral and littoral rocky areas, which have yielded a total of 40 valid hadromerid species so far. However, an understanding of the species composition, distribution, and biogeographic and ecologic relationships of the Mexican Pacific fauna is not yet possible, until other diverse sponge groups such as poecilosclerids, haplosclerids, etc., are studied as thoroughly as the hadromerids.

This new contribution to the taxonomy of Pacific sponges is focused on Mycale Gray, 1867, which is characterized by having a complex set of morphologic characters that makes their identification straightforward. Mycale has up to eight categories of microscleres, recognized by their distinct shape, a large variety of skeletal arrangements, and the common presence of different size categories in some spicules (Hajdu and Desqueyroux-Faúndez, 1994). It is one of the most diverse sponge genera, with almost 250 species described in the world (Doumenc and Lévi, 1987; Hajdu, 1999; Van Soest, 2010). This suggests a considerable adaptative radiation (Hajdu and Desqueyroux-Faúndez, 1994; Hajdu et al., 1995; Carballo and Hajdu, 1998).

There were several suggestions to split the genus into subgenera. Dendy (1921), and later De Laubenfels (1936a) proposed a subdivision of Mycale by the presence/absence of some specific microsclere categories. This proposal was controversial and finally was abandoned by a subgeneric classification based on the arrangement of the ectosomal skeleton (Topsent, 1924; Van Soest, 1984; Bergquist and Fromont, 1988). Although currently largely accepted, this scheme of classification was shown to be plastic at the species level,
for example $M$. (A.) carmigropila is a species that shows ectosomal skeletal patterns of the subgenus Aegogropila and Carmia (Hajdu and Rützler, 1998), as well as incongruence with the distribution of some microscleres types (see Carballo and Hajdu, 1998). Recently, a preliminary phylogenetic analysis of the genus Mycale using molecular data confirmed that Mycale is a monophyletic group within Poecilosclerida, and the presence of palmate anisochelae is a valid taxonomic character to identify this taxon; however, the study also suggested that the phylogenetic relationships of Mycale sub-genera may have to be reassessed (Loh et al., 2010). Nevertheless, until a molecular phylogenetic classification of Mycale can be established, it is preferable to continue using the current classification scheme based on sub-genera for a genus as diverse as Mycale to avoid unnecessary confusion.

At present, seven species have been described or recorded from the Pacific coast of Mexico but only three of them are valid; Mycale contax Dickinson,

1945, M. cecilia De Laubenfels, 1936 and M. aff. magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984. The aim of this study was to contribute to the knowledge of the order Poecilosclerida from the Eastern Pacific Ocean. Two goals were set for the genus Mycale: first, to review the type material of some species cited in the area, and second, to describe all the material collected.

## Material and methods

One hundred and sixty specimens of Mycale were collected by scuba diving and snorkeling in sixty-three localities from the Pacific coast of Mexico (Fig. 1).

Spicule preparation followed the techniques we described in previous work for light and electron microscopy (SEM). The pictures of microscleres were taken by means of a scanning electron microscope for which clean spicules were dried on a cover glass and coated with gold. Twenty five or more spicules chosen at


Fig. 1. Distribution and sample localities of Mycale-species from the Mexican Pacific Coast. Numbers refer to different species as follow: (1) Mycale (Aegogropila) magnitoxa sp. nov., (2) M. (A.) dickinsoni sp. nov., (3) M. (A.) adhaerens (Lambe, 1894), (4) M. (Carmia) contax Dickinson, 1945, (5) M. (C.) aff. magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984, (6) M. (C.) cecilia de Laubenfels, 1936, (7) M. (Paresperella) psila (de Laubenfels, 1930), (8) M. (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov.
random were measured for each of the specimens studied. The number between brackets in some descriptions is the average.

Frequency distributions of spicule size (histogram) were used to assess whether there was more than one size class. In general, a histogram is said to be multimodal if it has more than one peak.

The material studied came from the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (NHMLAC), where it is currently deposited the Allan Hancock Foundation (AHF) collection of sponges; material also came from the Natural History Museum of London (BMNH). The Mexican material has been deposited in the Colección de Esponjas del Pacífico Mexicano (LEB-ICML-UNAM), of the Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, UNAM, in Mazatlán (Mexico), in the Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales in Madrid (Spain) (MNCN), and in the British Museum of Natural History (BMNH) (London).

Sponge-specific terms are used according to BouryEsnault and Rützler (1997).

## Results

## Systematic part

Subgenus Aegogropila Gray, 1867
Mycale (Aegogropila) magnitoxa sp. nov.
Mycale (Aegogropila) dickinsoni sp. nov.
Mycale (Aegogropila) adhaerens (Lambe, 1894)
Subgenus Carmia Gray, 1867
Mycale (Carmia) aff. magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984
Mycale (Carmia) cecilia De Laubenfels, 1936
Mycale (Carmia) contax Dickinson, 1945
Subgenus Paresperella Dendy, 1905
Mycale (Paresperella) psila (De Laubenfels, 1930)
Subgenus Zygomycale Topsent, 1930
Mycale (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov.
The results consist of species descriptions, which can be found in the Appendix.

## Discussion

On Mycale diversity
The Mexican Pacific coast extends for more than 8475 kilometers. Currently, the knowledge of some groups
of Porifera in this vast coast such as hadromerids is similar to what has been studied in the Caribbean Sea, the Mediterranean Sea or the northeastern Atlantic Ocean. However, other diverse sponge groups such as poecilosclerids are scarcely known.

Before this study, seven species of Mycale had been described or recorded from the Pacific coast of Mexico; Mycale (C.) fascibibula (as Carmia fascifibula), M. (A.) contax (as Carmia contax), Mycale cecilia, M. angulosa, M. aff. magnirhaphidifera, M. parishi and Oxymycale paradoxa. However, after this research, only M. contax , M. cecilia and M. aff. magnirhaphidifera have been considered valid species.

After exhaustively sampling the Mexican Pacific during the last few years, we have a good collection of Mycale, which yielded eight valid species: three species belonging to the subgenus Aegogropila - Mycale magnitoxa sp . nov, M. dickinsoni sp. nov. and M. adhaerens; three species belonging to the subgenus Carmia - M. aff. magnirhaphidifera, M. cecilia and M. contax; one species of Paresperella - M. psila; and one species of Zygomycale - M. ramulosa sp. nov.

Mycale (Oxymycale) paradoxa (De Laubenfels, 1935) is not considered a valid species here. Hajdu (1994) revised slides from the type material and found it was not a mycalid at all, but a composite of what De Laubenfels (1935) called Topsentia glabra (Topsent, 1898) contaminated by spicules of Mycale bellabellensis (Lambe, 1905). Hajdu (1994) also found out that T. glabra, sensu De Laubenfels (1935) was actually a Myrmekioderma.

Besides the eight species described in this paper, at least two more different species could inhabit Mexican waters (Pacific Ocean). The anisochelae of Mycale bellabellensis that Hajdu (1994) found when he revised the holotype of $O$. paradoxa were depicted under SEM convincingly enough to tentatively include it in the list of Mexican species (Fig. 2A-B). In addition, some particular anisochelae with the head and foot of a similar length, and with a wide space between both terminations have been observed in the slides made from the type material of Mycale (A.) dickinsoni sp. nov. (Fig. 2C). These spicules aren't proper of this sponge since they belong to a clearly delimited species group within Mycale (Mycale) called 'curved assemblage' (see Hajdu et al., 1995). In the East Pacific Ocean there are two species of this group; M. (M.) darwini Hajdu and Desqueyroux-Faúndez, 1994 from the Galapagos (Hajdu and Desqueyroux-Faúndez, 1994), and M. (M.) toporoki Koltum from California (USA) (Lee et al., 2002). However, until fresh material is


Fig. 2. SEM images of Mycale spicules found contamining Mexican pacific species. A-D spicules from Hajdu (1994). A, B, Anisochelae I and II respectively, found by Hadju contaminating Myrmekioderma sp. (de Laubenfels, 1935) and the type of Oxymycale paradoxa; C, D, Images of anisochelae I and II of Mycale bellabellensis (Lambe, 1905; sensu de Laubenfels 1932) for comparison purpose (from Hajdu 1994); E, Anisochelae found contamining the specimen type of Mycale dickinsoni.
collected, a specific identification of the Mexican material will not be possible.

The diversity of Mycale-species in the Mexican Pacific Ocean (10 species?), together with the five species reported in the Mexican Caribbean and Gulf coasts: $M$. (A.) arndti Van Soest, 1984, M. (Arenochalina) laxissima (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1864), M. (C.) microsigmatosa Arndt, 1927, M. (Z.) angulosa (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1864), and M. (M.) laevis (Carter, 1882) (Gómez and Green, 1984; Green et al., 1986; Lehnert, 1993, and other authors), comprise a total of 15 species, which is a diversity similar to that of Brazil where 15 species are currently known (see Hajdu et al. 1994, 1995). Only the Caribbean, which has been profusely studied, presents a higher diversity of Mycale (17 species, Hajdu and Rützler, 1998), although particular areas, such as the mangroves on the barrier reef of Belize have yielded only eight species. In the South American coast of the Pacific Ocean, largely unexplored for sponges, only seven species are known so far (Hajdu and Desqueyroux-Faúndez, 1994).

Thus, we can consider that the Mycale fauna of Mexico is highly diverse, with at least 15 species known, mostly from shallow water, for which is expected that the study of deep fauna will yield more species.

## Regarding the presence of the Caribbean M. aff. mag-

 nirhaphidifera in the east Pacific OceanFrom the ten species found in Mexican Pacific waters, only one, $M$. (C.) aff. magnirhaphidifera is known in the West Atlantic.

The possible amphiamericanism of $M$. (C.) magnirhaphidifera is difficult to explain. Currently, the Isthmus of Panama represents a barrier of fresh water across
what was once a large neotropical marine environment approximately three million years ago (Coates and Obando, 1996; Craig et al., 2004). However, the two freshwater lakes that the boats have to cross have proved insufficient as a fresh-water barrier (Jones and Dawson, 1973). Several species of marine fishes have survived during the crossing through the canal (McCosker and Dawson, 2004), and several invertebrates were found in the locks when they were drained for cleaning, which show that they have been transported through the canal by associating with fouling material on the underside of ships (Hildebrand, 1939). In fact, morphologically similar invertebrate species from the Atlantic and the Pacific are presently found on both sides of the Isthmus of Panama (Weinberg and Starczak, 1989; Knowlton and Mills, 1992; Knowlton et al., 1993).

If we consider the presence of $M$. (C.) magnirhaphidifera in the East Pacific Ocean as an invasion, this means that this species survived in fresh water during a period required for a ship to cross of the canal (close to 8 hours (McCosker and Dawson, 1975). The influence of the salinity, in the particular case of marine sponges, has been documented only in a few cases, which show that some marine sponges, particularly clionaids, can live in a salinity of 1.5-2.0, and they can also recover from several days of exposure to salinities as low as 1 (Hopkins, 1956; Hartman, 1958). The presence of some Caribbean Clionids species in the East Pacific Ocean such as C.amplicavata or C.flavifodina could have this explanation (Carballo et al., 2004a), but the confirmation that some sponges can survive during the crossing is supported by the presence of the sponge Haliclona permollis in the locks when they were drained (Hildebrand, 1939). Currently, the true identity of this species is uncertain because $H$.permollis is a junior synonym of Haliclona (Reniera) cinerea,
which is not yet reported reliably from the NW Atlantic (Porifera DataBase), but whatever species it may be its presence shows that it has been transported through the canal by associating with fouling material on the underside of ships. The mechanism by which sponges can endure low salinity has not been studied enough, but it is known that the species Microciona prolifera occurs naturally in salinities ranging from 7 to 38 (De Laubenfels, 1947) and uses free amino acids for osmotic compensation (Knight et al., 1992). Even though there are species that can support several hours in emersion (Carballo et al., 2004b).

However, despite the possibility that $M$. aff. magnirhaphidifera has survived the crossing of the Panama Canal, it is not possible to decide whether Pacific M. magnirhaphidifera has recently been introduced into the Pacific, or on the contrary, it forms part of a sister-species pair which was separated together with the closure of the natural water way between the Caribbean and the eastern Pacific. De Laubenfels (1936b) found a large group of similar species that lived in both coasts of Panama, which suggested that they may have been introduced from one to the other side through the canal. Independently of the true identity of the De Laubenfels' records, when putative transisthmian populations of the sponge Spirastrella cf. mollis were studied using allozyme, morphological, and cytological data together, it was found that in reality they were two divergent lineages; the Caribbean lineage, which was named S. hartmani, and the Pacific lineage, which named S. sabogae (Boury-Esnault et al., 1999).

In conclusion, given the limited number of morphological characters available to study marine sponges, it is not possible to decide whether Pacific M. aff. magnirhaphidifera is an invasive species in the Pacific, or if it is part of a sibling species. Such facts will only be demonstrated if we combine the classical methods of morphological measurement with the extensive use of genetic approaches.
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## Appendix

Identification key
1 Ectosomal skeleton specialization ..... 2
No ectosomal skeleton specialization (Carmia) ..... 6
2 Isochelae present (Zygomycale)
Mycale (Z.) ramulosa
Isochelae absent ..... 3
3 Serrated sigmas (Paresperella)
Mycale (Paresperella) psila
Not Serrated sigmas (Aegogropila) ..... 4
4 Sigmas absent ..... M. (A.) dikinsoni
Sigmas present ..... 5
5 Toxas absent

$\qquad$
M. (A.) adhaerens Toxas present M. (A.) magnitoxa
6 Raphidotoxas presentM. (C.) aff. magnirhaphidiferaRaphidotoxas absent7
7 Toxas present M. (C.) contaxToxas absentM. (C.) cecilia


Fig. 3. External morphologies. A, Mycale (Aegogropila) magnitoxa sp. nov.; B, Mycale dickinsoni sp. nov.; C, Mycale adhaerens (Lambe, 1894); D, Mycale magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984.

## Descriptions of the species

Suborder Mycalina Hajdu, Van Soest and Hooper, 1994

Family Mycalidae Lundbeck, 1905
Genus Mycale Gray, 1867
Subgenus Aegogropila Gray, 1867
Mycale (Aegogropila) magnitoxa sp. nov.
(Figs 3A, 4)
Material examined. Holotype: MNCN 1.01/631, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 7 \mathrm{~m}$, 3.x.2003. Paratypes: BMNH 2010.11.01.1. LEB-ICML-UNAM-388, Punta Santiago (Colima), $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 41 " \mathrm{~N}$, $104^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 16 . x i .2001$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-632, Conchas Chinas (Jalisco), 20 $35^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 8 . x .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-730, puente Maviri (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2$
m, 14.xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-824, antiguo corral del risco (Nayarit), $20^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}$, 11.vi.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-870, Chacala (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 12$.vi.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-904, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 7 \mathrm{~m}, 3 . x .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-934, Isla HermanoSur (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ W, $6 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . x .2003$.LEB-ICML-UNAM-953, Isla Hermano Norte (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}$, 24.x.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1067, Isla El Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, $9 \mathrm{~m}, 2 . \mathrm{ix} .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1199, Submarino (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 18 \mathrm{~m}$, 5.iii.2005. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-1353, faro San Rafael (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 9 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . x .2006$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1494, Isla Venados (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . i i i .2007$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-1539, Cabo San Lucas (Baja California Sur), $22^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1.5 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . x .2007$.

Table 1. Distribution and comparative data for the dimensions of spicules (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) of Mycale (Aegogropila) magnitoxa sp. nov. specimens. Values in parentheses are means.

| material examined | mycalostyles <br> length $\times$ width; <br> head width | toxas length | anisochelae length | sigmas length | locality |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| holotype |  |  |  |  |  |
| MNCN 1.01/631 |  $225-(239)-255 \times$ I: 242.5-(273)-295 I: 35-(38.7)-42.5 I: 90-(101)-122.5 Isla Lobos <br>  $2.5-(4.3)-5 ; 3-(4.5)-5$ II: 72.2-(121.5)-145 II: 20-(20.7)-22.5 II: 28.8-(32)-35 Sinaloa <br>    III: 11-(5)-15   |  |  |  |  |

paratypes

| BMNH 2010.11.01.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 388-LEB-ICML-UNAM | 206-(237.5)-257.5 $\times$ | I: 187-(247.4)-352.5 | I: 30-(34.9)-45 | 90-(97.6)-117.5 | P. Santiago |
|  | 2.5-(4.6)-7; 3-(5.4)7.5 | II: 102.5-(120.3)-142.5 | II: 17-(19.5)-21.3 |  | Colima |
|  |  |  | III: 10-(12.3)-16.3 |  |  |
| 632-LEB-ICML-UNAM | 205-(237.1)-257.5× | I: 242.5-(270.2)-295 | I: 30-(36.6)-45 | I: $80-(98)-120$ | Conchas |
|  | 2.5-(3.8)-5; 3-(4.5)-5 | II: 57.5-(118.7)-157.5 | II: 18.8-(20.3)-22.5 | II: 28.7-(32)-35 | Chinas |
|  |  |  | III: $11.5 \mathrm{n}=1$ |  | Jalisco |
| 824-LEB-ICML-UNAM | 177.5-(194)-210 $\times$ | I: 210-(263)-287 | I: 28-(29.3)-32.5 | I: 90-(95)-105 | Antiguo |
|  | 2.5-(2.9)-4; 3-(4.2)-5 | II: 62.5-(82)-125 | II: 17.5-(21)-25 | II: 25-(27.5)-30 | Corral del |
|  |  |  | III: 12.5-(13.5)-15 |  | Risco, Nayarit |
| 870-LEB-ICML-UNAM | 177-(237.5)-285 $\times$ | I: 200-(249.3)-350 | I: 35-(38.8)-42.5 | I: 70-(94.5)-110 | Chacala |
|  | 2-(4)-5; 2-(4)-5 | II: 60-(111)-145 | II: 18.7-(21.2)-22.5 | II: 25-(28.7)-31.2 |  |
|  |  |  | III: 12.5-(13.5)-15 |  |  |
| 904-LEB-ICML-UNAM | 232.5-(263)-290 $\times$ | I: 281.5-(301)-325 | I: $35-(39.4)-47.5$ | 87-(96.3)-112.5 | Isla Lobos |
|  | 2.5-(4.5)-7; 3-(4.7)-7 | II: 62.5-(97.3)-150 | II: 20-(21.5)-23 <br> III: 12.5-(13)-15 | II: $35 \mathrm{n}=1$ | Mazatlán |
| 953-LEB-ICML-UNAM | 190-(250)-277.5 $\times$ | I: $290-(320)-345$ | I: 32.5-(37.1)-40 | I: 87.5-(93.8)-105 | Hermano norte |
|  | 1.3-(4.5)-5; 2-(4.1)-5 | II: 127.5-(138)-150 | II: 18.8-(20.6)-22.5 | II: $37 \mathrm{n}=1$ | Mazatlán |
|  |  |  | III: 12.5-(13.3)-15 |  |  |

LEB-ICML-UNAM-1589, Las Monas, Isla Isabel (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . \mathrm{ix} .2007$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1591, Isla Redonda, Islas Marietas (Nayarit), $20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 31^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 10 . i v .2002$.

Description. Thin sheet to thick cushion-shaped sponge, 0.4 to 1 cm in thickness, which may extend 12 cm or more on rock surface (Fig. 3A). Alive the sponge is light orange to light red. It is light brown in alcohol. The surface is smooth, and pierced by small pores 200$500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, giving it a punctate appearance clearly visible through the transparent dermal membrane, which is detachable in parts. The pores bear many sieve-like ostia, 80 to $110 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. The surface in situ also shows a clear vein pattern of superficial canals, 0.5 to 3 mm in diameter, that lead to slightly elevated small contracted oscules, $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. Consistency is soft, but firm upon preservation in alcohol. Alive the sponge exudes some slime.

Spicules. The species bears mycalostyles as megascleres, and three types of microscleres: anisochelae, sigmas and very long toxas (Table 1). The mycalo-
styles are straight or slightly curved, sharp and thicker towards the middle of the spicule (Fig. 4A), and with a more or less pronounced head that varies between individuals (Fig. 4B). Measurements: 177-290 $\times$ 2-7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Head diameter: from 2.5 to $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Anisochelae of three sizes (Fig. 4D-F). I) 28-42 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, with a palmate head that is ca. $62 \%$ of total length of the spicule. This category forms rosettes in the ectosome. II) 18.7-25 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, with palmate head ca. $53 \%$ of total length of the spicule. III) 11.5-15 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, palmate head ca. $56 \%$ of total length of the spicule. Sigmas are ' $C$ ' shaped in two size classes. The larger are numerous, robust, $70-120 \times 6-8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 4 G ). Smaller and fine sigmas are $25-35 \times 1.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, thinly scattered and very slender, and consequently sometimes hard to find. They were not found in some specimens.

Toxas of two types (Fig. 4C): the longest with small central bend, $187-352.5 \times 2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The shorter is deeply curved $60-157.5 \times 1.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Skeleton. Plumoreticulate. The ectosomal skeleton is a tangential, triangular or polygonal meshed reticu-


Fig. 4. LM and SEM images of spicules of Mycale (Aegogropila) magnitoxa sp. nov. A, Mycalostyles; B, Mycalostyles' end detail; C, Toxa-I (larger) and Toxa-II (smaller); D, Anisochelae I, side and face views; E, Anisochelae II, side and face views; F, Anisochelae III, side view; G, Sigma; H, Tangential view of ectosomal reticular skeleton; I, Transversal view of choanosomal structure.
lation ( 45 a $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide) of multispicular fibers of mycalostyles ( 20 to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness) (Fig. 4H). In some areas it is confused, and with single scattered megascleres. It is easily detachable. Anisochelae I form rosettes in the ectosome. The choanosomal skeleton consists of plumose bundles of megascleres (80$110 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) ending at the surface (Fig. 4I). Spongin reinforces the skeletal fibres to a varying degree. Microscleres scattered throughout choanosome.

Distribution and habitat. Sea of Cortés (Mexican Pacific Ocean). Baja California Sur, Sinaloa, Nayarit, Jalisco and Colima, on rocks, from the intertidal to 18 m depth (Fig. 1).

Etymology. The proposed name magnitoxa alludes to the large size of their toxas.

Taxonomic remarks. Only two species of Mycale (Aegogropila) are known in the Northeastern Pacific: M. (A.) adhaerens (Lambe, 1893) (see next species) and M. (A.) bamfieldensis Reiswig and Kaiser, 1989. Nevertheless, these two species are clearly different from M. (A.) magnitoxa sp. nov.; the first one has no toxas, and $M$. (A.) bamfieldense differs from the new species by possessing micracanthoxeas and by having much smaller toxas (19-70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).

In the West Atlantic and Caribbean there are three species of the subgenus Aegogropila with long toxas; M. (A.) arndti Van Soest 1984 from the Caribbean, which has sigmas in three categories, and toxas up to $85 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (Hajdu and Rützler, 1998), M. (A.) escarlatei Hadju et al., 1995 from the Western Tropical Pacific, with microancanthoxas and toxas in two categories up to $140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (Hadju et al., 1995), and M. (A.) lilianae which posses the longest toxas ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) but clearly differs from $M$. (A.) magnitoxa sp. nov. by the presence of micracanthoxeas.

The closest NE Atlantic species to M. (A.) magnitoxa is M. (A.) contarenii (Martens, 1824).M. (A.) contarenii is a yellow, yellowish green, ochrous or orangegreen massive, semi-encrusting and occasionally lobate sponge, with a surface typically covered by small conules (Van Soest and Hajdu, 2002), while M. (A.) magnitoxa sp. nov., is a red, or light red encrusting sponge with a smooth even surface. This morphological overview distinguishes the two species easily, but these species also differ in their spicules. Toxas are much longer ( $77 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) in M. (A.) magnitoxa sp. nov. than in M. (A.) contarenii ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and the toxas of $M$. (A.) contarenii have a widely extended central bend. The larger sigmas of $M$. (A.) contarenii are generally shorter than $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, while M. (A.) magnitoxa sp. nov. presents sigmas usually longer than $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Mycale (Aegogropila) dickinsoni sp. nov. (Figs 3B, 5)

Carmia fascifibula sensu Dickinson, 1945: 25 [not Esperella fascifibula Topsent, 1904]

Material examined. Holotype, here designed, material of Carmia fascifibula Dickinson, 1945 [L35661 D59: NHMLAC] (several fragments), R. V. Velero, Sta. 557-36, Isla Partida, Sea of Cortés, 45 m , 3.viii. 1936.

Description. Encrusting to cushion-shaped sponge, up to 1.7 cm in thickness. The largest fragment examined measured 2.9 cm long by 1.2 cm wide. Surface is smooth but uneven, and consistency is soft. Subectosomal canals 0.25 to 0.4 mm in diameter sculpture the surface. Small pores from 33 to $67 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter are scattered over the surface. Circular or oval shaped oscules, from 0.7 to 1 mm in diameter. Ectosomal membrane is easily detachable (Fig. 3B).

Spicules. The species present mycalostyles, anisochelae in three categories, and rhaphides. Mycalostyles are straight or slightly curved, with a relatively abrupt point (Fig. 5A). Measurements: 370-435 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times$ $7-14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with elongate undeveloped tyle, sometimes as true styles (Fig. 5B).

Anisochelae I) $55-65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, grouped into rosettes in the surface. They are robust, with the shaft curved in profile view, moderately stout, palmate head ca. $56 \%$ of total length, characteristically with the frontal alae of equal length as the lateral ones (Fig. 5C). Anisochelae II) 25 to $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, with slender shaft, and with palmate head $65 \%$ of total length (Fig. 5D). Anisochelae III) 10-20 long, slender, with a slightly curved shaft, head $40-45 \%$ of total length (Fig. 5E). Rhaphides $20-38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long by 3.8 to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick, mostly grouped into trichodragmata. (Fig. 5F).

Skeleton. The estosomal skeleton consists of a reticulation of tracts of mycalostyles (50 a $90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick) forming triangular meshes ( 200 to $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) clearly visible to the naked eye (Fig. 3B). Many individual subtylostyles and microscleres are scattered among the tracts and in the organic ectosome. Rosettes of anisochelae I are also present in the ectosome. Choanosomal tracts 100-200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diameter end in brushes carrying the ectosome (Fig. 5G).

Distribution. The species is only known from Isla Partida (Sea of Cortés, Mexican Pacific Ocean) (Fig. 1), at 45 m depth.

Etymology. The species is named after Malcolm Gibson Dickinson, one the first person in studying


Fig. 5. LM and SEM images of spicules of Mycale (Aegogropila) dickinsoni sp. nov. A, Mycalostyles; B, Mycalostyles' heads detail; C, Typical anisochelae I; D, Anisochelae II; E, Anisochelae III; F, trichodragmata; G, Transversal view of choanosomal structure.
the sponges from the Sea of Cortés.
Remarks. Dickinson (1945) indentified a specimen collected in Isla Partida (Sea of Cortés) as Carmia fascifibula (Topsent, 1904). However, by having sigmas, M.fascifibula (Topsent, 1924), a valid species from the Azores, is clearly different from Dickinson's material (Topsent, 1924). Thus, Mycale (Aegogropila) dickinsoni sp . nov. is proposed here as a new species for the material from México.

Although M. fascifibula sensu Dickinson (1945) has the typical tangential reticulum of spicules forming meshes, usual for the subgenus Aegogropila, he assigned his specimens to the genus Carmia because De Laubenfels (1936a) had previously synonymized Aegogropila with Carmia, moving all the Mycale-species with toxas to Carmia. However, M. fascifibula sensu Topsent is well placed in the subgenus Carmia (Topsent, 1904), in view of its lack of a tangential reticulation of spiculo-fibres.

Mycale (A.) dickinsoni sp. nov. is a clearly defined species, and there is no species in the subgenus Aegogropila matching its description (see remarks in the previous species Mycale (A.) magnitoxa sp. nov.). Probably, the only Mycale (Aegogropila) with a similar spicular complement is Mycale (A.) tunicata (Schmidt, 1862) from the Mediterranean Sea. This species also has anisochelae in three categories, and trichodragmas of similar size, but there are some important micromorphological differences among their microscleres. For example, the head of the anisochelae

II of M. (A.) dickinsoni sp. nov. presents an extremely long frontal alae almost fusing with the foot, and the lateral alae is characteristically elongated in a profile view (Fig. 5D), which are characteristics not present in M. (A.) tunicata. In addition, the external morphology is also different; $M$ (A.) tunicate consists of a massive base, from which irregular digitate processes arise, and in constrast $M$. (A.) dickinsoni is an encrusting to cushion-shaped sponge.

Mycale (Aegogropila) adhaerens (Lambe, 1893)
(Figs 3C, 6)
Esperella adhaerens Lambe, 1893: 27; Lambe, 1894: 133
Mycale adhaerens.- Hentschel, 1929: 931; De
Laubenfels, 1961: 198; Bakus, 1966: 446
Material examined. LEB-ICML-UNAM-77, Peña de la Virgen (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$, 22.xi.1999. LEB-ICML-UNAM-221, Isla Tunosa (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . \mathrm{vi}$. 2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-242, Isla Tunosa (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . \mathrm{vi} 2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-326, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 26.1 .2001$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-415, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ 49 "N, $106^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 17 . x .2001$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-533, antiguo muelle de atraque (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 23 . \mathrm{iv} .2002$. LEB-

ICML-UNAM-658, Cerro San Carlos (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-680, Isla Tunosa (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-796, Mismaloya (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 56.22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 9 . v i .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-903, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 7 \mathrm{~m}, 3 . x .2003$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-1073 Estero el Bichi (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . \mathrm{iv} .2004$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1348 Isla Venados (Sinaloa) $23^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} 0^{\prime} 75^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . \mathrm{v} .2006$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1587, cerro de la Virgen (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 2 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$.

Description. Mycale adhaerens is a light orange to yellow-brown encrusting sponge (Fig. 3C), 1.3 to 5 mm thick, spreading across small surfaces ( $3 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2$ cm ). In alcohol it is white, almost transparent. Consistency is soft and fragile. The surface is evenly smooth,
sculptured by meandering and bifurcating subectosome canals, from 0.2 to 1.5 mm in diameter, radiating from slightly elevated oval-circular oscules, 1.6 to 4 mm in diameter. Surface with abundant, scattered pore areas from 0.25 to 1.5 mm in diameter. The pores bear many ostia, 100 to $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter. Alive the sponge exudes some slime. The ectosomal skeleton is easily detachable in the dry specimens.

Spicules. Megascleres are mycalostyles, and the microscleres are anisochelae in two categories and sigmas (Table 2).

The mycalostyles are usually straight, occasionally slightly curved, with a short acerate point (Fig. 6A). They measure $180-365 \times 2-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The head may be that of a typical style, or may tend to be that of a subtylostyle (Fig. 6B). The head diameter is from 2.5 to $11.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Anisochelae I) 27-48 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length, with a palmate head ca. $50 \%$ of total length of the spicule (Fig. 6C). Anisochelae II) 8.5 to $27 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, with a palmate

Table 2. Distribution and comparative data for the dimensions of spicules (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) of Mycale (Aegogropila) adhaerens Lambe, 1984 specimens, with to other Pacific Ocean specimens. Values in parentheses are means.

| material examined | mycalostyles <br> length $\times$ width; head width | sigmas length | anisochelae length | distribution area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-77 | 180-(305.3)-365 $\times 2.5-(7.9)-10 ; 2.5-(7.3)-11.3$ | 50-(64)-70 | I: 37.5-(41.5)-45 <br> II: 10-(17)-27 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-221 | 180-(265.3)-325 $\times 3-(6.5)-10 ; 5-(6.7)-8$ | 52.5-(58.9)-67.5 | $\text { I: } 30-(45.5)-51.2$ $\text { II: } 15-(19)-25$ | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-242 | 180-(291.5)-325 $\times 2.5-(8.5)-10 ; 6.3-(7.4)-8.8$ | 47.5-(59.1)-72.5 | I: 27.5-(34.8)-47.5 <br> II: 12.5-(16.5)-20 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-326 | 252-(318.3)-362.5 $\times 2-(5)-7.5 ; 25-(4.8)-7.5$ | 55-(60.8)-67.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 35-(38.6)-42.5 \\ & \text { II: } 8.5-(15.5)-20 \end{aligned}$ | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-533 | 285-(333)-362 $\times 3-(7)-8.8 ; 3.8-(5)-7.5$ | 52.5-(63.6)-72 | I: $37-(41.5)-45$ <br> II: 12-(15)-21.3 | Mexican Pacific |

specimens of Mycale (Aegogropila) adhaerens Lambe, 1984 from the literature

| Lambe 1894 | $242-324 \times 13$ | 32-78 | I: 19-32 | Canada |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | II: small no measures |  |
| Lambe 1894 | 315-369 $\times 13 \mu$ | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 72 \\ & \text { II: 19-32 } \end{aligned}$ | Canada |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Hoshino 1891 | 440-(485)-545 × 8-(10)-12 | 40-70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 55 \\ & \text { II: } 20 \end{aligned}$ | Japon |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bakus 1966 | 292-(341)-371 $\times 10-(12)-12$ | 44-(52)-63 | I: 61-(65)-71 | San Juan |
|  |  |  | II: 15-(24)-36 | Archipelago |
| Bakus 1966 | 277-(320)-337 $\times 10-(11)-12$ | 44-(54)-64 | I: 59-(64)-68 | San Juan |
|  |  |  | II: 18-(25)-34 | Archipelago |
| Bakus 1966 | 292-(324)-360 $\times 7-(12)-12$ | 37-(41)-46 | I: 65-(69)-74 | San Juan |
|  |  |  | II: 29-(31)-37 | Archipelago |
| Koltun 1959 | $242-457 \times 10-17$ | 27-83 $\mu$ | I: 54-100 | Bering Sea |
|  |  |  | II: 25-52 |  |
|  |  |  | III: 17-36 |  |

head ca. $56 \%$ of total length of the spicule (Fig. 6D). Sigmas tipically C-shaped and also twisted, from 37.5 to $72.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in a size class (Figs. 6E, 13A).

Skeleton. The ectosomal skeleton is made of the typical tangential reticulation of tracts of mycalostyles (3-8 mycalostyles per cross section), 50 to $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, and scattered spicules, forming meshes 150 to $700 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide (Fig. 6F). Microscleres and rosettes of anisochelae I scattered in the ectosome. The choanosomal skeleton is made of tracts of mycalostyles that arise from the base of the sponge and end in brushes that carry the ectosome at the sponge surface. Between the tracts the microscleres are strewn at random.

Distribution and habitat. Eastern North Pacific Ocean. From Alaska (Lambe, 1893), and San Juan archipelago, northern USA (Bakus, 1966) to Gulf of California (Mexico, present study). It was found in the states of Sinaloa, Nayarit and Jalisco (Fig. 1). From the intertidal to 60 m depth. Frequently under boulders, between 2-7 depth.

Remarks. This is the first record of M. adhaerens from the Mexican Pacific. Our specimens agree well with the original description of M. adhaerens (Lambe, 1893, as Esperella adhaerens) and with the subsequent records by Bakus (1966) from San Juan (Washington) (see Table 2). M. adhaerens has been also recorded in Japan (Hoshino, 1981), but the spicule types and the micrometries of the Japan specimens are slightly different from the East Pacific records. For example, Hoshino's specimens have longer mycalostyles (up to $545 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and sometimes without the typical anisoche-
lae I in rosettes. Another reason to doubt that they are the same species is the disjunct distribution. Whether or not the present species is the same cited by Hoshino remains a matter of consideration.

Subgenus Carmia Gray, 1867
Mycale (Carmia) aff. magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984
(Figs 3D, 7)
Mycale (Carmia) magnirhaphidifera, Van Soest, 1984 Mycale (Carmia) magnirhaphidifera.- Hajdu and Rützler, 1998: 755; Carballo and Hajdu, 2001: 211; Cruz-Barraza and Carballo, 2008: 754 Mycale cecilia.- Wells and Wells in: Wells et al., 1960: 212. [not M. cecilia De Laubenfels, 1936b, a valid species; see below]

Material examined. LEB-ICML-UNAM-45, cerro el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 33^{\prime}$ " W, intertidal, 26.x.1999. LEB-ICML-UNAM-85, Peña de la Virgen (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$, 22.xi.1999. LEB-ICML-UNAM-130, Punta Chile (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 40^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, intertidal, 19.ii.1992. LEB-ICML-UNAM-196, Isla Pájaros 1 (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 9$. vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-227, puente Maviri (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 21$.vi. 2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-234, estero el Zacate (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 21 . v i .2000$. LEB-


Fig. 6. LM and SEM images of spicules of Mycale (Aegogropila) adhaerens (Lambe, 1894). A, Mycalostyles; B, Mycalostyles' ends detail; C, Anisochelae I; D, Anisochelae II; E, Sigmas; F, Tangential view of ectosomal reticular skeleton.

ICML-UNAM-259, Paraje Viejo (Sinaloa), $27^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 34$ "N, $110^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . x i .2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-280, ensenada de Bacochibampo (Sonora), $27^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 5 m , 6.xi. 2000 . LEB-ICML-UNAM-404, puente Ventana (Colima), $19^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 104^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 15 . x i .2001$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-407, Cerritos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 31 " \mathrm{~W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 30 . x .2001$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-619, Conchas Chinas (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ}$ 14 ' 42 " W , 5 m , 8.x.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-687, Islas Verdes (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2$ m, 13.xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-696, estero 'El Bichi' (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$, 13.xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-708, cerro Partido (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 7 \times \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 13$. xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-710, estero el Zacate (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 14$. xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-722, Puente Maviri (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 06$ ' $52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 14$. xi. 2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-776 Conchas Chinas (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 8 . x .2002$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-820, antiguo Corral del Risco (Nayarit), $20^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 11$.vi.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-871, Chacala (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . \mathrm{vi} .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-890, Isla el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 7 \mathrm{~m}, 10 . \mathrm{ix} .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-917, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 27.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 01.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 7 \mathrm{~m}, 3 . x .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-937, Isla Hermano Sur (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 16.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 11.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . x .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-950, Isla Hermano Norte (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . x .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-978, Isla Chivos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 40$.' N , $106^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 48.2^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . x i .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-981, Isla Cardones (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, $6 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . x i .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1110, Punta Pinta (Sonora), $31^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $96^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . \mathrm{iii} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1198, submarino (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 18 \mathrm{~m}, 5$.iii.2005. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1217, La Entrega (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 50^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $96^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . \mathrm{v} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1303, Isla Cacaluta (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $96^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . \mathrm{v} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1383, El Requesón, bahía Concepción (Baja California Sur), $26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 111^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 9 \mathrm{~m}$, 29.x.2006. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1397, El Requesón, bahía Concepción (Baja California Sur), $26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $111^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 9 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . x .2006$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1438, La Granja, Isla Espíritu Santo (Baja Cali-
fornia Sur), $24^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 0.5 \mathrm{~m}, 12$. iii.2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1532, cerro Pelón, Isla Isabel (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$, 11.ii.2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1537, Cabo San Lucas (Baja California Sur), $22^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, $1.5 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . x .2007$.LEB-ICML-UNAM-1586, cerro de la Virgen (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}$, 11.xii. 2002 .

Description. The species is always thinly encrusting, $0.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick, frequently covering several $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Color in life most frequently deep-purple to bluishpurple; cream to orange-yellow in shaded zones (Fig. 3D). Preserved specimens are ochre or cream. They are fragile, very soft, and possess a smooth surface. Subectosomal canals are very conspicuous to the naked eye. In live specimens superficial canals (0.6-1.2 mm in diameter) are clearly visible from the surface by a translucent dermal membrane, and lead to slightly elevated oscules (0.3-1.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diameter). Surface of the sponge is punctuate, with subectosomal spaces $150-500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, abundant and regularly distributed on the surface. Over the spaces are very small ectosomal sieve-like pores $33-70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter.

Spicules. Megascleres are mycalostyles, and the microscleres are anisochelae in two categories, sigmas, raphides and raphidotoxas (Table 3).

Mycalostyles, are slender smooth, mostly straight and with sharp points (Fig. 7A). Measurements: 155$317 \times 2.5-5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; tyle diameter: 2.5-7.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. (Fig. 7B).

Anisochelae I are slender, 20 to $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, very slightly curved in profile view, head about ca. $50 \%$ of total spicule length (Fig. 7C). Anisochelae II from 10 to $19.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length, with palmate head ca. $55 \%$ of total length of the spicule (Fig. 7D). C-shaped sigmas are not very abundant (Fig. 7E). Measurements: 20-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$; raphides, short and fusiform (microxea-like), with a sharp point (Fig. 7F). Measurements: 10-25 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. Raphidotoxas are very thin, straight, or slightly bent with a sharp point (Fig. 7G), from 230 to $317 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long.

Skeleton. Ectosomal skeleton consisting mainly of raphidotoxas arranged tangentially without a defined structure (Fig. 7G). Rosettes of anisochelae -I and some other free anisochelae and sigmas are also present. The choanosomal skeleton is made of sinuous ascending tracts of mycalostyles $15-75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, which diverge slightly into brushes when approaching the surface (Fig. 7H).

Distribution. The species is widespread in the Tropical western Atlantic and Pacific Ocean (Carballo and Hajdu, 2001; Cruz-Barraza and Carballo, 2008) (Fig. 1).

Remarks. See in Discussion the paragraph Regarding the presence of the Caribbean M. magnirhaphidifera in the east Pacific Ocean.

Mycale (Carmia) cecilia De Laubenfels, 1936
(Figs 8A-B, 9)
Mycale cecilia De Laubenfels, 1936b: 447
Mycale microsigmatosa.- Green and Gómez, 1986: 284. [not Mycale microsigmatosa (Arndt, 1927), a valid species from the Western Atlantic]
Mycale angulosa.- Dikinson, 1945: 23. [not Pandaros angulosa (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1864), a valid species from the Western Atlantic]
Mycale cecilia.- De Laubenfels, 1950: 24; Desquey-roux-Faúndez and Van Soest, 1997: 450; Cruz-Barraza and Carballo, 2008: 751
Mycale maunakea De Laubenfels, 1951
Material examined. [L35519 D46: NHMLAC] Mycale
angulosa (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1964).- Dickinson 1945, Velero Sta. 1039-40 (AHF), Guaymas, Sonora, 10 m , 23.i.1940, LEB-ICML-UNAM-1, Cerritos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 31$ xi. 1997. LEB-ICML-UNAM-20, muelle pesca deportiva (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 14 . x .1999$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-23, muelle pesca deportiva (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 14 . x .1999$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-40, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13$ ' $49{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . x .1999$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-43, cerro el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, intertidal, 26.x.1999. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-44, cerro el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ intertidal, 26.x.1999. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-54, estero del pozo (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 57$ "W, 3 m , 19.xi.1999. LEB-ICML-UNAM-73, Peña de la Virgen (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, $5 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . x i .1999$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-112, Marina del Cid (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 89^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 27$. xi.1999. LEB-ICML-UNAM-127, Punta Chile (Si-


Fig. 7. LM and SEM images of spicules of Mycale (Carmia) aff. magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984. A, Mycalostyles; B, Mycalostyles’ ends detail; C, Anisochelae I; D, Anisochelae II; E, Sigmas; F, Rahides; G, Tangential view of ectosomal reticular skeleton, arrow shows a raphidotoxas group; H , Choanosomal structure.
naloa), $23^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ 40 " W, intertidal, 19.ii.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-140, Chacala (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 20$.ii. 2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-148, Chacala (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 20$.ii. 2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-164, Isla Cardones (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ $\mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 15$.iii. 00. LEB-ICML-UNAM- 166, Isla Pájaros (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 15$ ' $29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 16$. iii.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM202, estero el Zacate (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1$ m, 21.vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-223, puente Maviri (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ}$ 06'52"W, $2 \mathrm{~m}, 21 . v i .2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-229, estero el Zacate (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ}$ $36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}$, 21.vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-233, estero el Zacate (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ 33 "W $2 \mathrm{~m}, 21$. vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-239, Isla Patos (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ}$ 00'56"W, 3 m, 22.vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-248, Isla Tunosa (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $109^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 22$.vi. 2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM252, Isla Tunosa (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3$ $\mathrm{m}, 22 . \mathrm{vi} .2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-263, Paraje Viejo (Sonora), $27^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ $08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 12 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . x \mathrm{xi} 2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-282, Ensenada de Bacochibampo (Sonora) $27^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5$ m, 6.xi.2000.LEB-ICML-UN-AM-350 Isla San José (Baja California Sur) $25^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . \mathrm{iii}$. 2001.LEB-ICML-UNAM-385 punta Santiago (Colima), $19^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 104^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 2$

| specimens of Mycale (Carmia) magnirhaphidifera | mycalostyles length $\times$ width; head width | raphidotoxas length | sigmas length | anisochelae length | raphides length | distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlantic Specimens (from literature) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZMA POR 4885 (Holotype) | 236-(254.2)-270 $\times 1.5-(2.2)-3$ | 260-(291.3)-310 | I: Not found II: Not found | I: 19-(27.6)-33 <br> II: 10-(12.4)-17 | 8-(9.4)-11 | Curaçao |
| USMN 23633 | 196-(231)-258 $\times 4-6$ | 168-204 | I: 46-(49.5)-55 | I: 30-(30.9)-35 | 11-20 | North Carolina (USA) |
| Hajdu and Rutzler, 1998 (me | asures) |  | II: Not found | II: 13-(15-6)-18 |  |  |
| MNRJ 196 <br> Carballo and Hajdu, 2001 | $\begin{aligned} & 270-(287.5)-300 \times 3.7-(5.6)-8.8 \\ & 2.5-(4.6)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 243-(257)-310 | Not found | I: 22-(30.6)-42 <br> II: 12-(14)-16 | Not found | Brazil |
| Pacific specimen of Mycale (Carmia) aff. magnirhaphidifera (examined) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-45 | $\begin{aligned} & 167.5-(232.7)-252.5 \times 2.5(3.4) 4.5 \text {; } \\ & 3.8(4.7)-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 237.5-(285.5)-317.5 | 20-(24)-28 | I: 22.5-(30.5)-40 <br> II: 12.5-(15)-18 | 12.5-(15.9)-20 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-85 | $\begin{aligned} & 192-(276.4)-317 \times 2.5-(5.5)-7.5 \\ & 3-(5.9)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 230-(273)-310 | 47.5-(52.3)-60 | I: 27.5-(38.3)-45 <br> II: 12.5-(14.4)-16.3 | 12.5-(18.3)-20 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-130 | $\begin{aligned} & 202.5-(238.7)-260 \times 2.5-(5.5)-7.5 \\ & 3.8-(6.4)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 255-(297)-342.5 | 25-(36.8)-47.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 20-(28.1)-40 \\ & \text { II: } 12.5-(13.9)-15 \end{aligned}$ | 12-(15.1)-20 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-196 | $\begin{aligned} & 212.5-(249.1)-275 \times 2.5-(4.8)-6.3 \\ & 5-(5.7)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 262.5-(305.8)-365 | 22.5-(26.6)-32.5 | I: 22.5-(32.4)-43.8 <br> II: 12.5-(15.3)-17.5 | 12-(15.4)-20 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-234 | $\begin{aligned} & 222.5-(256.3)-290 \times 3.8-(5.4)-7.5 \text {; } \\ & 5-(6.7)-8 \end{aligned}$ | 260-(302.4)-327.5 | 25-(28)-35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 25-(35)-50 \\ & \text { II: } 15-(18.4-)-19.5 \end{aligned}$ | 12.5-(16)-25 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-259 | $\begin{aligned} & 205-(238.1)-250 \times 3-(4.6)-5 \text {; } \\ & 3.8-(5.1)-6.3 \end{aligned}$ | 225-(268.3)-320 | 23.8-(28.4)-43.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: 21.3-(29.5)-40 } \\ & \text { II: } 10-(13.4)-17.5 \end{aligned}$ | 12-(14.6)-23.8 | Mexican Pacific |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-280 | $\begin{aligned} & 155-(247.3)-292.5 \times 2.5-(4.3)-5 \\ & 3.8-(4.7)-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 237.5-(298.8)-355 | 21.3-(25.2)-37.5 | I: 20-(28.5)-37.5 <br> II: 10-(12.9)-16.3 | 10.5-(13.5)17.5 | Mexican Pacific |

m, 16.xi.2001. LEB-ICML-UNAM-401 puente Ventana (Colima), $19^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 104^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 34{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 15 . x i .2001$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-468, Isla Redonda (Nayarit), $20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 89^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 10 \mathrm{~m}, 5 . \mathrm{iv} .2002$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-479, Careyeros (Nayarit), 20 $0^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 13{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 71$ ' 13 " $\mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 5 . \mathrm{iv} .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-497, Los Arcos (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 32^{\circ} 73^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}$, 8.iv.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-505, Playa los Muertos (Nayarit), $20^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 10526^{\prime} 72^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 9 . \mathrm{iv} .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-552, Ensenada del Pabellón (Culiacán), $22^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 107^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 6$.vii. 2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-621, Conchas Chinas, (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 10514^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 8 . x .2002$. LEB-ICML-

UNAM-669, muelle del contenedor (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-682, Isla Tunosa (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ}$ $34{ }^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-684, Islas Verdes (Sinaloa) $25^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 47^{\prime} \times \mathrm{N}$, $109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 27^{\prime}$ 'W, 2 m , 13.xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-693, estero 'El Bichi' (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 27^{\prime}$ 'N, $109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 13 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-702, Cerro Partido (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 07^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 13$ xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-714, estero el Zacate (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $109^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 14 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-724, puente Maviri (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$,


Fig. 8. External morphology. A, B, Mycale (Carmia) cecilia de Laubenfels, 1936; C, D, Mycale ramulosa sp. nov.
$109^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 14 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-747, Paraje Viejo (Sonora), $27^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, $6 \mathrm{~m}, 26 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-792, Mismaloya (Jalisco), 2031'56.22" N , $105^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 9 . v i .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-814, Isla Redonda (Nayarit), $20^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 89^{\prime \prime}$ W, $12 \mathrm{~m}, 10 . v i .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-852, Majahuita (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 06^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $105^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 03$ " W, $7 \mathrm{~m}, 8 . v i .2003$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-872, Chacala (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ $38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . \mathrm{vi} .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-883, Isla el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, $7 \mathrm{~m}, 10$.ix.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-923, Isla Hermano Sur (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 11.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8$ m, 22.x.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-954, Isla Hermano Norte (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8$ m, 24.x.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-975, Isla Chivos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 8 \mathrm{~m}, 26$. xi.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1019, Cerro San Carlos (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 11$.xii. 2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1099, La Entrega (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}$, 14.iv. 2004. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1112, punta Pinta (Sonora), $31^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 9^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 03 . \mathrm{iv} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1124, punta Pinta (Sonora), $31^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$ $14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 03 . \mathrm{iv} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1143, El Muelle (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $96^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 25 \mathrm{~m}, 15 . i v .2004$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1168, Las Monas, Isla Isabel (Nayarit), $21^{\circ}$ $50^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 07$. ii. 2005 . LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-1200, submarino (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ $\mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 18 \mathrm{~m}, 03 . \mathrm{v} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-1216, La Entrega (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ}$ $05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 05 . \mathrm{iv} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UNAM1230, Isla Cacaluta (Oxaca), $15^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ}$ 29'01" W, $4 \mathrm{~m}, 04 . v i i i .2005$. LEB-ICML-UNAM1287, El Arrocito (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$ 03 "W, $4 \mathrm{~m}, 11 . \mathrm{iv} .2005$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1346, Isla Cacaluta (Oaxaca), $15^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5$ m, 24.vii.2005. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1376, El Requesón (Baja California Sur), $26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 38^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 111^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ $53 "$ W, 9 m, 29.x.2006. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1435, La Granja, Isla Espíritu Santo (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 0.5 \mathrm{~m}, 12$.iii.2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1452, muelle petrolero (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 12$.iii. 2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1583, cerro de la Virgen (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i$. 2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1602, piedra del Asadero (Nayarit), $21^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}$, 11.iii. 2007.

Description. Incrusting to cushion-shaped sponge 1-9 mm thick. Specimens commonly red to reddish-orange, or green with yellow, or almost blue, but always with distinctive small orange patches (Fig. 8A, B). Preserved specimens ochre or light brown. Consistency is very soft and fragile after preservation. Surface is smooth. Subectosomal canals, 150-850 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diameter, converging to oscula, and subectosomal spaces $20-759 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, are common. Small ectosomal pores about $33 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter on sponge surface. Oscules circular to oval, $0.5-$ 4.0 mm in diameter, and commonly elevated from the surface about 3 mm by a translucent dermal membrane.

Spicules. Megascleres are mycalostyles, and the microscleres are anisochelae in one category (Fig. 13B, C) and sigmas (Table 4).

Mycalostyles are straight, with sharp or blunt points and with a characteristic faintly marked oval head (Fig. 9A, B). Measurements: $130-290 \times 2.1-8.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; tyle diameter: 2.5-10.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

Anisochelae from 12.5 to $27.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long but in a single category (Figs. 9C, 13B, C) and C-shaped sigmas from 15 to $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 9D).

Skeleton. The ectosomal membrane lacks spicules, only free tylostyles are arranged tangentially (Fig. 9E). Sigmas and anisochelae are also distributed throughout the surface. The choanosomal skeleton is plumoreticulate made of ascending multispicular tracts of mycalostyles ( $30-300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter) reinforced with variable amounts of spongin, which slightly diverge into brushes when approaching the surface (Fig. 9F). In some places, a few of these choanosomal tracts $30-$ $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter continue tangentially over the surface. Microscleres, anisochelae, and sigmas common all over the sponge.

Distribution. The species is quite common in the Mexican Pacific (Cruz-Barraza and Carballo, 2008, present study, see Fig. 1). It is common in the east Pacific Ocean from Hawaii (De Laubenfels 1950) to Panama (De Laubenfels 1936b).

Mycale (Carmia) contax (Dickinson, 1945)
Carmia contax Dickinson, 1945: 24
Material examined. The holotype labeled as AHF no. 12; Material- Sta. 751-37 Los Frailes 4-4-37 20 m was not found in the Hallan Hancock collection, currently deposited in the Museum of Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (NHMLAC) (USA).

Description. No material was studied, so a brief description was adapted from Dickinson, 1945. The


Fig. 9. SEM images of spicules of Mycale (Carmia) cecilia de Laubenfels, 1936. A, Mycalostyles; B, Mycalostyles' ends detail; C, Anisochelae; D, Sigma; E, Tangential view of ectosomal reticular skeleton; F, Transversal view of choanosomal structure.
sponge is about $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ by 0.5 cm thick. The surface is irregular. The consistency is softly spongy. Pores and oscules are not evident. Ectosomal membrane very thin and detachable in some places.

Spicules. The megascleres are styles to subtylostyles, and as microscleres present anisochelae in 3 size categories, sigmas, toxas and raphides. Mycalostyles of 300 by $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in average.

Anisochelae I) from 21 to $23 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, anisochelae II) from 14 to $16 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and anisochelae III) from 6 to $7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. Sigmas from 18 to $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Toxas are long, averaging $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and raphides are up to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long.

Skeleton. Ectosomal membrane with some spicules in confusion. The choanosomal skeleton made of ascending multispicular fibres of subtylostyles.

Distribution and habitat. Type locality, Los Frailes (Los Cabos, Lower California); 20 m ; sand and algae bottom.

Remarks. The type material of M. contax (Dickinson, 1945) was not found in the Allan Hancock Foundation, where most of the sponges collected by Dickinson were deposited. However, the original description is clear enough to considerer it as a valid species.

The species is distinguished from other species belonging to the subgenus Carmia because of the presence of long toxas ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, in average) and raphides up to $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Other two Mycale (Carmia) with long
raphides are, M. (C.) magnirhaphidifera Van Soest, 1984, which has longer raphides (from 315 to $367 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and shorter sigmas, and does not have toxas, and $M$. (C.) rhaphidotoxa Hentschel, 1912 from Arafura Sea (Indonesia), which has also larger raphides (200-400 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), sigmas over $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, and in addition has not toxas (Carballo and Hajdu, 2001). Other two species with long raphides are M. (Aegogropila) mannarensis Thomas, 1968 from the Gulf of Mannar (India), and Mycale (A.) kolletae Carballo and Hajdu, 2001, from the Atlantic coast of Africa, both clearly different to $M$. (C.) contax by their typical Aegogropila ectosomal skeleton. M. (Zygomycale) parishi also has long raphides (from 30 to $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), but the spicular complements of this species, and the ectosomal skeleton, are very different to those in $M$. (C.) contax (see Remarks in $M$. (Zygomycale) ramulosa).

Subgenus Paresperella Dendy, 1905
Mycale (Paresperella) psila (De Laubenfels, 1930) (Fig. 10)

Paresperella psila.- De Laubenfels, 1930: 36; De Laubenfels, 1932: 70
Esperella serratohamata.- Lambe, 1895; 130 [not Esperia serratohamata Carter, 1880]

Mycale psila.- Bakus, 1966: 459;
Bakus and Green, 1987

Material examined. LEB-ICML-UN-AM-329, Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ}$ $13^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 20$.iii. 2001. LEB-ICML-UNAM-853, Majahuita (Jalisco), $20^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, \quad 105^{\circ}$ 35'03''W, $10 \mathrm{~m}, 08 . v i .2003$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-896, Isla el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 7$ m, 12.ix.2003. LEB-ICML-UNAM1118 , Punta Pinta, Pto. Peñasco (Sonora), $31^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 96^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} 4 \mathrm{~m}$, 04.iii. 2005 .

Description. Specimens of this species are thinly to thickly encrusting up to 2.5 mm thick. Live colors range from light yellow to almost white in some specimens, and turn pale brown in alcohol. Maximum substratum coverage of 9 cm long $\times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ wide. Surface is smooth, but feels slightly hispid. The species presents numerous projections, 2.5 to 5.5 mm high and 1.3 to 1.8 mm thick. The consistency is soft but the projections are firm. Oscules are frequently oval, 0.4 to 1.2 mm in diameter. The body of the sponge and the surface harbor sand, and small fragments of shells.

Spicules. Megascleres are mycalostyles, and microscleres are anisochelae in two categories, toxas, and the typical serrated sigmas of the subgenus in two size classes.

Mycalostyles with shaft that is usually curved, tapering gradually to a sharp point (Fig. 10A, B), 245-325 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long $\times 2.5-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. With elliptical heads $2.5-7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, sometimes weakly mucronate.

Anisochelae I) with head about ca $55 \%$ of total spicule length (Fig. 10C). Size: 27-35 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long.

Anisochelae II) with palmate head ca $56 \%$ of total length of the spicule (Fig. 10D). Size: 11-14 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long.

Toxas are slender, central curve often marked, lateral curves often gentle,
Table 4. Distribution and comparative data of external color and the dimensions of spicules (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) of Mycale (Carmia) cecilia de Laubenfels, 1936 specimens. Values in parentheses are means.

| specimens | mycalostyles <br> length x width; head width | sigmas length | anisochelae <br> length | color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-1 | 235-(251)-260 $\times 2.5-(4.2)-5 ; 3.8-(4.8)-5$ | 15-(31.5)-50 | 16.3-(17.8)-20 | orange |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-20 | 215-(255.8)-277 $\times 3.8-(5)-7.5 ; 3.8-(5.4)-7.5$ | 27.5-(36.5)-42.5 | 17.5-(22.9)-27.5 | orange |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-23 | 195-(227)-252.5 $\times 3.8-(5)-7.5 ; 3.8-(5.7)-7.5$ | 30-(37.6)-43.8 | 17.5-(20)-22.5 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-40 | 235-(249.8)-270 $\times 5$-(6)-7.5; 5-(5.8)-6.3 | 32.5-(37.5)-45 | 17.5-(19.5)-22.5 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-43 | 222.5-(264)-290 $\times 5-(5.5)-6.3 ; 5-(5.8)-7.5$ | 32.5-(35.5)-37.5 | 17.5-(20)-22.5 | orange and red ornamentation |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-44 | 200-(218)-257.5 $\times 2.5-(4.4)-5 ; 2.5-(4.5)-5$ | 32.5-(38.1)-41.3 | 15-(19.3)-22.5 | green and orange ornamentation |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-54 | 130-(233.6)-260 $\times 2.5-(6)-8.8$; 3.8-(5)-6.3 | 31-(41)-42.5 | 17.5-(23)-25 | green and orange ornamentation |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-112 | 135-(221.3)-287 $\times$ 5-(6.3)-7.5; 5-(7.1)-8.8 | 25-(36.6)-47.5 | 12.5-(17.5)-22.5 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-127 | 190-(256)-282.5 $\times 2.5-(5.5)-7.5 ; 3.8-(6.3)-8.8$ | 32.5-(37.8)45 | 13.3-(22.3)-23.8 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-140 | 205-(254.9)-285 $\times 2.5-(6)-7.5 ; 3-(6.3)-7.5$ | 33.8-(38.3)-47.5 | 12.5-(17)-22.5 | orange |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-148 | 203-(220.3)-241.3 $\times 4.8-(5.3)-6.3 ; 4.5-(5.5)-6.3$ | 32.5-(36.5)-37.5 | 14-(17.4)-18.8 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-164 | 172.5-(220.3)-250 $\times 2.5-(5.7)-8.8 ; 3.8-(6.6)-10$ | 27.5-(38.8)47.5 | 16.3-(20)-22.5 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-166 | 222.5-(251.5)-287.5 $\times 5-(6.5)-7.5 ; 7-(7.8)-8.8$ | 27.5-(38.8)-45.5 | 17.5-(20.6)-22.5 | orange and red ornamentation |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-202 | 165-(239)-265 $\times 2.1-(5)-7.5 ; 3(6.5)-7.5$ | 32.5-(34.8)-40 | 17.5-(20.5)-22.5 | orange |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-223 | 207-(253)272.5 $\times 2.5-(5.8) 7.5 ; 3-(6.2)-7-5$ | 27.5-(35.8)40 | 17.5-(20.8)-22.5 | orange |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-229 | 187.5-(238.8)-257.5 $\times 2.5-(5.9)-7.5 ; 3.8-(6.9)-8.8$ | 37.5-(22.9)-40 | 20-(22.9)-25 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-233 | 160-(222.8)-240 $\times 2.5-(4.8)-6.3 ; 3-(5.2)-6.3$ | 42.5-(47.9)-50 | 20-(23.8)-25 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-239 | 157.5-(217.3)-242.5 $\times 2.5-(3.3)-8.8 ; 3-(6.8)-8.8$ | 35-(39.9)-45 | 18.8-(22)-23.8 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-248 | 145-(224.5)-257.5 $\times 2.5-(5.9)-7.5 ; 3-(6.5)-8$ | 37.5-(44.5)-50 | 21.3-(23.5)-25 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-252 | 150-(254.3)-287.5 $\times 2.5-(6)-7.5 ; 3-(6.6)-8.8$ | 32.5-(36.8)-42.5 | 20-(22.6)-25 | red |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-263 | 152.5-(223.5)-242.5 $\times 2.5-(4.6)-5 ; 3-(5) 6.3$ | 31.3-(37.3)-47.5 | 15-(17)-20 | -- |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-282 | 225-(245.8)-262.5 $\times 2.5-(3.6)-5 ; 3.8-(4.6)-5.5$ | 20-(34)-42.5 | 15-(16.4)-20 | red |

gradually tapering to sharp endings (Fig. 10E). Size: 42-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long.

Sigmas with serrated edges (Fig. 10F, G), 'S-C' shaped, in two categories. Size category I: 130 to 188 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. Size category II: 37 to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long.

Skeleton.The ectosomal skeleton is made of a tangential reticulation of paucispicular (1-5 spicules), or pluriespicular tracts of mycalostyles (11-18 spicules). Meshes formed by the reticulation are 60 to $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Anisochelae-I are mostly organized in rosettes (Fig. 10H). The choanosomal skeleton is made of ascending tracts of mycalostyles, $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick (Fig. 10I). Tracts branch towards the ectosome where they diverge in paucispicular tufts $40-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick that support and slightly pierce the ectosomal reticulum and surface peel.

Distribution and habitat. Northeast Pacific Ocean:

Vancouver (Canada) (as Esperella serratohamata Lambe, 1894), San Juan (Washington) (Bakus, 1966), California (De Laubenfels, 1930, 1932; Bakus and Green, 1987), and México (Sonora, Sinaloa, Jalisco and Guerrero) (Fig. 1), on sublittoral rocks.

Remarks. See Bakus (1966).
Subgenus Zygomycale Topsent, 1931
Mycale (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov.
(Figs 8D-E, 11, 12)
Zygomycale parishii.- Green and Gomez, 1986: 288 [not Mycale parishi (Bowerbank, 1875)]

Material examined. Holotype of Raphiodesma parishi Bowerbank, 1875. Dry specimens (BMNH: 1877.5.21.1359) and slides (BK1358-1359). Holotype:


Fig. 10. Images of spicules and skeletal arrangement of Mycale (Paresperella) psila (de Laubenfels, 1930). A, (LM) Mycalostyles; B, (SEM) Mycalostyles’ ends detail; C, (SEM) Anisochelae I; D, (SEM) Anisochelae II; E, (LM) Toxa; F, (SEM) Sigmas; G, (SEM) Detail of serrated edges. H , Drawing of tangential view of ectosomal structure; I, Drawing of transversal view of choanosomal structure.
Table 5. Distribution and comparative data for the dimensions of spicules (in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) of Mycale (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov. specimens. Values in parentheses are means. *Micracanthoxeas were not measured because these specimens where studied only with an optical microscope.

| material examined | mycalostyles <br> length x width; head width | toxa length | sigma length | anisochelae length | isochelae length | raphides length | microacantoxas length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holotype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MNCN 1.01/632 | $\begin{aligned} & 290-(296.3)-317.5 \times 2.5-(5.9)-8.8 \text {; } \\ & 2.5-(6.5)-8.8 \end{aligned}$ | 35-(58)-85 | I: 72.5-(78.4)-82.5 II: 13.8-(26)-35 | I: 42.5-(45)-48.5 <br> II: 17.5-(20.6) 25 | 10.5 (12.2) 15 | 25 (31.9)-37.5 | 3.5-(4)-5 |
| Paratype |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BMNH 2010.11.01.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 157.5-(268.8)-312.5 \times 2.5-(6.5)-10 \text {; } \\ & 2.5-(6)-8.8 \end{aligned}$ | 32.5-(48.5)-65.5 | I: 62.5-(73)-80 <br> II: 17.5- (27.2)-40 | I: 40-(44.3)-47.5 <br> II: 16.3-(18.5)-20 | 10 (11.6)-13.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 20(27.1) \\ & 32.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7-(3.5)-4.2 |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-17 | $\begin{aligned} & 197.5-(277.3)-307.5 \times 2.5-(5.9)-8 \text {; } \\ & 2.5-(5.6)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 35-(60.5)-90 | I: 72.5- (78.3)-82.5 $\text { II: } 15-(28.1)-35$ | I: 38.8 (40.5)-50 | 10 (11.8)-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.8(28.2) \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | 3.3-(3.8)-4.8 |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-22 | $\begin{aligned} & 162.5-(260.3)-317.5 \times 3.8-(6.4)-8.8 \\ & 5-(6.6)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 42.5-(55.9)-73.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 62.5-(79.2)-95 \\ & \text { II: } 13.8(20)-32.5 \end{aligned}$ | I: 40 (44)-50 <br> II: 17.5-(20.3)-22.5 | 10 (12)-15 | 22.5 (28)-37.5 | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-113 | $\begin{aligned} & 260-(294.5)-317.5 \times 2.5-(6.1)-10 ; \\ & 2.5-(6)-8.8 \end{aligned}$ | 32-(57)-97 | I: 72 (84)-97.5 <br> II: 12.5 (25.3)-37.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 42-(47)-50 \\ & \text { II: } 17 \text { (21)-25 } \end{aligned}$ | 10 (12.5)-15 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.5(31.5) \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-157 | $\begin{aligned} & 197.5-(279.8)-340 \times 2.5-(7.5)-10 \\ & 2.5-(6.4)-10 \end{aligned}$ | 27.5-(56.4)-82.5 | I: 73.8-(77.9)-85 <br> II: 16.3-(27.2)-37.5 | I: 42.5-(47.5)-51.3 <br> II: 18.8-(20.8)-22.5 | 10 (11.9)-13.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 25(31.5) \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-169 | $\begin{aligned} & 235-(303.3)-332.5 \times 2.5-(7.1)-8.8 \\ & 2.5-(5.6)-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 40-(64)-90 | I: 75-(80.5)-82.5 <br> II: 16.3-(30.6)-45 | I: 40-(44.3)-48.8 <br> II: 16.3-(19.3)-22.5 | 10 (11.3)-12.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 25(32) \\ & 42.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.4-(3)-3.8 |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-208 | $\begin{aligned} & 175-(264)-315 \times 2.5-(10)-15 \text {; } \\ & 2.5-(9.5)-12.5 \end{aligned}$ | 40-(68.5)-90 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 93.8-(96)-100 \\ & \text { II: } 15-(25)-40 \end{aligned}$ | I: 46.3-(52.4)-60 <br> II: 17-(21.4)-24.5 | 11.3-(13.3)15 | 30-(36.1)-42.5 | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-212 | $\begin{aligned} & 175-(264.4)-313.8 \times 2.5-(9.2)-12.5 \text {; } \\ & 5-(8.3)-10 \end{aligned}$ | 25-(56)-82.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: 80-(90)-95 } \\ & \text { II: } 17.5-(27.7)-40 \end{aligned}$ | I: 40-(48)-55 <br> II: 18-(21.8)-26.3 | 12-(13.8)-16.3 | 27.5-(34.7)-42.5 | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-216 | $\begin{aligned} & 187.5-(265.4)-302 \times 2.5-(8.3)-11.3 \text {; } \\ & 3-(6.3) 8.8 \end{aligned}$ | 40-(64.4)-87.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 80-(87.3)-95 \\ & \text { I: } 15-(23.4)-33.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-(49.4)-55 \\ & 18.8-(21)-22.5 \end{aligned}$ | 11.3-(13)-15 | 30-(38)-45 | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-237 | $\begin{aligned} & 227-(298.1)-327.5 \times 2.5-(9.9)-12.5 ; \\ & 2.5-(7.3) 10 \end{aligned}$ | 25-(55)-85 | I: $82.5-(89)-95$ <br> II: 16.3-(21.6)-32.5 | I: 45-(47.5)-50 <br> II: 21.3-(23)-25 | 10-(11.8)-13.8 | 25-(30)-35 | 3-(3.7)-4 |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-1084 | $\begin{aligned} & 262.5-(301)-325 \times 2.5-(7.5)-10 \text {; } \\ & 3.8-(6.3)-8.8 \end{aligned}$ | 27.5-(49)-75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 85-(87)-90 \\ & \text { II: } 17.5-(26)-36.3 \end{aligned}$ | I: 42.5-(48)50 <br> II: 15-(19)-20 | 10-(11.8)-12.5 | 25-(27.5)-30 | * |
| LEB-ICML-UNAM-1427 | $\begin{aligned} & 262.5-(284.5)-300 \times 3.5-(6.2)-8.5 \\ & 5-(6.5)-8.5 \end{aligned}$ | 22.5-(50)-67.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: 70-(77.8)-87.5 } \\ & \text { II: } 20-(27)-30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 40-(43.8)-50 \\ & \text { II: } 16.2-(18)-25 \end{aligned}$ | 8.8-(10.2)-11.3 | 25-(28)30 | * |

MNCN 1.01/632. Isla Lobos (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 28 . x i .1998$.Paratypes: BMNH: code 2010.11.01.2. LEB-ICML-UNAM-17, Isla Lobos 1 (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 28 . x i .1998$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-22, muelle flota pesca deportiva (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 93 " \mathrm{~N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 14 . x .1999$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-113, Marina del Cid (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 89^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 27 . x i .1999$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-157, antiguo muelle de atraque (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 57^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 4 \mathrm{~m}, 15 . \mathrm{iii} .2000$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-169, Isla Pájaros (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 16 . i i i .2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM208, Isla Masocawi (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ 32''W, 3 m, 22.vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-212, cerro San Carlos (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}$, 22.vi.2000. LEB-ICML-UNAM-216, estero la Chata (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 21 . v i .2000$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-237, puente Maviri (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 21 . v i .2000$. LEB-IC-

ML-UNAM-545, El Yatch (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 12^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $109^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . \mathrm{ix} .1989$. LEB-ICML-UNAM547, cerro las Gallinas (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 11$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ 18'’W, $3 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . x .1988$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-597, Islas Verdes (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 13$. xi.2002. LEB-ICML-UNAM-659, cerro San Carlos (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-661, Isla de la Virgen, (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 2 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-IC-ML-UNAM-671, muelle del contenedor (Sinaloa), $25^{\circ}$ $34^{\prime} 55^{\prime}$ 'N, $109^{\circ} 03$ ' $32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 5 \mathrm{~m}, 12 . x i .2002$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-884, Isla el Crestón (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 02^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\prime}$ 'W, $7 \mathrm{~m}, 10 . \mathrm{ix} .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM922, Isla Hermano Sur (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 16.2^{\prime}$ 'N, $106^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ 11"W, $6 \mathrm{~m}, 22 \times x .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-946, Isla Hermano Norte (Sinaloa), $23^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, profundidad $6 \mathrm{~m}, 24 . x .2003$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1082, La Palma (Sinaloa), $24^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 107^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 6 \mathrm{~m}$, 13.xii.1990. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1089, Estación 7 (Si-


Fig. 11. SEM images of spicules of $M y$ cale (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov. A, Mycalostyles; B, Mycalostyles' ends detail; C, Toxas; D, Anisochelae I; E, Anisochelae II; F, Isochelae; G, Sigma I; H, Sigma II; I, Raphides; J, Micracanthoxeas.
naloa), $24^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 3 \mathrm{~m}, 25 . \mathrm{ix} .1990$. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1437, La Granja, Isla Espíritu Santo (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$, $0.5 \mathrm{~m}, 12$.iii.2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1448, muelle Petrolero (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 13 \prime 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$ 44''W, 4 m, 12.iii.2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1550, muelle Petrolero (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ}$ 18'44''W, 4 m, 12.iii.2007. LEB-ICML-UNAM-1554, Agua de Yépiz (Baja California Sur), $24^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $110^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 53$ " $\mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}, 09 . \mathrm{i} .2007$.

Description. The sponge is very polymorphic. It can grow as thin, flat encrustations 3 mm thick, with oscules which are slightly elevated or flush with the surface, covering areas up to 9 cm long $\times 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ wide. It can also be massive with a surface of attachment of 10 $\times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$, massive-lobose with lobes 0.8 to 2 cm high, and 1.5 to 2.5 cm in diameter, and massive with coalescent branches. It is also frequently found as a large arborescent sponge 45 cm high or more, and up to 30 cm across. This form consists of branches with an irregular outline $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ across, growing from a short stalk (Fig. 8C-D). The branches may remain isolated along their entire length, or fuse to such a degree that some branches become flabelliform. Commonly the diameter of the branches decreases toward the blind ends, but there is also variation in this feature. The branch form can also have small tubercles 0.1 and 1.5 mm high and $0.75-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. The most common color is red-brown and brownish purple, but dirty yellow specimens are also common. Surface is smooth to uneven but slightly hispid under the binocular microscope. Consistency is soft, spongy, compressible and elastic. In live specimens subectosomal canals are clearly visible leading to slightly elevated, small, contracted, circular or oval shaped oscules, 0.1 to 1.5 cm in diameter (Fig. 8D).

Spicules. The species presents mycalostyles, anisochelae in two categories, palmate isochelae, sigmas also in two size classes, toxas, raphides and micracanthoxeas (Table 5).

Mycalostyles are curved and slightly thicker in the middle region (fusiform), with a more or less pronounced head and ending in a sharp point (Fig. 11AB). Measurements: 157-340 $\times 2.5-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Toxas slender, from 25 to $97 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length but in one size, gently curved in the middle and gradually tapering to sharp endings (Figs. 11C, 13D).

Anisochelae I) from 38.8 to $51.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with palmate head ca. $54 \%$ of the total length (Fig. 11D), anisochelae II) from 16 to $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with palmate head ca. $66 \%$
of the total length (Fig. 11E), and palmate isochelae from 10 to $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long (Fig. 11F). Sigmas I from 62.5 to $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, stout, C -shaped or contorted as S (Fig. 11G). Sigmas II from 12 to $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, slender, gently curved on most of its extension (Fig. 11 H ). Raphides 20-46 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long (Fig. 11I). Micracanthoxeas from 2.5 to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, straight, sharp pointed and heavily spined, very abundant but difficult to see under optical microscope (Fig. 11J).

Skeleton. Ectosomal skeleton formed by a tangential reticulation of multispicular tracts of mycalostyles (2-18 spicules across) 22 to $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick, forming meshes 180-450 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide (Fig. 12A). The choanosomal skeleton has a plumose architecture; tracts of mycalostyles arise from the base of the sponge and end in brushes that protrude the sponge surface (Fig. 12A-B). Microscleres are strewn at random among tracts. Anisochelae in rosettes are not common but present.

Distribution and habitat. Mexican Pacific Ocean. Sinaloa, Baja California Sur, and Jalisco (Fig. 1). The species has been previously cited as Zygomycale parishii by Green and Gómez (1986). Although the species can be found in open sea environments, it typically lives in lagoons and semi enclosed embayments, between 1 and 6 m depth. On rocks, shells, and attached to small rocks and shells in soft bottoms.

Etymology. The specific name ramulosa comes from the Latin ramulosus, 'with branches'.

Remarks. The subgenus Zygomycale is clearly characterized by having palmate isochelae (Van Soest and Hajdu, 2002). Only two species from this genus were known thus far; Mycale parishi (Bowerbank, 1875) from the Indo- Pacific Ocean, and M. angulosa (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1864) from the western Atlantic Ocean. Both species are quite similar in form, color, and skeleton, but spicular differences exist between them (see Burton and Rao, 1932; see Van Soest, 1984 for more detail).

The most important difference between these two species and Mycale ramulosa sp. nov. is the presence of micracanthoxas in the latter. We could think that Bowerbank, and Duchassaing and Michelotti might have overlooked the micracanthoxas due to their minute dimensions. However, this kind of microsclere was not found in the holotype of M. parishi revised by SEM nor in M. angulosa (see Custódio et al., 2002, Lerner et al., 2005). M. parishi also has long raphides (from 30 to $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), which are not present in M. ramulosa sp. nov.

There are five species of Mycale known with micracanthoxeas: M. (Carmia) micracanthoxea (Buizer and


Fig. 12. Skeletal arrangement of Mycale (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov. A, SEM tangential view of ectosomal structure; B, Scheme of transversal view of choanosomal structure.
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Fig. 13. Spicule dimension-frequency distribution of some $M y$ -cale-species: A, M. (Aegogropila) adhaerens sigmas of the specimens LEB-ICML-UNAM-221 ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ); B ,C, M. (Carmia) cecilia anisochelae of the specimens LEB-ICML-UNAM-1 and LEB-ICML-UN-AM-23 ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ); D, M. (Zygomycale) ramulosa sp. nov.' toxas of the specimens LEB-ICML-UN-AM-113 ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ ).

Van Soest, 1977) originally reported from the northeastern Atlantic Ocean, and later from the Strait of Gibraltar (Carballo and García-Gómez, 1994), M. (Aegogropila) bamfieldense from the Pacific Ocean (Reiswig and Kaiser, 1989), M. (A.) escarlatei from the tropical Western Atlantic (Hajdu et al., 1995), Mycale (A.) lilianae also from the tropical Western Atlantic, and Mycale (C.) urizae from the African
coast (Carballo and Hajdu, 2001). M. ramulosa sp. nov. is the second Mycale-species bearing micracanthoxea from the Pacific Ocean. Species with a similar ectosomal skeletal arrangement are $M$. (A.) bamfieldense, M. (A.) escarlatei and M. (A.) lilianae, but these species are predominantly crustose, and don't posses the typical palmate isochelae of $M$. (Z.) ramulosa sp. nov.

