

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication, following peer review.

Howald, S.; Moyano, M.; Crespel, A.; Kuchenmüller, L.L.; Cominassi, L.; Claireaux, G.; Peck, M.A.; Mark, F.C. (2022). Effects of ocean acidification over successive generations decrease resilience of larval European sea bass to ocean acidification and warming but juveniles could benefit from higher temperatures in the NE Atlantic. *J. Exp. Biol.* 225(9). DOI: 10.1242/jeb.243802

Published version: https://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.243802

NIOZ Repository: http://imis.nioz.nl/imis.php?module=ref&refid=353085

[Article begins on next page]

The NIOZ Repository gives free access to the digital collection of the work of the Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research. This archive is managed according to the principles of the <u>Open Access Movement</u>, and the <u>Open Archive Initiative</u>. Each publication should be cited to its original source - please use the reference as presented.

When using parts of, or whole publications in your own work, permission from the author(s) or copyright holder(s) is always needed.

1 Effects of Ocean Acidification over successive generations decrease larval 2 resilience to Ocean Acidification & Warming but juvenile European sea bass 3 could benefit from higher temperatures in the NE Atlantic

- 4
- Sarah Howald^{1,2}, Marta Moyano^{2,3}, Amélie Crespel⁵, Luis L. Kuchenmüller¹, Louise Cominassi^{2,7}, Guy
 Claireaux^{4,5}, Myron A. Peck^{2,6}, Felix C. Mark^{1*}
- ¹ Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Integrative Ecophysiology,
 Bremerhaven, Germany
- ² Institute of Marine Ecosystem and Fisheries Science, Center for Earth System Research and
 Sustainability (CEN), University of Hamburg, Germany
- ³ Center for Coastal Research, University of Agder, Postbox 422, 4604 Kristiansand, Norway
- 12 ⁴ Université de Bretagne Occidentale, LEMAR (UMR 6539), Brest, France
- 13 ⁵ Ifremer, LEMAR (UMR 6539), Laboratory of Adaptation, and Nutrition of Fish, Centre Ifremer de
- 14 Bretagne, Plouzané, France
- 15 ⁶ Coastal Systems (COS), Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Netherlands
- ⁷ Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, PO Box 757000, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA
- 17 *Corresponding author
- 18 Email: fmark@awi.de
- 19
- 20 Key words: Dicentrarchus labrax, ocean acidification, ocean warming, metabolic rates, larval growth,
- 21 juvenile growth, teleost
- 22 Summary statement: We found that OA did not affect growth, RMR and SMR, while OW increased these
- 23 traits. OAW decreased larval size at metamorphosis. We conclude that recruitment to nursery areas
- 24 might decrease under OAW but juveniles might benefit from increased performance at higher
- 25 temperatures in Atlantic waters.
- 26 Running title: OA decreases sea bass larval resilience to OAW, juveniles might benefit from OW
- 27

28 1. Abstract

- 29 European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) is a large, economically important fish species with a long
- 30 generation time whose long-term resilience to ocean acidification (OA) and warming (OW) is not clear.
- 31 We incubated sea bass from Brittany (France) for two generations (>5 years in total) under ambient and
- 32 predicted OA conditions (PCO₂: 650 and 1700 µatm) crossed with ambient and predicted ocean OW

conditions in F1 (temperature: 15-18°C and 20-23°C) to investigate the effects of climate change on
 larval and juvenile growth and metabolic rate.

We found that in F1, OA as single stressor at ambient temperature did not affect larval or juvenile growth and OW increased developmental time and growth rates, but OAW decreased larval size at metamorphosis. Larval routine and juvenile standard metabolic rates were significantly lower in cold compared to warm conditioned fish and also lower in F0 compared to F1 fish. We did not find any effect of OA as a single stressor on metabolic rates. Juvenile *P*O_{2crit} was not affected by OA or OAW in both generations.

- We discuss the potential underlying mechanisms resulting in the resilience of F0 and F1 larvae and juveniles to OA and in the beneficial effects of OW on F1 larval growth and metabolic rate, but on the other hand in the vulnerability of F1, but not F0 larvae to OAW. With regard to the ecological perspective, we conclude that recruitment of larvae and early juveniles to nursery areas might decrease under OAW conditions but individuals reaching juvenile phase might benefit from increased performance at higher temperatures.
- 47
- 48

49 2. List of abbreviations

- 50 Δ 1000 Acidification condition (ambient *P*CO₂ + 1000 µatm)
- 51 A Ambient PCO₂ condition
- 52 BL Body length
- 53 C Cold life conditioned group
- 54 CI Complex I of the ETS (NADH dehydrogenase)
- 55 CII Complex II of the ETS (succinate dehydrogenase)
- 56 dph Days post hatch
- 57 dd Degree days
- 58 DM Dry mass
- 59 ETS Electron transport system
- 60 IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- 61 MS-222 Tricaine methanesulfonate
- 62 OA Ocean acidification
- 63 OAW Ocean acidification and warming
- 64 OW Ocean warming
- 65 PCO₂ Partial pressure of CO₂
- 66 PO₂ Partial pressure of O₂

- 67 *P*O_{2crit} Critical oxygen concentration
- 68 RCP Representative concentration pathway
- 69 RMR Routine metabolic rate
- 70 SDA Specific dynamic action
- 71 SMR Standard metabolic rate
- 72 W Warm life conditioned group
- 73 WM Wet mass
- 74

75 3. Introduction

76 Climate change is leading to increasing ocean surface temperatures (ocean warming – OW), as well as 77 decreasing ocean pH (ocean acidification - OA). OW as a single stressor on fish metabolism has been 78 investigated intensively since the 1980s in a variety of fish species and life stages and directly influences 79 their metabolism and therefore their growth (Johnson & Katavic, 1986; Peck, 2002; Pörtner, et al., 2007), 80 reproduction success (see review Llopiz, et al., 2014), as well as distribution range and abundance 81 (Turner, et al., 2009; Pörtner, 2006). OW can increase growth rates of larval and juvenile fish (McMahon 82 et al., 2020a; Baumann, 2019; Chauton, et al., 2015), within their thermal window. Although studies on 83 larvae are less numerous than those on adults and juveniles, it has become obvious that larvae are less 84 resilient to OW than adults and juveniles (Dahlke, et al., 2020a).

85 Initially, fish had been thought to be less vulnerable to OA due to well-developed acid-base regulation 86 systems (Heuer & Grosell, 2014), yet their capacity to cope with OA and ocean acidification and warming 87 (OAW) as co-occurring stressors has been investigated intensively during the last decade with species 88 and life stage specific results (Cattano, et al., 2017): OA levels between 700 and 1600 µatm CO₂ can lead 89 to increased larval growth (mahi-mahi, Bignami, et al., 2014; clownfish, Munday, et al., 2009), but decreased larval swimming performance (mahi-mahi, Bignami, et al., 2014; dolphinfish, Pimentel, et al., 90 91 2014) and larval metabolic rates (dolphinfish, Pimentel, et al., 2014). OA also induced severe to lethal tissue damage (cod larvae, Frommel, et al., 2011), decreased swimming performance, maximum 92 93 metabolic rate and aerobic scope (Australasian snapper juveniles, McMahon et al., 2020b) and increased 94 larval otolith size, with possible implications for hearing sensitivity (cobia and mahi-mahi, Bignami, et 95 al., 2013; 2014, respectively). In other species, growth was decreased by OA (inland silverside juveniles, 96 Baumann, et al., 2012), or not affected (Atlantic halibut juveniles, Gräns, et al., 2014; cobia larvae, 97 Bignami, et al., 2013, Australasian snapper larvae, McMahon et al., 2020a). In some species OA even 98 improved performance, e.g. OA increased survival (Australasian snapper larvae, McMahon et al., 2020a). 99 Dahlke et al. (2020b) showed that Atlantic cod embryos demonstrated poor acid base regulation 100 capacities before and during gastrulation, connected to increased mortality under OA and OAW. On the

contrary, acid base regulation capacities after gastrula were similar to that of adult cod. If both stressors
 were combined, the effects became more unidirectional and were synergistic in most fish species, e.g.
 OAW increased growth and survival in larval and juvenile sea bass in their Atlantic populations, but
 decreased physiological performance (Pope, et al., 2014). The cumulative consequences of these
 changes are to be determined.

106 An important factor for projecting whether a species will be able to keep their distribution range under 107 changing conditions, is their potential and capacity to acclimate and adapt over generations. Few studies 108 have so far reared fish for more than one generation or examined transgenerational effects of fish in 109 the context of OAW, with trait- and species-specific capacities to adapt to future conditions. For 110 example, in cinnamon anemone fish (Amphiprion melanopus) the negative effect of OA on escape 111 responses was reduced in some traits if parents were exposed to OA (Allan, et al., 2014), whereas in 112 spiny damselfish (Acanthochromis polyacanthus), negative effects on olfactory responses were not 113 reduced after parental exposure to OA (Welch, et al., 2014). In addition to the low number of studies on 114 transgenerational effects, they usually used small fish, with short generation times and applied only one 115 stressor, either OW or OA. Little is known about the combined effect of several stressors on economically 116 important larger-sized fish with longer generation times and thus multi-stressor, transgenerational 117 studies on such fish are necessary to project future distribution of fish.

118 Consequently, in our study we used European sea bass Dicentrarchus labrax as a larger, long-lived model 119 species. Sea bass is an economically important species in industrial and recreational fishing as well as in 120 aquaculture (160 000 t in 2015, Bjørndal & Guillen, 2018). Sea bass can reach an age of up to 24 years in 121 the Atlantic population (Irish waters, Kennedy and Fitzmaurice, 1972). Generally rather resilient towards 122 environmental fluctuations, effects of OW and OA have been reported for several seabass life stages: 123 OW increased growth rates in larval sea bass, although at the expense of decreased swimming 124 performance (Atlantic population, 15 to 20°C, Cominassi, et al., 2019). Exposure to OA throughout larval 125 development increased mineralization and reduced skeletal deformities (Atlantic population, 19°C and 15 and 20°C, respectively, Crespel, et al., 2017; Cominassi, et al., 2019). In combination, OAW did not 126 127 have additional effects on larval growth, swimming ability and development than those already 128 observed separately (Atlantic population, Cominassi, et al., 2019). Juvenile sea bass are highly tolerant 129 to temperature (Dalla Via, et al., 1998; Claireaux & Lagardère, 1999) and show some degree of tolerance 130 to OA as a single stressor at the mitochondrial level (Atlantic population, Howald, et al., 2019). OA and 131 OW acted antagonistically: OW as a single stressor increased growth and digestive efficiency, while OA 132 did not affect these traits. Both stressors combined resulted in reduced growth and digestive efficiency compared to the impact of OW alone. Low food ratios enhanced this effect resulting in an even more 133 134 pronounced growth and digestive efficiency reduction than under OAW alone (Atlantic population, 135 Cominassi, et al., 2020).

136 This study aimed to investigate the effect of OAW as well as the effect of OA over two successive 137 generations (F0 and F1) on larval and juvenile growth and metabolism. Therefore, we incubated sea bass 138 from an Atlantic population for two generations (>5 years in total) under current and predicted OA 139 conditions (PCO₂: 650 and 1700 µatm) and applied a warming condition on larvae and juveniles of the 140 F1 generation (ambient, 15-18°C, and Δ 5°C, 20-23°C). To study the effect of OA (F0, F1), OW (F1), and 141 OAW (F1) on sea bass, we investigated growth (F0, F1) through ontogeny as a proxy for whole organism 142 fitness. In addition we measured routine metabolic rates (RMR, F1) of larvae, as well as standard 143 metabolic rates (SMR, F0, F1) and critical oxygen concentration (PO_{2crit}, F0, F1) of juvenile sea bass, to 144 unravel the underlying mechanisms resulting in possible growth differences. In F0, no effect of OA on 145 larval and juvenile growth or juvenile SMR and PO_{2crit} were found (Crespel, et al., 2017; 2019). Those traits were compared in F0 and F1 fish to determine the effects due to parental acclimation to different 146 147 OA levels. Our hypotheses were: (1) OW will lead to increased growth and metabolic rates in F1 larvae and juveniles. (2) OA alone will not have significant effects on larval and juvenile growth and metabolism 148 149 in F1, as sea bass seem to be quite tolerant to OA and no detrimental effects were found in F0. (3) In 150 combination, OA will lead to synergistic OAW effects, reflected in lower growth in larvae and juveniles.

151 **4. Materials and Methods**

The present work was performed within the facilities of the Ifremer-Centre de Bretagne (agreement number: B29-212-05). Experiments were conducted according to the ethics and guidelines of the French law and legislated by the local ethics committee (Comité d'Ethique Finistérien en Experimentation Animal, CEFEA, registering code C2EA-74) (Authorizations APAFIS 4341.03, #201620211505680.V3 and APAFIS 14203-2018032209421223 for F0 and F1, respectively).

157 4.1. Animals and experimental conditions

Sea bass were reared from early larval stage onwards in two OA treatments in F0 and four OAW 158 159 treatments in F1. A flow chart summarizing temperature and PCO₂ conditions as well as replicate tank 160 number, tank volume and number of individuals per tank is shown in Figure 1, the timeline of the rearing 161 of the fish is shown in Figure S 1. F0 fish were reared in two OA scenarios, following the predictions of 162 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2021) for the next 130 years: today's ambient situation in coastal waters of Brittany and the Bay of Brest (A, approx. 650 µatm (cf. Pope, et al., 2014; 163 164 Duteil, et al., 2016)) and a scenario according to SSP5-8.5, projecting a ΔPCO_2 of 1000 µatm ($\Delta 1000$, 165 approx. 1700 µatm). Adults from these two treatments were used in the reproduction experiments to 166 generate F1. Sea bass of F1 were reared under the same OA conditions as their respective parents. 167 Additionally two different temperatures were applied on each OA condition in F1 to create a cold and a 168 warm life condition scenario or four OAW conditions (C-A, C- Δ 1000, W-A and W- Δ 1000), respectively.

As larvae and post-larval juveniles would display different growth rates under the different life condition
scenarios, we adopted the concept of degree days (dph · T(°C)) as a basis for comparison between them.
This concept allows to compare them at their physiological age rather than their chronological age and
has been shown to be an effective way of normalizing growth at different temperatures (Peck et al.,
2012).

Larval rearing was performed in a temperature controlled room and water temperatures were fixed to
19°C in F0, and 15 and 20°C in F1-C and F1-W, respectively. In juveniles and adults, water temperatures
of F0 and F1-C sea bass were adjusted to ambient temperatures in the Bay of Brest during summer (up
to 19°C), but were kept constant at 15 and 12°C for juveniles and adults, respectively, when ambient
temperature decreased below these values. F1-W was always 5°C warmer than the F1-C treatment.
During larval rearing, the photoperiod was set to 24h darkness during the first week and 16h light and
8h darkness (12h each in F1, respectively) per day afterwards. Light intensity increased progressively

during the larval rearing period from total darkness to about 100 lux (Table S 1). To work in the larval
 rearing facilities, headlamps were used (set to lowest light intensity). In the juvenile and adult rearing
 facilities photoperiod followed natural conditions (adjustment once a week).

184 **4.1.1. F0 generation**

185 4.1.1.1. Larval rearing

F0 larval rearing and origin is described in detail in Crespel et al. (2017; 2019), briefly, larvae were
obtained from the aquaculture facility Aquastream (Ploemeur-Lorient, France) at 2 dph (October 2013).
F0 larvae were randomly distributed among the two OA conditions described above. Larvae were reared
in nine black 38 L tanks initially stocked with *ca*. 2200 larvae tank⁻¹ in triplicates for all conditions. Larvae
were fed *ad libitum* via continuous delivery of Artemia nauplii until 28 dph. Afterwards, commercial dry
pellets (Neo Start, LeGouessant, France) were fed for the rest of the larval period.

192 4.1.1.2. Juvenile rearing

193 Juvenile rearing was described in detail in Crespel et al. (2019). Briefly, the early juveniles were counted 194 per tank and transferred from larval to juvenile rearing facilities at approx. 820 degree-days (dd) (45 195 dph). Juveniles of one condition were combined and kept in square shaped 450L tanks (n=1500 fish per 196 condition). At 8 months (about 250 dph), juveniles were PIT tagged (marked with passive integrated 197 transponders). Juveniles were fed daily with commercial fish food (Neo Start), which was adjusted in 198 size and amount, as recommended by the supplier (Le Gouessant, Lamballe, France). Food ratios were 199 adjusted after each sampling for growth, approx. every 30 days or 3-4 weeks in F0 and F1, respectively 200 (see below), using the formulae provided by Le Gouessant. Daily food ratios were supplied to the tanks 201 by automatic feeders during day time.

202 4.1.1.3. Adult rearing

203 During the reproductive season 2017 (fish were 3.5 years old), sex steroid plasma concentration was 204 measured regularly in all adult F0 fish. The individuals with the highest concentrations were kept in 205 round black tanks with a volume of 3 m³ and a depth of 1.3 m. Each of the two tanks (one for each 206 condition) was stocked with 22 males and 11 females, resulting in fish density of 11.6 kg m⁻³ and 11.0 kg 207 m⁻³ in A and Δ1000, respectively. Mass and length were regularly measured and commercial fish food 208 was adjusted accordingly. Fish were fed Vitalis CAL (Skretting, Norway) during reproduction season and 209 Vitalis REPRO (Skretting, Norway) during the rest of the year. Vitalis REPRO was supplied to the tanks 210 with automatic feeders during daytime. Vitalis CAL was supplied to the tank manually in three to four 211 rations during week days.

212 4.1.2. F1 generation

213 Embryos were obtained by artificial reproduction of F0 fish. Succinctly, once the water temperature 214 reached 13°C and the first naturally spawned eggs were observed in the egg collectors, females were 215 injected with gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH, 10 µg kg⁻¹) to accelerate oocyte maturation 216 (23.03.2018). After three days (26.03.2018) eggs and milt were stripped from ripe females and males, 217 respectively, and artificial fertilization was performed following the protocol of Parazo et al. (1998). Briefly, eggs (10 ml l⁻¹) were mixed with sea water and milt (0.05 ml milt L⁻¹ seawater). Ten females (1.56 218 219 \pm 0.24 kg) were crossed with 18 males (1.07 \pm 0.16 kg) and 11 females (1.28 \pm 0.30 kg) were crossed with 220 19 males (0.99 \pm 0.19 kg) in the A and Δ 1000 groups, respectively. Fertilized eggs were incubated in 40 221 L tanks (without replicates) at 15°C and at the same PCO₂ conditions as respective FO. Hatching occurred 222 after four days (30.03.2018).

223 **4.1.2.1.** Larval rearing

224 Two days after hatch (02.04.2018), larvae were distributed into twelve black 35 L tanks. Triplicate tanks were allocated to each of the four OAW treatments with ca. 4500 and 4200 larvae tank⁻¹ in A and Δ 1000 225 tanks, resulting in a total of ca. 13500 and 12800 larvae condition⁻¹ in A and Δ 1000, respectively. The 226 227 temperature of the tanks allocated to warm life condition was increased stepwise by 1 °C day⁻¹ during 228 the following five days. Starting at 7 days post-hatch (dph) (mouth opening), larvae were fed with live 229 artemia, hatched from High HUFA Premium artemia cysts (Catvis, AE 's-Hertogenbosch, Netherlands). 230 Artemia were fed to the larvae 24h after rearing cysts in sea water. Larvae were fed ad libitum with 231 artemia during the day, excess artemia left the tank via the waste water outflow. Larval mortality was 232 26-96 %, without any pattern for OAW condition (Table S 2). High mortality of sea bass larvae, especially 233 during early larval rearing are common in science and aquaculture (e.g. Nolting, et al., 1999; Suzer, et 234 al., 2007; Villamizar, et al., 2009). We could not find any signs of infection neither in the tanks with high 235 mortality, nor in the tanks with lower mortality rates. However, as larval mortality was unreasonably 236 high (96%) within the first week in one of the replicate tanks of the W-A treatment, remaining larvae in

this tank were euthanized (sedation followed by an anaesthetic overdose) and not used for further
analysis. Water surface was kept free of oily films using a protein skimmer. Water exchange was set to
25 l/h and stepwise increased to 40 l/h at the end of larval rearing.

240 4.1.2.2. Juvenile rearing

241 At approx. 950 dd, the early juveniles were counted per tank and transferred from larval to juvenile 242 rearing (48 dph, 17.05.2018 and 63 dph, 01.06.2018 for W and C, respectively). For F1-W, only the Δ 1000 243 fish were transferred to juvenile rearing facilities. Juveniles were randomly allocated to duplicate tanks 244 per condition. Swim bladder test was done at 1680 dd (83 dph, 21.06.2018) and 1661 dd (104 dph, 245 12.07.2018) for F1-W and F1-C, respectively. Briefly, the fish were anaesthetized and introduced into a 246 test container with a salinity of 65 psu (Marine SeaSalt, Tetra, Melle, Germany). In F1-W, all floating fish 247 with a developed swim bladder were counted and kept in the rearing tanks, resulting in 355 fish per tank 248 (710 fish in total). In F1-C, 410 fish per tank were randomly selected (820 fish per condition), to have 249 similar stocking densities in W and C. Non-floating fish as well as excess F1-C fish were counted and 250 euthanized (sedation followed by an anesthetic overdose). The juveniles were reared in round tanks 251 with a volume of 0.67 m^3 and a depth of 0.65 m. During the first five days after moving to juvenile 252 rearing, the juveniles were fed artemia nauplii and commercial fish food. Afterwards commercial fish 253 food was fed as described above.

254 4.1.3. Experimental conditions

255 **4.1.3.1. Sea water preparation**

The sea water used in the aquaria was pumped in from the Bay of Brest from a depth of 20 m approximately 500 m from the coastline, passed through a sand filter (~500 µm), heated (tungsten, Plate Heat Exchanger, Vicarb, Sweden), degassed using a column packed with plastic rings, filtered using a 2 μm membrane and finally UV sterilized (PZ50, 75W, Ocene, France) assuring high water quality.

Water conditions for the rearing tanks were preadjusted to the desired OAW condition in header tanks. Sea water arrived in a reservoir next to the rearing facilities, after passing the tungsten heater, in F1, two different reservoirs were used to create the different temperature conditions. The temperature controlled water supplied the header tanks within the rearing facilities to adjust the water to the desired OA condition. Each header tank supplied water to all replicate tanks of the respective condition.

In F0 larvae and juveniles the water pH in the header tank was controlled by an automatic injection system connected to a pH electrode (pH Control, JBL, Germany), which injected either air (A) or CO_2 ($\Delta 1000$), to control water pH. For the $\Delta 1000$ F1 larvae the CO_2 -bubbling was installed in the middle of the header tank and the water was mixed continuously with a pump. The CO_2 -bubbling was adjusted by a flow control unit, when pH deviated from the desired value. Older F0-A juveniles (> 2 years) and adults, as well as F1-A larvae and juveniles received water directly from the respective reservoir, without header tank. Additionally as water exchange rates became too high for the automatic injection system and the header tank, PVC columns were installed to control the pH in the rearing tanks. The temperature controlled water arrived at the top of the column and was pumped from the bottom of the column to the rearing tanks. The CO₂-bubbling was installed at the bottom of the column and was adjusted by a flow control unit, when pH deviated from the desired value.

276 **4.1.3.2.** Calculation of water chemistry

The Microsoft Excel macro CO2sys (Lewis & Wallace, 1998) was used to calculate seawater carbonate chemistry, the constants after Mehrbach et al. (1973, as cited in CO2sys) refit by Dickson and Millero (1987, as cited in CO2sys), were employed.

From October 2015 onwards (late juveniles of F0), total alkalinity was measured following the protocol
 of Anderson & Robinson (1946) and Strickland & Parsons (1972): 50 ml of filtered tank water (200 μm
 nylon mesh) were mixed with 15 ml HCl (0.01 M) and pH was measured immediately. Total alkalinity
 was then calculated with the following formula:

$$TA = \frac{V_{HCl} \cdot c_{HCl}}{V_{sample}} - \frac{\left(V_{HCl} + V_{sample}\right)}{V_{sample}} \cdot \frac{\{H^+\}}{\gamma_{H^+}} \left[\frac{mol}{l}\right]$$

285 With: TA – total alkalinity [mol \cdot l⁻¹], V_{HCI} – volume HCI [I], c_{HCI} – concentration HCI [mol \cdot l⁻¹], V_{sample} – 286 volume of sample [I], H⁺ – hydrogen activity (10^{-pH}), γ^{H+} – hydrogen activity coefficient (here γ^{H+} = 0.758).

287 4.1.3.3. Water quality control

Temperature and pH were checked each morning with a handheld WTW 330i or 3110 pH meter (Xylem Analytics Germany, Weilheim, Germany; with electrode: WTW Sentix 41, NIST scale) before feeding the fish. Until F0 juveniles reached 2 years, the pH meter and the automatic injection system were calibrated weekly with fresh buffers (Merk, Germany). Measured values never differed more than 2% from the target values. Afterwards the pH meter was calibrated daily with NIST certified WTW technical buffers pH 4.01 and pH 7.00 (Xylem Analytics Germany, Weilheim, Germany).

Total pH was determined twice during F0 larval rearing (start and end) and nine times during F0 juvenile rearing following Dickson et al. (2007) using m-cresol purple as indicator. Additionally, water samples were sent to LABOCEA (France) to measure total alkalinity by titration, as well as phosphate and silicate concentration by segmented flow analysis following Aminot et al. (2009).

In later F0 juveniles (> 2 years) and adults as well as F1 larvae and juveniles, total alkalinity was measured
monthly or weekly in F0 and F1, respectively, following the protocol described above. Oxygen saturation
(WTW Oxi 340, Xylem Analytics Germany, Weilheim, Germany) and salinity (WTW LF325, Xylem
Analytics Germany, Weilheim, Germany) were measured together with total alkalinity (monthly in F0
and weekly in F1). The tanks were cleaned daily after pH-measurements. Water flow within the tanks

- 303 was adjusted once a week, so that oxygen saturation levels were kept >85%, with equal flow rates in all
- tanks of one temperature. All water parameters are summarized in Table 1 for F0 larvae and juveniles
 and Table 2 for F0 adults (two years before spawning) and F1 larvae and juveniles.

306 **4.2. Growth**

307 4.2.1. Larval growth

308 4.2.1.1. F0 larvae

Larval growth was measured as described in Crespel et al. (2017). Briefly, 10 larvae per tank were sampled each week, starting at 15 dph and ending at 45 dph, when 30 larvae per tank were sampled. For growth measurements, larvae were anaesthetized with phenoxyethanol (200 ppm) and their wet mass (WM), as well as body length (BL) were measured. BL in F0 larvae was measured with a caliper from the tip of the snout to the end of the notochord until flexion, afterwards fork length was considered as BL, see Figure S 2.

315 4.2.1.2. F1 larvae

In F1 larvae, individuals were sampled every 200 dd from 100 – 900 dd to follow growth throughout the
larval phase. At each sampling point, 20 larvae per tank were anaesthetized with MS-222 (50 mg l⁻¹,
Pharma Q) prior to feeding and directly photographed individually with a microscope (Leica M165C).
The larvae were then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until dry mass (DM) measurements.
The software ImageJ (Schneider, et al., 2012) was used to determine BL of larvae, see Figure S 2 on the
definition of BL.

322 **4.2.2. Growth in juveniles**

BL and WM were measured approx. every 30 days in F0 and every 3 – 4 weeks in F1 juveniles. Early juveniles were starved for one day prior to growth samplings. Later on, two days of starving were put into practice, to make sure that digestive tracts were empty. Juveniles were caught from their tanks and anaesthetized with MS-222 (Pharma Q). Concentration of anesthetic was adjusted to reach a loss of equilibrium within less than 5 minutes, typically 0.2 g l⁻¹. WM and BL were directly determined with a precision balance (Sartorius MC1 AC210P) and calipers. For all sampling, only the morning hours were used, to avoid diurnal artefacts in data.

330 **4.2.3. Data handling**

331 For F1 larvae and juveniles, mean specific growth rates (SGR [% day⁻¹]) of each tank were calculated after

332 Sutcliffe (1970) with the following formula:

333
$$SGR = 100 \cdot (e^g - 1)$$

334 The instantaneous growth coefficient (g) was calculated as followed:

 $g = \frac{\ln S_1 - \ln S_0}{\Lambda t}$

With: S_0 and S_1 – initial and final size (BL, WM or DM) and Δt – time between the two measurements 336 337 [days]. Initial and final sizes were calculated for three quantiles (0.05, 0.5 and 0.95) for each tank ("ecdf" 338 function in R).

339 Q₁₀ was calculated with the following formula:

$$Q_{10} = \left(\frac{SGR_W}{SGR_C}\right)^{\left(\frac{10}{T_W - T_C}\right)}$$

341 With: SGR – specific growth rate, T – temperature, W and C as subscripts for W and C condition.

342 4.3. Respirometry

343 4.3.1. F1 larvae

344 Larval respiration measurements were conducted from approx. 350 to 950 dd in all conditions (18 - 47)345 dph and 25 – 63 dph in W and C, respectively).

346 Larval respiration was measured in an intermittent flow system. The setup consisted of up to eight 4 ml 347 micro respiration chambers with a glass ring (Unisense A/S, Aarhus, Denmark), equipped with a glass 348 coated magnetic stirrer (Loligo® Systems, Viborg, Denmark) and a stainless steel mesh (Loligo® Systems, 349 Viborg, Denmark), to separate the stirrer from the larva. The magnetic stirrers were connected to one 350 stirrer controller (Rank Brothers Ltd., Cambridge, England). The chamber was closed with a custom-351 made glass lid with three metal ports: two with a diameter of 0.8 mm for water inflow and outflow 352 during the flushing, and one with 1.2 mm to insert the oxygen sensor into the chamber. Oxygen 353 concentration within the chamber was measured with oxygen microsensors connected to a FireSting 354 oxygen meter (PyroScience GmbH, Aachen, Germany). The respiration chambers were placed within a 355 rack without shielding between the individual chambers. The rack holding the respiration chambers was 356 fully submerged in a water reservoir, which received flow through water from the respective header 357 tanks of the larval rearing. Water conditions within the water reservoir were kept at 15.5±1.5 °C and 358 21.2±1.0°C for W and C larvae, combined with the OA condition of the origin tank of the respective 359 larvae. The reservoir was a black container, which shielded the respiration setup from external 360 disturbances. During the flushing periods, water from the reservoir was pumped into the respiration 361 chambers using computer-controlled flush pumps (Miniature DC pump, Loligo® Systems, Viborg, Denmark), relays and software (AquaResp, Copenhagen, Denmark). Four chambers were connected to 362 363 one flush pump and controlled by one computer. Oxygen microsensors were calibrated to 0% saturation

364 (nitrogen purged seawater) and 100% saturation (fully aerated seawater) prior to each measurement.

365 Respiration measurements were done in the larval rearing facilities with the same light conditions as for 366 larval rearing. Larvae were fasted at least three hours prior to respiration measurements to minimize 367 the effect of specific dynamic action (SDA) on metabolic rate. Preliminary tests with measurements 368 overnight proved that oxygen consumption during the 12 h after the 3-h fasting period was similar, 369 suggesting no contribution of SDA and thus that the 3h-fasting was sufficient for our setup. Larvae were 370 individually placed in the respiration chambers. Oxygen partial pressure was measured every second for approx. four hours. Cycles were composed of 420 s flush, followed by 60 s wait time (time after flush 371 372 pump stopped to wait for stable drop in oxygen concentration) and 600 to 180 s measurement time (13-373 20 cycles per larvae). Measurement time was decreased with increasing larval size. Oxygen 374 concentration was restored to normoxia during the flush time of each cycle and was usually kept above 375 75% air saturation. Background respiration was measured for 30 min (one slope) after 11 and 18 376 measurements in F1-C and F1-W larvae, respectively. The mean bacterial respiration was calculated for 377 each temperature treatment and subtracted from total respiration of all larvae of this temperature to 378 obtain oxygen consumption of the larva. Background respiration was typically 0.5 - 6 % of total 379 respiration. Only declines in oxygen concentration displaying $R^2 > 0.80$ were used for analysis. After the measurement, larvae were checked if alive, anaesthetized with MS-222 (50 mg l^{-1} Pharma Q), 380 381 photographed individually and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Length and DM of the larvae was obtained as 382 described above (see Table S 3). After each experiment, the respiration system was rinsed with fresh 383 water and let dry. For disinfection, respiration chambers, the tubing of the flush pump and the oxygen 384 sensors were additionally rinsed with ethanol, which was allowed to sit in the chambers and the tubing 385 for at least 30 min followed by rinsing with distilled water.

386 **4.3.2. Juveniles**

387 **4.3.2.1.** Set up F0 juveniles

388 Measurements on the 15 months old F0 juveniles (F0-old) were described in Crespel et al. (2019), 389 measurements on the 5 months old F0 juveniles (F0-young) were done similarly and if different, the 390 information for FO-young are given in brackets. Briefly, FO juvenile respiration was measured individually 391 in one of four (eight) intermittent flow respirometry chambers with a volume of 2.1 l (60 ml), which were 392 submerged in a tank which received flow-through seawater at 15 ± 0.25 °C and the respective 393 acidification condition. The water was recirculated within the chamber with a peristaltic pump with gas-394 tight tubing. The oxygen probe (FireSting oxygen meter, PyroScience GmbH, Aachen, Germany or 395 multichannel oxygen meter, PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany) was placed within 396 the recirculation loop. Oxygen sensors were calibrated to 0% saturation (sodium sulfite, saturated) and 397 100% saturation (fully aerated seawater) prior to each experiment. The flush pumps were controlled by 398 relays and software (AquaResp, Copenhagen, Denmark). The setup was placed behind a curtain to avoid 399 disturbances. Background respiration was measured after each experiment and estimated for the whole

400 experiment by linear regression assuming zero background respiration at the beginning of the run as the401 entire system was disinfected with household bleach between each trial.

402 **4.3.2.2. Set up F1 juveniles**

403 F1 juvenile respiration was measured in an intermittent flow system. The setup consisted of up to eight 404 450 ml custom-made respiration chambers. The chambers were made from Lock&Lock glass containers 405 with plastic lid. Four rubber ports were placed into the lid: two for water inflow and outflow during 406 flushing cycles and two to connect the chamber to a mixing pump (Miniature DC pump, Loligo® Systems, 407 Viborg, Denmark). Oxygen concentration was measured with robust oxygen probes placed within the 408 circulation loop and connected to a FireSting oxygen meter (PyroScience GmbH, Aachen, Germany) or 409 to a multichannel oxygen meter (PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany). The 410 respiration chambers were fully submerged in a flow-through water reservoir. Water conditions within 411 the water reservoir were kept at 14.9±1.0 °C and 22.3±1.8°C for C and W larvae, combined with the OA 412 condition of the origin tank of the respective juvenile. During the flushing periods, water from the 413 reservoir was pumped into the respiration chambers using computer-controlled flush pumps (EHEIM 414 GmbH & Co. KG, Deizisau, Germany), relays and software (AquaResp, Copenhagen, Denmark). Four 415 chambers were connected to one flush pump and controlled by one computer, running either the 416 FireSting or the PreSens oxygen meter. The setup was covered with black foil to avoid disturbances. 417 Oxygen sensors were calibrated to 0% saturation (nitrogen purged seawater) and 100% saturation (fully 418 aerated seawater) prior to each experiment. Background respiration was measured for 30 min (one 419 slope) after each measurement and the run was discarded, if background respiration was >10 %. After 420 each experiment the whole system excluding the oxygen sensors was disinfected with household bleach 421 or Virkon® (Antec International Limited, Suffolk, United Kingdom) and rinsed with freshwater 422 afterwards.

423 4.3.2.3. Measurement protocol

Respiration measurements of F0 juveniles were done on approx. 5 (119 – 165 dph) and 15 months (454
- 495 dph) old juveniles. F1 juvenile respiration measurements were conducted from 2900 to 3900 dd
(137-178 dph, 5 months) and 4700-5100 dd (291-318 dph, 10 months) for F1-W and F1-C, respectively.
F1-C fish were older than F1-W fish at the measurement time in order to have comparable fish sizes (see
Table S 4).

Juvenile sea bass were fasted for 48-72h prior to respiration measurements to minimize the effect of residual SDA (Dupont-Prinet, et al., 2010). Juveniles were randomly taken from their tank and placed individually in the respiration chambers. The whole setup was shielded from external disturbances with curtains or black foil, but the individual respiration chambers were not shielded from each other. F0 juveniles were chased until exhaustion prior to introduction to the chambers (MMR data partly 434 published in Crespel et al., 2019). Each experiment lasted for about 70 hours in F0 and 65 hours in F1. 435 Oxygen partial pressure was measured 1/s and was usually kept above 80%, until start of critical oxygen 436 concentration (PO_{2crit}) trial (see below). Each cycle was composed of 360 s (F0) and 540 s (F1) flush time, 437 during which oxygen concentration was restored to normoxia (until PO2crit trial), followed by 30 s wait 438 and 210 s (F0) and 180 s (F1) measurement time. In F0 only the measurements taken after the fish fully 439 recovered from chasing stress were used to calculate SMR, usually after 10 hours. In F1, the first 5 hours 440 of each experiment were not used for analysis of SMR, to account for acclimation of the fish to the 441 respirometer and recovery from handling stress, resulting in approx. 390 and 310 cycles in F0 and F1 442 juveniles, respectively. Analyses were performed only on declines in oxygen concentration displaying R² 443 > 0.85 and R² > 0.90 in F0 and F1, respectively. On the third morning, a PO_{2crit} trial was done on F0-old and F1 juveniles, see below. After finishing the trial or the respiration measurement for F0-young, fish 444 445 were removed from the chamber. FO juveniles were weighed and measured in BL prior to the 446 experiment, F1 juveniles after the experiment. F0-old juveniles were identified by their PIT tag and 447 returned to their origin tank after the experiment. FO-young juveniles and F1 juveniles were killed by a 448 cut through the spine after the experiment.

449 4.3.2.4. Critical oxygen concentration trial

450 On the third morning, oxygen concentration in the tank surrounding the chambers was continuously 451 decreased, in F0-old by passing the water through a gas equilibration column supplied with nitrogen gas 452 before pumping it to the tank. In F1 the decrease in oxygen concentration was done by bubbling nitrogen 453 directly into the surrounding water bath. The decrease lasted over a period of four to six hours to 454 determine *P*O_{2crit}. When the fish lost equilibrium in the oxygen depleted chambers, they were removed 455 from their chamber and treated as described above.

456 4.3.3. Data handling

In F0 juveniles the metabolic rate (MR, in mg O₂ h⁻¹ kg WW⁻¹ in F0) was calculated by the Aquaresp software. In F1 oxygen concentration was converted from % air saturation to nmol l⁻¹ and mmol l⁻¹ in larvae and juveniles, respectively ("conv_O2" function of "respirometry" package, (Birk, 2020)). MRs were calculated from the raw data with the following formulas:

461
$$MR = Slope \cdot V_{Resp}$$

462 With: Slope – oxygen decline in the respiration chamber during one measurement cycle ([nmol $O_2 l^{-1} h^{-1}$ 463 ¹] and [mmol $O_2 l^{-1} h^{-1}$] for larvae and juveniles, respectively), V_{resp} – Volume of respirometer [I].

464 RMR of F1 larvae was calculated as the mean MR throughout the measuring period (approx. 4h). SMR 465 of F0 juveniles was calculated following the protocol of Chabot et al. (2016) as described in Crespel et 466 al. (2019). SMR of F1 juveniles was calculated in R with the "calcSMR" function of "fishMO2" package 467 (Chabot, 2020), derived from this protocol. Briefly, the best SMR was chosen as described in Chabot et al. (2016) as either the SMR deriving from the mean of the lowest normal distribution (MLND) method
(SMR_{MLND}) or the SMR deriving from the quantile method with p=0.2 (SMR_{quant}). SMR_{MLND} was used when
the coefficient of variation (CV) was < 7% or < 5.4%, in F0 and F1, respectively, otherwise SMR_{quant} was
applied. Both RMR and SMR were divided by fish mass (resulting in RMR_{Raw} and SMR_{Raw}) and then
corrected for allometric scaling with the following formulas:

473
$$RMR = RMR_{Raw} \cdot \left(\frac{DM}{DM_{mean}}\right)^{1-coeff_{Larvae}}$$

474

$$SMR = SMR_{Raw} \cdot \left(\frac{WM}{WM_{mean}}\right)^{1-coeff_{juv}}$$

With: RMR_{Raw} and SMR_{Raw} – RMR [nmol O₂ μ g DM⁻¹ h⁻¹] and SMR [mmol O₂ kg WW⁻¹ h⁻¹] calculated as described in the text, DM – larval dry mass [μ g], WM – juvenile wet mass [kg], DM_{mean} and WM_{mean} – Mean DM and WM of all larvae and juveniles, respectively, coeff_{Larvae} and coeff_{Juv} – allometric scaling coefficient for larvae (0.89) and juveniles (0.99), respectively. The allometric scaling coefficients used were the slopes of linear regressions of MR over mass in the whole larval (F1) and juvenile (F0 and F1 together) dataset. Q₁₀ was calculated with the same formula as used for SGR (see section 4.2.3).

481 PO_{2crit} was calculated with the "calcO2crit" functions of "fishMO2" package (Chabot, 2020), or according
482 to Claireaux and Chabot (2016).

483 4.4. Statistical analysis

All statistics were performed with R (R Core Team, 2020). All data were tested for outliers (Nalimov test), 484 485 normality (Shapiro-Wilk's test) and homogeneity (Levene's test). None of the datasets met the 486 assumptions for ANOVA, therefore all data were fitted to linear mixed effects models (LME models, 487 "Ime" function of the "nlme" package, Pinheiro et al., 2017). Rearing tank was included as a random 488 effect in all models. For the respirometry experiments, respirometer was also included as random effect. 489 In case of heterogeneity of data, variance structures were included in the random part of the model. 490 The best variance structure was chosen according to lowest Akaike information criteria (AIC) values. After fitting fixed and random effects, a backwards model selection process was applied to determine 491 492 the significant and fixed variables and interactions. If significant effects were detected in the linear mixed 493 effect models, posthoc Tukey tests were performed with the "Ismeans" function ("Ismeans" package, 494 Lenth, 2016). Significance for all statistical tests was set at p < 0.05. All graphs are produced from the Ismeans-data with the "ggplot2" package (Wickham, 2016). All data are shown as Ismeans ± s.e.m. 495 496 (standard error of the mean).

497 **4.4.1. Growth data**

498

499 Larval BL (F0 and F1 larvae)

- 500 Larval BL at mouth opening was only measured in F1 larvae. As these were reared in a full factorial 501 design, temperature condition, PCO₂ concentration and their interactions were included as fixed effects 502 in the model. Across generations, the dataset for larval BL at metamorphosis and over time was 503 imbalanced, therefore it was not possible to test the effect of temperature, PCO₂ condition, generation 504 and their interaction separately, instead treatment was used as fixed variable in the model for larval BL 505 at metamorphosis, resulting in six groups: F0-A, F0-Δ1000, F1-C-A, F1-C-Δ1000, F1-W-A and F1-W-506 Δ 1000. For larval BL over time, treatment, age and the interaction between group and age were included 507 as fixed effects in the model.
- 508 Larval DM (F1 larvae)
- 509 Larval DM was only measured in F1 larvae, therefore temperature condition, PCO₂ concentration, age
- 510 and their interactions were included as fixed effects in the model for log-transformed larval DM over
- 511 time. Larval DM at mouth opening and metamorphosis was analyzed with temperature condition, PCO₂
- 512 concentration and their interactions as fixed effects.
- 513 Juvenile BL and WM over time (F1 juveniles)
- As F0 and F1 juveniles had different temperature life histories as well as rearing conditions, their growth rates over time were not directly compared. Due to an imbalanced dataset in F1 juveniles, it was not possible to test the effect of temperature, *P*CO₂ condition and their interaction separately. Instead, as
- 517 for larval BL, treatment was used as fixed variable, resulting in three groups: F1-C-A, F1-C-Δ1000 and F1-
- 518 W-Δ1000. Treatment, age and the interaction between treatment and age were included as fixed effects
- 519 in the models for juvenile BL and log-transformed juvenile WM over time.
- 520 Juvenile BL and WM at 3000dd (F0 and F1 juveniles)
- 521 Juvenile BL and WM were compared at 3000 dd across generations. Due to the imbalanced dataset,
- 522 treatment was again used as fixed effect. For juvenile BL and WM, treatment included the following five
- 523 groups: F0-A, F0-Δ1000, F1-C-A, F1-C-Δ1000 and F1-W-Δ1000.
- 524

525 **4.4.2. Respirometry**

- 526 Larval RMR (F1 larvae)
- 527 As larvae were reared in a full factorial design, temperature condition, *P*CO₂ concentrations and their 528 interactions were included as fixed effects in the model.
- 529 Juvenile SMR and PO_{2crit} (F0 and F1 juveniles)
- 530 Due to an imbalanced dataset for juvenile respirometry, it was not possible to test the effect of
- temperature, *P*CO₂ condition, generation, age and their interaction separately, instead treatment was
- used as fixed variable, resulting in seven groups for SMR: F0-A-young, F0-Δ1000-young, F0-A-old, F0-

Δ1000-old, F1-C-A, F0-C-Δ1000 and F1-W-Δ1000 and five groups for PO_{2crit}: F0-A-old, F0-Δ1000-old, F1 C-A, F0-C-Δ1000 and F1-W-Δ1000.

535 **5. Results**

536 **5.1. Growth**

537 Neither temperature nor PCO₂ treatment had a significant effect on larval size at mouth opening stage 538 in F1 larvae (Figure 2A and D, Table 4). During the following larval development, higher temperatures 539 significantly increased growth if larvae were compared at the same age (dph): F1-C larvae were smaller than FO and F1-W larvae at higher temperature (Figure 3A and B, Table 4). SGR ranged from 7.85 to 9.75 540 % day⁻¹ for larval DM and 11.67 to 14.76 % day⁻¹ for larval BL (Table 3). The higher growth rates in F1-W 541 542 larvae resulted in Q₁₀ of 1.67-2.12 and 1.81-2.35 for DM and BL (Table 3). PCO₂ had no effect on growth 543 of F0 and F1-C larvae, but reduced growth significantly in F1-W larvae (Table 4). Due to the longer larval 544 duration in colder temperatures (900 dd equals 45 dph at 20°C and 60 dph at 15°C), F1-C larvae were of 545 comparable size to F1-W-A and F0 larvae at metamorphosis. In contrast, F1-W-Δ1000 larvae were 546 significantly smaller at metamorphosis than any other group of larvae (Figure 2B and E, Table 4).

547 In juveniles, the overall positive effect of temperature on growth persisted, with F1-W juveniles 548 displaying significantly higher growth rates than F1-C juveniles (Figure 3C and D, Table 4). SGR ranged 549 from 2.88-5.16 % day⁻¹ for juvenile WM and 0.84-1.55 % day⁻¹ for juvenile BL, the higher growth rates 550 resulted in Q₁₀ of 2.41-2.72 and 2.31-2.52 for WM and BL, respectively, in F1-∆1000 juveniles. If 551 compared at the age of 3000 dd (165, 140 and 181 dph for F0, F1-W and F1-C juveniles, respectively), 552 the difference in size was inverted compared to metamorphosis, F1-W-Δ1000 juveniles were now significantly larger than any other group (Figure 2C and F, Table 4). PCO₂ did not have any significant 553 554 effect on growth of F0 or F1-C juveniles. The effect of PCO₂on F1-W juveniles was not determined due 555 to the missing F1-W-A treatment.

556 5.2. Metabolic rates

557 Metabolic rate estimations were done on larvae with mean size ranging from approx. 1.5 to 3.0 mg DM 558 and 11.5 to 14 mm BL with no significant differences in size between treatments (BL and DM, Table S 3). For juveniles, mean size ranged from approx. 3 to 62 g WM and 9 to 20 cm BL (Table S 4), with no 559 560 significant differences in size (BL and WM) or condition factor between acidification treatments of the 561 same age and generation (ANOVA, P>0.05 for F0-old; LME, P>0.05 for F0-young and F1-C) nor between 562 F1-C and F1-W (LME, P>0.05). The positive effect of temperature on growth was mirrored in larval RMR 563 in F1: RMR was significantly lower in F1-C compared to F1-W. But in contrast to growth no effect of PCO₂ 564 treatment or an interaction of temperature and PCO_2 treatment on larval RMR was observed (Figure 4A, 565 Table 4). A Q₁₀ of 2.24 and 2.51 was calculated for larval RMR for F1-A and F1-Δ1000 larvae, respectively.

566 Similarly, juvenile SMR was significantly lower in F1-C compared to F1-W juveniles (Figure 4B, Table 4), 567 with Q_{10} of 1.61 for F1- Δ 1000 juveniles. The comparison between the two generations showed that the 568 SMR in the F0 juveniles did not change significantly between 5- and 15-months old juveniles, but F0-569 SMR estimates were significantly lower than those in F1 juveniles (Table 4). Comparable to larval RMR, 570 there was no significant effect of PCO₂ in juvenile SMR at each thermal treatment. Although the LME 571 model states a significant effect of treatment on the critical oxygen concentration PO_{2crit} (Figure 4C, 572 Table 4), posthoc tests revealed only a significant difference between F0- Δ 1000 and F1-C-A (P<0.04), all 573 other groups were not significantly different from each other.

574 6. Discussion

575 Long-term experiments exploring the potential of fish to adapt to OAW are still scarce, especially in 576 larger, temperate species with long generation times. In this long-term experiment, we observed that 577 OW as single driver increased growth rates and RMR in the warm F1 larval sea bass, but due to the 578 decreased larval phase duration at warmer temperatures, F1-C-A and F1-W-A larvae had similar size at 579 metamorphosis. OA as single driver had no effects on F1 larval and juvenile growth nor on metabolism 580 at ambient (cold) temperature. Under OAW, F1-W- Δ 1000 larvae were significantly smaller at 581 metamorphosis than any other group, while maintaining similar RMR as F1-W-A larvae. As they grew 582 into juveniles, F1-W-∆1000 fish were bigger than F1-C fish at 3000 dd and had the highest SMR. 583 Unfortunately, the F1-W-A group could not be kept until juvenile phase. Although F0 and F1-W larvae 584 were both raised at increased temperatures, we observed that the detrimental effects of OAW occurred 585 only in F1-W- Δ 1000 and not in F0- Δ 1000. We also observed that juvenile SMR was lower in F0 than in 586 F1-C and F1-W, with no effect of OA in F0 and F1-C. Juvenile PO_{2crit} was not affected by OA or OAW in 587 both generations.

588 6.1. Effects of OW on European sea bass growth and metabolism

589 F1-C larvae were reared at 15°C, reflecting ambient temperature towards middle to end of the spawning 590 season in the Bay of Brest. We applied a warming scenario of + 5°C on F1-W larvae, which reflects typical 591 rearing temperatures in aquaculture, as well as natural temperatures towards middle to end of the 592 spawning season in the Mediterranean (Ayala, et al., 2003). This thermal treatment (20°C) is well below 593 the upper thermal limits for seabass larvae from the Bay of Brest (27°C, Moyano, et al., 2017). OW as a 594 single driver at ambient PCO₂ significantly increased growth rates and decreased the time to reach 595 metamorphosis in F1-W-A larvae in comparison to F1-C-A larvae. Due to the longer larval phase duration, 596 size at metamorphosis was comparable between F1-C-A larvae and F1-W-A larvae. Faster growth at 597 higher temperatures and similar size at metamorphosis despite different temperatures has been shown 598 in other studies for sea bass from Mediterranean and Atlantic populations (Ayala, et al., 2001; 2003).

599 OW also increased RMR in F1-W-A larvae compared to F1-C-A larvae. The increase in RMR was similar 600 to the increase in SGR, reflected by similar Q₁₀ (1.96, 2.22 and 2.24 for SGR of dry mass and body length 601 (DM and BL, 0.5 Quantile) and RMR, respectively). This reflects the expected Q₁₀ increase of 2-3 for 602 biological processes and confirmed our hypothesis that OW will lead to increased growth and RMR in 603 larval sea bass of this particular population. We did not determine the effects of OW as a single driver 604 on growth and metabolism in F1 juveniles, due to the absence of F1-W-A.

605 6.2. Effects of OA on European sea bass growth and metabolism

606 OA as single driver within the cold temperature condition did neither affect growth and metabolism (RMR; SMR), nor PO_{2crit} in F1 European sea bass larvae or juveniles. In the wild, sea bass eggs are 607 608 spawned in stable open ocean conditions and larvae develop during the drifting towards the coast, 609 therefore larvae were thought to be less resilient to OA than juveniles and adults. This has already been 610 proven not to be the case for sea bass in scenarios up to SSP5-8.5 and similar (Pope, et al., 2014; F0 in 611 Crespel, et al., 2017) and was further confirmed by this study, as larval growth and RMR were not 612 affected by OA within the cold temperature group. As juvenile sea bass inhabit coastal areas and have 613 been shown to be tolerant to a broad range of environmental factors, including temperature and salinity 614 (Dalla Via, et al., 1998; Claireaux & Lagardère, 1999), their tolerance to OA was expected and could be confirmed in this study – no effects of OA within the cold temperature group on growth, SMR and PO_{2crit} 615 616 were observed. Our study also supports the hypothesis of Montgomery et al. (2019) that an observed 617 20% decrease in PO_{2crit} under acute increase of PCO_2 (3 to 5 fold increase in PCO_2 within approx. 6 hours) 618 in European sea bass will vanish after long-term acclimation to OA.

619 6.3. Combined effects of OA and OW on European sea bass growth and metabolism

620 However, the combined effects of OA and OW (OAW) changed the picture for larval resilience. While 621 growth rates increased sufficiently in F1-W-A to reach the same size at metamorphosis than F1-C-A, F1-W-Δ1000 larvae were significantly smaller at metamorphosis than larvae from any other treatment, but 622 623 maintained RMR as high as F1-W-A larvae. Q_{10} values revealed that temperature had a stronger effect 624 on metabolic rate than on growth under OA: 1.67 and 1.95 for SGR of DM and BL (0.5 Quantile) and 2.51 625 for RMR, respectively. This suggests that F1-W-Δ1000 larvae either allocated the energy differently, such 626 as using more energy for movement or different regulatory processes, or that their energy production 627 and oxygen usage was not as efficient as in the other groups. Although it is possible that the higher RMRs 628 are due to higher activity of the F1-W-Δ1000 larvae during the measurements, larvae were regularly 629 observed during the trials and the inter-individual variability in movement did not seem related to treatment. Therefore it seems more plausible that larvae under OAW needed energy for different 630 631 regulatory processes, probably combined with decreased energy production efficiency. In this sense, we

632 already found that OAW decreased the efficiency of complex II (CII) of the electron transport system 633 (ETS) in cardiac mitochondria of juvenile sea bass in the W-Δ1000 treatment under acute temperature 634 change (Howald, et al., 2019). Inhibition of CII by OA was also found in other studies on mammals and 635 fish (Simpson, 1967; Wanders, et al., 1983; Strobel, et al., 2013). In Atlantic cod embryos, reduced 636 activity of complex I (CI) of the ETS resulted in reduced mitochondrial phosphorylation capacity and 637 subsequently in reduced oxygen consumption rates, while energy requirements were simultaneously increased (Dahlke, et al., 2017). Although CII was only affected in juvenile sea bass under acute 638 639 temperature change, it is probable that larvae are more vulnerable than juveniles (Dahlke, et al., 2020a): 640 similar to embryos (Leo, et al., 2018), they are less developed while at the same time investing all 641 available energy into growth without reserving excess capacity for environmental regulation and are therefore already affected at their acclimation temperature if OA and OW are combined. This inability 642 643 to cope with OAW has not been observed in European sea bass larvae before, contrastingly in former 644 studies growth of larval European sea bass has been shown to be resilient to OA even at rearing 645 temperature of 19°C (Pope, et al., 2014; F0 larvae in Crespel, et al., 2017). Potential explanations why 646 these differences first occurred in F1 are likely related to their parents being reared under OA conditions, 647 as well as effects due to different rearing protocols, which are both addressed below (section 6.4).

648 In contrast to larvae, F1-W- Δ 1000 juveniles displayed a greater thermal plasticity and grew significantly 649 faster than F1-C juveniles, resulting in larger fish at 3000 dd in the F1-W-∆1000 than in F1-C-A and F1-C-650 Δ 1000. High growth rates were supported by high SMR, which were also highest in F1-W- Δ 1000 juveniles 651 in comparison to F1-C-A and F1-C- Δ 1000. As we did not incubate the F1-W-A treatment to juvenile 652 phase, it is unclear whether the detrimental effects of OAW on growth and metabolism in larval 653 European sea bass would have persisted into the juvenile phase. The increased growth rates and bigger 654 size at 3000 dd in F1-W-Δ1000 juveniles in comparison to F1-C-A and F1-C-Δ1000 juveniles might either 655 indicate that OA did not affect growth in juveniles or that growth under OW was so much accelerated in 656 juveniles that F1-W- Δ 1000 fish were able to catch up and grow to bigger sizes than F1-C fish masking 657 the negative effects of OAW. The latter suggestion is supported by the findings in SMR and by the Q10 of 658 SMR and SGR: in F1- Δ 1000 juveniles, SMR was less affected by temperature (Q₁₀ 1.61) than SGR (Q₁₀ 659 2.63 and 2.45 for SGR of WM and BL (0.5 Quantile)). Q_{10} for SGR and SMR are well in the range found in 660 other studies on European sea bass from the Atlantic (Q₁₀ for SGR of WM ~ 2.4 (15-20°C, calculated from Gourtay, et al., 2018) and Q₁₀ for SMR 2.09 (14-22°C, Montgomery, et al., 2021 preprint)) and from the 661 662 Western Mediterranean populations (Q₁₀ for SGR of WM and RMR of 2.40 and 1.70, respectively, 13-663 25°C, calculated from Person-Le Ruyet, et al., 2004). The authors of the latter study explained the different Q₁₀ of RMR and SGR with increased growth rates due to increased feed intake. As the fish in 664 665 our study were fed *ad libitum*, they were able to increase food intake to support high growth rates, too. 666 The better capacity of juveniles to cope with and even profit from higher temperatures even under OAW

667 in comparison to larvae is probably due to the reproduction biology of European sea bass, as well as to 668 the generally higher capacity for acid-base regulation in juveniles in comparison to larvae. Larvae are 669 developing during spring in the open ocean resulting in stable and relatively cold temperatures (8-13°C 670 for Atlantic specimen, Jennings & Pawson, 1992), with optimal larval growth temperatures of 15-17°C 671 (Mediterranean specimens, Koumoundouros, et al., 2001; Ayala, et al., 2003). Juveniles on the other 672 hand live in shallow coastal areas, resulting in higher temperatures during summer but also higher daily 673 and seasonal variation (6-18°C for Atlantic specimens, Russel, et al., 1996) with optimal growth 674 temperatures of 22-28°C (Mediterranean specimens, Lanari, et al., 2002; Person-Le Ruyet, et al., 2004). 675 Consequently, in terms of growth and metabolism juvenile sea bass at the northern distribution range 676 might benefit from higher temperatures, as already found in other studies (Howald, et al., 2019; 677 Montgomery, et al., 2021 preprint) and do not seem to be severely affected by OA.

678 6.4. Effects of OA on European sea bass growth and metabolism over two successive generations

679 In addition to the effects of the single and combined stressors OA, OW and OAW on individual groups 680 of fish, we also studied the effects of OA in two successive generations on the ability of sea bass larvae 681 and juveniles to cope with upcoming conditions. This study is to our knowledge the first one to examine 682 the effects of OA on European sea bass or other long-lived teleost in more than one generation. 683 Interestingly the detrimental effect of OAW on larval growth was only observed in F1 and not in F0 larvae 684 of European sea bass, despite their respective parental generation's identical thermal history, and thus 685 appears to be an OA effect. This can be explained by several reasons: First, the provisioning of necessary 686 resources when parents have already encountered the same conditions as the future offspring, e.g. via 687 egg size and composition (Munday, 2014) could explain the observed trend in F1-W- Δ 1000 larvae. 688 Parental effects can lead in different directions and can last throughout larval phase: parental effects 689 influence growth in stickleback under OW and OA (Shama, et al., 2014; Schade, et al., 2014) and 690 explained differences in embryo mortality and hatching success in Atlantic cod under OW (Dahlke, et al., 691 2017). In our study, we did not measure egg size and quality nor did we incubate offspring of FO-A in 692 cross factorial $\Delta 1000$ scenarios, so we cannot directly quantify parental or transgenerational effects. 693 However, the size of F1 larvae at mouth opening, a landmark until which the larvae depend on yolk sac 694 reserves, did not differ across treatments. Thus, using this landmark as indirect indicator, parental 695 provisioning does not seem to explain differences in larval growth rates. Second, the incubation protocol 696 differed between F0 and F1. While F0 larvae were first incubated under OA conditions at 2 dph, F1 sea 697 bass were constantly reared under OA conditions from fertilization onwards, although warming was also 698 applied from 2 dph onwards. It is possible that effects of OA during embryogenesis shaped the reaction 699 of F1 larvae to OAW, e.g. via epigenetic signaling. As reviewed by Dahlke et al. (2020a), it seems that 700 spawning adults and embryos are the most vulnerable life stages in fish, possessing the lowest tolerance

to OW, e.g. Atlantic cod embryos exposed to OAW showed reduced hatching success and oxygen
consumption rates (Dahlke, et al., 2016) and OA decreased the Q₁₀ of RMR in Atlantic silverside embryos
(Schwemmer, et al., 2020). To summarize, the different reaction of F0 and F1 larvae to OAW could be
due to parental effects or effects during embryogenesis and more research is necessary to determine
the underlying mechanisms.

706 As the different temperature life histories and replication schemes (no replicate tanks in F0 juveniles) 707 did not allow a direct comparison of growth rates between F0 and F1 juveniles, we compared size at the 708 age of approx. 3000 dd (165, 140 and 181 dph for F0, F1-W and F1-C juveniles). Due to their high growth 709 rates during juvenile phase, F1-W- Δ 1000 fish were largest at 3000 dd, while F0 and F1-C fish were smaller 710 (WM and BL) but similar to each other. This matched the result of SMR, which was not affected by OA and was higher in F1-W compared to F1-C fish. Surprisingly, SMR was also higher in F1-C compared to 711 712 F0 fish. This difference might be explained by the different temperature life histories. While F0 fish had 713 been raised at warmer temperatures and were acclimated to colder temperatures afterwards, F1-C fish 714 had been reared at 15°C throughout their life, except for summer months, when temperatures reached 715 up to 19°C. No detrimental effects on juvenile growth rate under OA were visible in the second 716 generation of sea bass reared under OA conditions, reflected by similar SMR and SGR between A and 717 Δ1000 condition. Due to the missing F1-W-A treatment, we cannot state if the detrimental effects of 718 OAW observed in F1 larvae persisted to juvenile phase.

719 6.5. Ecological perspective

720 Larvae are not fully developed compared to later stages and are exposed to higher predation and 721 starvation risks, as such they had been thought to be more vulnerable to environmental stressors such 722 as OAW (as reviewed in Houde, 2009). In this context, OAW could impact larval survival and recruitment 723 success via different mechanisms. If OAW leads to faster growth rates and increased metabolic rates (as 724 seen in this study between F1-W and F1-C larvae), larvae need more food in shorter time to support 725 these growth rates, therefore it is essential that they match adequate prey fields (prey abundance, size 726 and quality). In our study the larvae were fed ad libitum at both temperatures, supporting increased 727 energetic demands for the high growth and metabolic rates at higher temperatures. However, in the 728 ocean it is possible that food availability is not sufficient to support accelerated growth under OW. 729 Bochdansky et al. (2005) showed that fish larvae with higher growth and metabolic rates died earlier, 730 when food was limited, but profited when fed at saturation level. In sea bass larvae, high growth rates 731 were also only supported under high food ratios, but survival was not significantly decreased, even at 732 one eighths of saturation ratio (Zambonino Infante, et al., 1996). This might indicate that sea bass will 733 not grow as fast as in our study under future OW scenarios if food is scarce, but might still survive to 734 juvenile stage.

735 Besides food-related aspects, OAW can also have a large impact on larval behavior and dispersal, which 736 can later influence recruitment success. Sea bass spawn in the open ocean and larvae are drifted inshore 737 (Jennings & Pawson, 1992). As with many temperate species, their swimming behavior and its effect on 738 dispersal has not been studied as extensively as for coral reef fish that have well developed sensory 739 abilities (hearing, olfaction, vision) and show directional swimming early on (as reviewed in Leis, 2018; 740 Berenshtein, et al., 2021 preprint). To the best knowledge, it seems that early seabass larvae are more 741 dependent on currents than on their swimming performance and that they are able choose a certain 742 depth and therefore a certain current in the preferred direction (Jennings & Pawson, 1992). When being 743 drifted closer to the coast, sea bass larvae wait for certain cues from nursery areas, which are present 744 from June onwards (Jennings & Pawson, 1992).

745 OW accelerates development of sea bass larvae and therefore possibly alters the timing and spacing of 746 dispersal. Studies have shown species-specific responses of fish behavior to OA, OW and OAW, e.g. OW 747 increased activity level in larval kingfish but not boldness, while OA had no effect on these behavioral 748 traits (Laubenstein, et al., 2019). Yet, OA decreased swimming duration and orientation in larval 749 dolphinfish (Pimentel, et al., 2014) and reversed orientation towards settlement habitat cues in 750 barramundi (Rossi, et al., 2015). To our knowledge, larval sea bass behavior has not been measured 751 under OAW yet. Consequently due to the altered timing of larval development and in combination with 752 the possibility of altered behavior and impacted senses, reaching nursery areas might be challenging for 753 sea bass larvae under OAW, especially if (1) food is not abundant and (2) cues are weaker and/or 754 different due to greater distance and/or earlier timing. Once the larvae entered the coastal areas and 755 metamorphose, they are exposed to a more changing environment. Although this study could confirm 756 that juvenile sea bass are less vulnerable to OAW than larval sea bass, food availability and behavior will 757 determine, if the observed increased growth under OAW in F1 will occur in the wild, too. In a sister study 758 on offspring of wild caught European sea bass, OAW reduced digestive enzyme activity under restricted 759 food ratios resulting in severely reduced food conversion efficiency and reduced growth rates 760 (Cominassi, et al., 2020). Additionally, OA decreased the distance which early juvenile sea bass needed 761 to sense food or predator cues (Porteus, et al., 2018) and juvenile sea bass behavior was altered by OW 762 resulting in decreased latency of escape response and mirror responsiveness (Manciocco, et al., 2015). 763 Consequently, although faster larval (OW) and juvenile growth (OAW) as well as earlier metamorphosis 764 (OW, OAW) is generally beneficial for larvae and early juveniles, many factors may modulate this effect 765 and whether it will translate into higher larval survival, recruitment and increased growth rates in the 766 wild. Further research should determine the effects of limited food under OAW on larval and juvenile growth and behaviour. 767

As the hypoxia tolerance of European sea bass juveniles was unaffected by OA, OW and OAW, they might cope well with upcoming hypoxia events in coastal areas. However, it is important to note here that we measured PO_{2crit} only at SMR and thus may have estimated PO₂ effects too conservatively.
Recent studies suggest that this PO_{2crit} at SMR might not be the most ecologically relevant estimate (see
Seibel & Deutsch, 2020 and references therein): Long-term survival of individuals and their population
would require that the fish are able to digest food, grow and reproduce, which would require more
energy than provided by SMR. Consequently, depending on the duration and intensity of hypoxia events,
individuals might be able to survive over short terms, but other fitness related traits such as growth
might be affected in the long-term.

777 **7.** Conclusion

778 We confirmed our hypotheses that OW increases growth and metabolism in the European sea bass, and 779 that larvae as well as juveniles are resilient to OA if it occurs as a single stressor. Yet, we could also 780 confirm that OAW had detrimental effects on larval growth. Our results together with other findings on 781 larval fish and European sea bass suggest that it is possible that under OAW fewer individuals will reach 782 metamorphosis, e.g. due to limited food to support high growth rates, different dispersal to nursery 783 areas by altered developmental timing, changed behavior or affected olfactory senses. However, those 784 individuals that reach the juvenile phase might benefit from higher temperatures, due to increased 785 performance.

786 8. Acknowledgements

We thank Mark Suquet for his support during the reproduction period. We acknowledge the technicians and researchers from the Laboratory of Adaptation, and Nutrition of Fish for their support in animal welfare during the weekends. We want to specially thank Patrick Quazuguel and Nicolas LeBayon for their help in rearing the fish from larvae to reproduction and beyond. Finally, we would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for their detailed and constructive comments on the original manuscript.

792 9. Competing interests

793 The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

794 **10. Author contributions**

- 795 Conceptualization: SH, AC, MM, GC, MP, FCM
- 796 Data curation: SH
- 797 Formal analysis: SH, AC, MM, FCM
- 798 Funding acquisition: MP, GC, FCM
- 799 Investigation: SH, AC, LC, LLK
- 800 Methodology: SH, MM, GC, FCM
- 801 Project administration: MM, GC, MP, FCM

- 802 Resources: GC, MP, FCM
- 803 Software: SH, MM, GC
- 804 Supervision: MM, GC, MP, FCM
- 805 Validation: SH, MM, FCM
- 806 Visualization: SH
- 807 Writing -original draft: SH
- 808 Writing review & editing: SH, MM, AC, LC, GC, MP, FCM

809 **11. Funding**

- 810 This work was supported by the German Science Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG)
- and part of the FITNESS project (DFG grants MA 4271/3-1 to F.C.M. and PE 1157/8-1 to M. Peck,
- 812 University of Hamburg, Germany).

813 12. Data availability

- Datasets of growth, metabolic rates and water conditions during rearing are available online from PANGAEA (www.pangaea.de)
- 816 13. References
- Allan, B. J., Miller, G. M., McCormick, M. I., Domenici, P., & Munday, P. L. (2014). Parental effects
 improve escape performance of juvenile reef fish in a high-CO₂ world. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281,
 20132179.
- Aminot, A., Kerouel, R., & Coverly, S. (2009). Nutrients in seawater using segmented flow analysis practical guidelines for the analysis of seawater. In: *Practical Guidelines for the Analysis of Seawater* (ed O. Wurl), pp. 143-178. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Anderson, D. H., & Robinson, R. J. (1946). Rapid Electrometric Determination of the Alkalinity of Sea
 Water. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 18, 767-769.
- Ayala, M. D., López-Albors, O., Gil, F., Garvía-Alcázar, A., Abellán, E., Alarcón, J. A., Álvarez, M. C.,
 Ramírez-Zarzosa, G. and Moreno, F. (2001). Temperature effects on muscle growth in two and
 populations (Atlantic and Mediterranean) of sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* L. *Aquaculture* 202,
 359-370.
- 829 Ayala, M. D., López Albors, O., García Alcázar, A., Ambellán, E., Latorre, R., Vásquez, J. M., Zarzosa, G.,
- Martínez, F. and Gil, F. (2003). Effect of Two Thermal Regimes on the Muscle Growth Dynamics
 of Sea Bass Larvae, *Dicentrarchus labrax* L. *Anat. Histol Embryol.* 32, 271-275.
- Baumann, H. (2019). Experimental assessments of marine species sensitivities to ocean acidification and
 co-stressors: how far have we come? *Can. J. Zool.* 97: 399-408.

- Baumann, H., Talmage, S. C., & Gobler, C. J. (2012). Reduced early life growth and survival in a fish in
 direct response to increased carbon dioxide. *nature climate Change* 2, 38-42.
- Berenshtein, I., Faillettaz, R., & Irisson, J. (2021 preprint). Marine fish larvae consistently use external
 cues for orientation. *Research Square* doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-275276/v1
- Bignami, S., Sponaugle, S., & Cowen, R. K. (2013). Response to ocean acidification in larvae of a large
 tropical marine fish, *Rachycentron canadum*. *Global Change Biology* 19, 996-1006.
- Bignami, S., Sponaugle, S., & Cowen, R. K. (2014). Effects of ocean acidification on the larvae of a highvalue pelagic fisheries species, mahi-mahi *Coryphaena hippurus*. *Aquatic Biology* 21, 249–260.
- Birk, M. A. (2020). respirometry: Tools for Conducting and Analyzing Respirometry Experiments. Rpackage.
- Bjørndal, T., & Guillen, J. (2018). Market integration between wild and farmed fish in Mediterranean
 Countries. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture organization of the United Nations.
- Bochdansky, A. B., Grønkjær, P., Herra, T. P., & Leggett, W. C. (2005). Experimental evidence for
 selection against fish larvae with high metabolic rates in a food limited environment. *Marine Biology* 147, 1413–1417.
- Cattano, C., Claudet, J., Domenici, P., & Milazzo, M. (2017). Living in a high CO₂ world: a global meta analysis shows multiple trait-mediated fish responses to ocean acidification. *Ecological Monographs* 88, 320–335.
- Chabot, D. (2020). fishMO2: Calculate and plot the standard metabolic rate (SMR), the critical oxygen
 level (O2crit) and the specific dynamic action (SDA) and related variables in fishes and crustaceans.
 R-package.
- Chabot, D., Steffensen, J. F., & Farrell, A. P. (2016). The determination of standard metabolic rate in
 fishes. J. Fish. Biol. 88, 81–121.
- Chauton, M. S., Galloway, T. F., Kjørsvik, E., Størseth, T. R., Puvanendran, V., van der Meeren, T.,
 Karlsen, Ø., Rønnestad, I. and Hamre, K. (2015). ¹H NMR metabolic profiling of cod (*Gadus morhua*) larvae: potential effects of temperature and diet composition during early
 developmental stages. *Biology Open* 4, 1671-1678.
- Claireaux, G., & Lagardère, J.-P. (1999). Influence of temperature, oxygen and salinity on the
 metabolism of the European sea bass. *Journal of Sea Research* 42, 157-168.
- Claireaux, G., & Chabot, D. (2016). Responses by fishes to environmental hypoxia: integration through
 Fry's concept of aerobic metabolic scope. J. Fish. Biol. 88, 232–251.
- Cominassi, L., Moyano, M., Claireaux, G., Howald, S., Mark, F. C., Zambonino-Infante, J.-L., Le Bayon,
 N. and Peck, M. A. (2019). Combined effects of ocean acidification and temperature on larval and
 juvenile growth, development and swimming performance of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *PLoS ONE* 14, e0221283.

- 869 Cominassi, L., Moyano, M., Claireaux, G., Howald, S., Mark, F. C., Zambonino-Infante, J.-L., & Peck, M.
- A. (2020). Food availability modulates the combined effects of ocean acidification and warming
 on fish growth. *Scientific Reports* 10, 2338.
- Crespel, A., Zambonino-Infante, J.-L., Mazurais, D., Koumoundouros, G., Fragkoulis, S., Quazuguel, P.,
 Huelvan, C., Madec, L., Servili, A. and Claireaux, G. (2017). The development of contemporary
 European sea bass larvae (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) is not affected by projected ocean acidification
 scenarios. *Marine Biology* 164, 155.
- Crespel, A., Anttila, K., Lelièvre, P., Quazuguel, P., Le Bayon, N., Zambonino-Infante, J.-L., Chabot, D.
 and Claireaux, G. (2019). Long-term effects of ocean acidification upon energetics and oxygen
 transport in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, Linnaeus). *Marine Biology* 166, 116.
- Dahlke, F. T., Politis, S. N., Butts, I. A., Trippel, E. A., & Peck, M. A. (2016). Fathers modify thermal
 reaction norms for hatching success in Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 474, 148-155.
- Dahlke, F. T., Leo, E., Mark, F. C., Pörtner, H.-O., Bickmeyer, U., Frickenhaus, S., & Storch, D. (2017).
 Effects of ocean acidification increase embryonic sensitivity to thermal extremes in Atlantic cod,
 Gadus morhua. Global Change Biol 23, 1499-1510.
- Dahlke, F. T., Wohlrab, S., Butzin, M., & Pörtner, H.-O. (2020a). Thermal bottlenecks in the life cycle
 define climate vulnerability of fish. *Science* 369, 65–70.
- Dahlke, F., Lucassen, M., Bickmeyer, U., Wohlrab, S., Puvanendran, V., Mortensen, A., Chierici, M.
 Pörtner, H. and Storch, D. (2020b). Fish embryo vulnerability to combined acidification and
 warming coincides with a low capacity for homeostatic regulation. *J. Exp. Biol.* 223, jeb212589.
- Dalla Via, J., Villani, P., Gasteiger, E., & Niderstätter, H. (1998). Oxygen consumption in sea bass
 fingerling *Dicentrarchus labrax* exposed to acute salinity and temperature changes: metabolic
 basis for maximum stocking density estimations. *Aquaculture* 169, 303-313.
- Bickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., & Christian, J. R. (2007). Guide to Best Practices for Ocean CO₂
 Measurements. In *Pices Special Publication 3 IOCCP Report No. 8. North Pacific Marine Science* Organization.
- Bupont-Prinet, A., Chatain, B., Grima, L., Vandeputte, M., Claireaux, G., & McKenzie, D. J. (2010).
 Physiological mechanisms underlying a trade-off between growth rate and tolerance of feed
 deprivation in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). J. Exp. Biol. 213, 1143–1152.
- Duteil, M., Pope, E. C., Pérez-Escudero, A., Polavieja, G. G., Fürtbauer, I., Brown, M. R., & King, A. J.
 (2016). European sea bass show behavioural resilience to near-future ocean acidification. *Royal*Society Open Science 3, 160656.

Frommel, A. Y., Maneja, R., Lowe, D., Malzahn, A. M., Geffen, A. J., Folkvord, A., Piatkowski, U.,
 Reusch, T. B. H. and Clemmesen, C. (2011). Severe tissue damage in Atlantic cod larvae under
 increasing ocean acidification. *Nature Climate change* 2, 42-46.

Gourtay, C., Chabot, D., Audet, C., Le Delliou, H., Quazuguel, P., Claireaux, G., & Zambonino-Infante,
 J.-L. (2018). Will global warming affect the functional need for essential fatty acids in juvenile sea
 bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*)? A first overview of the consequences of lower availability of
 nutritional fatty acids on growth performance. *Marine Biology* 165, 143.

- Gräns, A., Jutfelt, F., Sandblom, E., Jönsson, E., Wiklander, K., Seth, H., Olsson, C., Dupont, S., Ortega Martinze, O., Einarsdottir, I., Björnsson, B. T., Sundell, K. and Axelsson, M. (2014). Aerobic scope
 fails to explain the detrimental effects on growth resulting from warming and elevated CO2 in
 Atlantic halibut. J. Exp. Biol. 217, 711–717.
- Heuer, R. M., & Grosell, M. (2014). Physiological impacts of elevated carbon dioxide and ocean
 acidification on fish. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 307, R1061--1084.
- Houde, E. D. (2009). Fish Larvae. In Marine Ecological Processes: A derivative of the Encyclopedia of
 Ocean Sciences. Academic Press, Burlington, Vermont (ed. J. Steele, S. Thorpe, K. Turekian), pp
 286–292. London, Burlington, San Diego: Academic Press.
- Howald, S., Cominassi, L., LeBayon, N., Claireaux, G., & Mark, F. C. (2019). Future ocean warming may
 prove beneficial for the northern population of European seabass, but ocean acidification will not.
 J. Exp. Biol. 222, jeb213017.
- 921 IPCC. (2021). Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
 922 Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (ed. F. Masson 923 Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I.
- 924 Gomis, et al.). Cambridge University Press.
- Jennings, S., & Pawson, M. G. (1992). The origin and recruitment of bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, larvae
 to nursery areas. *J. mar. biol. Ass. UK* 72, 199–212.
- Johnson, D. W., & Katavic, I. (1986). Survival and growth of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae as
 influenced by temperature, salinity, and delayed initial feeding. *Aquaculture* 52, 11-19.

Kennedy, M. and Fitzmaurice, P. (1972): The biology of the bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, in Irish waters. *J. mar. bio. Ass. U.K.* 52, 557-597.

- Woumoundouros, G., Divanach, P., Anezaki, L., & Kentouri, M. (2001). Temperature-induced
 ontogenetic plasticity in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Marine Biology* 139, 817–830.
- Lanari, D., D'Agaro, E., & Ballestrazzi, R. (2002). Growth parameters in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.): effects of live weight and water temperature. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 1, 181–
 185.

- Laubenstein, T. D., Rummer, J. L., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2019). A negative correlation
 between behavioural and physiological performance under ocean acidification and warming.
 Scientific Reports 9, 4265.
- Leis, J. M. (2018). Paradigm Lost: Ocean Acidification Will Overturn the Concept of Larval-Fish
 Biophysical Dispersal. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 5, 47.
- Leo, E., Dahlke, T., Storch, D., Pörtner, H.-O., & Mark, F. C. (2018). Impact of Ocean Acidification and
 Warming on the bioenergetics of developing eggs of Atlantic herring *Clupea harengus*.
 Conservation Physiology 6(1), coy050.
- Lewis, E., & Wallace, D. W. (1998). Program Developed for CO₂ System Calculations. Oak Ridge, USA:
 Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center Oak Ridge Tennessee.
- Llopiz, J. K., Cowen, R. K., Hauff, M. J., Ji, R., Munday, P. L., Muhling, B. A., Peck, M. A., Richardson, D.
 E., Sogard, S. and Sponaugle, S. (2014). Early life history and fisheries oceanography: new
 questions in a changing world. *Oceanography* 27, 26-41.
- Manciocco, A., Toni, M., Tedesco, A., Malavasi, S., Alleva, E., & Cioni, C. (2015). The Acclimation of
 European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) to Temperature: Behavioural and Neurochemical
 Responses. *Ethology* 121, 68–83.
- McMahon, J., Parsons, D. M., Donelson, J. M., Pether, S. M. J. and Munday, P. L. (2020a). Elevated
 temperature and CO₂ have positive effects on the growth and survival of larval Australasian
 snapper. *Marine Environmental Research* 161, 105054.
- McMahon, J., Parsons, D. M., Donelson, J. M., Pether, S. M. J. and Munday, P. L. (2020b). Elevated CO₂
 and heatwave conditions affect the aerobic and swimming performance of juvenile Australasian
 snapper. *Marine Biology* 167, 6.
- Montgomery, D. W., Simpson, S. D., Engelhard, G. H., Birchenough, S. N., & Wilson, R. W. (2019). Rising
 CO2 enhances hypoxia tolerance in a marine fish. *Scientific Reports* 9, 15152.

Montgomery, D. W., Simpson, S. D., Davison, W., Goodrich, H. R., Engelhard, G. H., & Wilson, S. N.
(2021 preprint). Temperature and O2, but not CO2, interact to affect aerobic performance of
European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *bioRxiv* doi: 10.1101/2021.03.12.435078

963 Moyano, M., Candebat, C., Ruhbaum, Y., Álvarez-Fernández, S., Claireaux, G., Zambonino-Infante, J.-

- 964 L., & Peck, M. A. (2017). Effects of warming rate, acclimation temperature and ontogeny on the
 965 critical thermal maximum of temperate marine fish larvae. *PLoS One* 12, e0179928.
- 966 Munday, P. L. (2014). Transgenerational acclimation of fishes to climate change and ocean acidification.
 967 *F1000 Prime Reports* 6, 99.
- 968 Munday, P. L., Donelson, J. M., Dixson, D. L., & Endo, G. G. (2009). Effects of ocean acidification on the
 969 earlylife history of a tropical marine fish. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 276, 3275–3283.

- Nolting, M., Ueberschär, B., & Rosenthal, H. (1999). Trypsin activity and physiological aspects in larval
 rearing of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) using live prey and compound diets. *Journal* of Applied Ichthyology 15, 138–142.
- Parazo, M. M., Garcia, L. M. B., Ayson, F. G., Fermin, A. C., Almendras, J. M. E., Reyes, D. M. Jr., Avila,
 E. M. and Toledo, J. D. (1998). *Sea bass hatchery operations* Iloilo, Philipines: SEAFDEC
 Aquaculture Department.
- 976 Peck, L. S. (2002). Ecophysiology of Antarctic marine ectotherms: limits to life. *Polar Biology* 25, 31-40.
- Peck, M.A.; Kanstinger, P.; Holste, L. and Martin, M. (2012): Thermal windows supporting survival of
 the earliest life stages of Baltic herring (*Clupea harengus*). *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 69(4),
- 978 the earliest life stages of Baltic herring (*Cluped harengus*). *ICES Journal of Mar.* 979 529–536.
- Person-Le Ruyet, P., Mahe, K., Le Bayon, N., & Le Delliou, H. (2004). Effects of temperature on growth
 and metabolism in a Mediterranean population of European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*.
 Aquaculture 237, 269-280.
- Pimentel, M., Pegado, M., Repolho, T., & Rosa, R. (2014). Impact of ocean acidification in the
 metabolism and swimming behavior of the dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) early larvae.
 Marine Biology 161, 725–729.
- 986 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & Team, R. C. (2017). nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed
 987 Effects. R-package.
- Pope, E. C., Ellis, R. P., Scolamacchia, M., Scolding, J. W. S., Keay, A., Chingombe, P., Shields, R. J.,
 Wilcox, R., Speirs, D. C., Wilson, R. W., Lewis, C. and Flynn, K. J. (2014). European sea bass
 Dicentrarchus labrax in a changing ocean. *Biogeosciences* 11, 2519-2530.
- Porteus, C. S., Hubbard, P. C., Webster, T. M., van Aerle, R., Canário, A. V., Santos, E. M., & Wilson, R.
 W. (2018). Near-future CO₂ levels impair the olfactory system of a marine fish. *Nature Climate Change* 8, 737–743.
- 994 **Pörtner, H. O.** (2006). Climate-dependent evolution of Antarctic ectotherms: An integrative analysis.
 995 Deep Sea Research Part II: *Topical Studies in Oceanography* 53, 1071-1104.
- 996 Pörtner, H. O., Peck, L., & Somero, G. (2007). Thermal limits and adaptation in marine Antarctic
 997 ectotherms: an integrative view. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*998 Sciences 362, 2233-2258.
- 999 **R Core Team.** (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R
 1000 Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rossi, T., Nagelkerken, I., Simpson, S. D., Pistevo, J. C. A., Watson, S.-A., Merillet, L., Fraser, P., Munday,
 P. L. and Connell, S. D. (2015). Ocean acidification boosts larval fish development but reduces the
 window of opportunity for successful settlement. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282, 20151954.

- Russel, N. R., Fish, J. D., & Wootton, R. J. (1996). Feeding and growth of juvenile sea bass: the effect of
 ration and temperature on growth rate and efficiency. *J. Fish. Biol.* 49, 206–220.
- Schade, F. M., Clemmesen, C., & Wegner, K. M. (2014). Within- and transgenerational effects of ocean
 acidification on life history of marine three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). *Marine Biology* 161, 1667–1676.
- Schneider, C., Rasband, W., & Eliceiri, K. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature methods* 9, 671–675.
- Schwemmer, T. G., Baumann, H., Murray, C. S., Molina, A. I., & Nye, J. A. (2020). Acidification and
 hypoxia interactively affect metabolism in embryos, but not larvae, of the coastal forage fish
 Menidia menidia. J. Exp. Biol. 223, jeb.228015.
- Seibel, B. A., & Deutsch, C. (2020). Oxygen supply capacity in animals evolves to meet maximum demand
 at the current oxygen partial pressure regardless of size or temperature. *J. Exp. Biol.* 223,
 jeb210492.
- Shama, L. N., Strobel, A., Mark, F. C., & Wegner, K. M. (2014). Transgenerational plasticity in marine
 sticklebacks: maternal effects mediate impacts of a warming ocean. *Functional Ecology* 28, 1482 1493.
- Simpson, D. P. (1967). Regulation of Renal Citrate Metabolism by Bicarbonate Ion and pH Observations
 in Tissue Slices and Mitochondria. *J Clin Invest* 46, 225–238.
- Strickland, J. D., & Parsons, T. R. (1972). A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis (ed J. C. Stevenson,
 J. Watson, J. M. Reinhart and D. G. Cook). Canada: Fisheries Research Board of Canada.
- Strobel, A., Graeve, M., Poertner, H. O., & Mark, F. C. (2013). Mitochondrial Acclimation Capacities to
 Ocean Warming and Acidification Are Limited in the Antarctic Nototheniid Fish, *Notothenia rossii* and *Lepidonotothen squamifrons*. *PLoS ONE* 8, e68865.
- Sutcliffe Jr., W. H. (1970). Relationship Between Growth Rate and Ribonucleic Acid Concentration in
 Some Invertebrates. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 27, 606-609.
- Suzer, C., Firat, K., Saka, S., & Karacaoglan, A. (2007). Effects of Early Weaning on Growth and Digestive
 Enzyme Activity in Larvae of Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *The Israeli Journal of Aquaculture* 59, 81–90.
- Turner, J., Bindschadler, R., Convey, P., di Prisco, G., Fahrbach, E., Gutt, J., Hodgson, D., Mayewski, P.
 and Summerhayes, C. (2009). *Antarctic Climate Change and the Environment*. Cambridge, UK:
- 1034 Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research.
- 1035 Villamizar, N., García-Alcazar, A., & Sánchez-Vázquez, F. J. (2009). Effect of light spectrum and
 photoperiod on the growth, development and survival of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus* 1037 *labrax*) larvae. Aquaculture 292, 80–86.

Wanders, R. J., Meijer, A. J., Groen, A. K., & Tager, J. M. (1983). Bicarbonate and the Pathway and of
Glutamate Oxidation in Isolated Rat-Liver Mitochondria. Eur. J. Biochem. 133, 245–254.
Welch, M. J., Watson, SA., Welsh, J. Q., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2014). Effects of elevated
CO ₂ on fish behaviour undiminished by transgenerational acclimation. Nature Climate Change 4,
1086–1089.
Wickham, H. (2016): ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York, USA: Springer-Verlag.
Zambonino Infante, J. L., Cahu, C. L., Peres, A., Quazuguel, P., & Le Gall, M. M. (1996). Sea bass
(Dicentrarchus labrax) larvae fed different rations: growth, pancreas enzymatic response and
development of digestive functions. <i>Aquaculture</i> 139 , 129–138.

1050 14. Figures and Tables

1051 Table 1 Water parameters during larval and early juvenile phase of F0: Larval period until (45 dph, ~900 dd), early juveniles until 1.5

1052 years. Means ± s.e.m. over all measurements per condition (triplicate tanks in larvae, single tanks in juveniles). Temperature (Temp.) 1053

and pH (NBS scale) were measured daily. pH (total scale), salinity, phosphate, silicate and total alkalinity (TA) were measured once at 1054

the beginning and once at the end of the larval phase and 9 times during juvenile phase; PCO₂was calculated with CO2sys; A–Ambient

1055 PCO₂, ∆1000 – ambient + 1000 µatm CO₂, L – Larvae, J – Juveniles, (see Crespel, et al., 2017; Crespel, et al., 2019).

Treatment	рН _{NBS} [-]	pH _{total} [-]	Temp. [°C]	Salinity [psu]	TA [μmol L ⁻¹]	<i>P</i> CO₂ [µatm]	PO4 ³⁻ [μmol L ⁻¹]	SiO₄ [µmol L⁻¹]
LA	7.96±0.01	7.89±0.01	19.2±0.3	33.8±0.2	2294±3	589±10	0.57±0.01	8.94±0.06
L Δ1000	7.59±0.00	7.54±0.03	19.2±0.3	33.8±0.2	2306±9	1521±97	0.57±0.01	8.94±0.06
JA	8.05±0.01	7.94±0.03	15.3±0.1	34.3±0.2	2294±10	516±31	0.71±0.08	8.35±0.26
J ∆1000	7.61±0.01	7.53±0.02	15.3±0.1	34.3±0.2	2280±16	1489±42	0.71±0.08	8.35±0.26

1056

Table 2 Water parameters in the 2 years before spawning of F0 (2016-2018) and during larval and juvenile phase of F1: Larval period until 17.05.2018 (48 dph, ~900 dd) and 01.06.2018 (63 dph, ~900 dd) for warm and cold life condition respectively, for the juveniles until 28.09.2018 (180 dph, ~4000 dd) and 12.02.2019 (319 dph, ~5100 dd) for warm and cold conditioned fish respectively. Means ± s.e. over all replicate tanks per condition. Temperature (Temp.), pH (free scale), salinity, oxygen and total alkalinity (TA) were measured weekly in F1 and monthly in F0; PCO₂ was calculated with CO2sys; sea water (SW) measurements were conducted in 2017 and 2018; A – Ambient PCO₂, Δ1000 – ambient + 1000 µatm CO₂, L – Larvae, J – Juveniles, C – cold life condition, W – warm life condition.

Treatment	pH _{free} [-]	Temp. [°C]	Salinity [psu]	O₂ [% airsat.]	ТА [-]	<i>P</i> CO₂ [µatm]
F0 A	7.95±0.02	14.1±0.6	33.6±0.3	92.4±1.7	2406±49	670±40
F0 Δ1000	7.59±0.02	14.1±0.6	33.6±0.3	92.4±1.9	2411±46	1616±74
F1 L C A	8.06±0.01	15.3±0.1	31.8±0.1	94.3±1.0	2360±23	504±19
F1 L C Δ1000	7.53±0.01	15.5±0.1	31.8±0.1	94.3±0.8	2330±22	1872±74
F1 L W A	7.96±0.01	20.2±0.2	31.7±0.0	84.9±3.4	2311±32	656±22
F1 L W Δ1000	7.61±0.01	20.2±0.2	31.8±0.0	88.1±1.7	2321±32	1624±59
F1 J C A	7.94±0.01	16.1±0.2	33.0±0.1	92.4±0.5	2376±15	696±19
F1 J C Δ1000	7.60±0.01	16.3±0.2	33.0±0.1	94.3±0.5	2380±14	1603±32
F1 J W A	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
F1 J W Δ1000	7.57±0.02	22.7±0.2	33.0±0.2	86.3±1.3	2323±16	1866±83
SW	8.07±0.01	15.0±0.5	34.6±0.3	101.0±0.8	2441±23	609±37

Table 3 Specific growth rates (SGR) and their respective Q₁₀ of larval and juvenile mass and body length of European sea
 bass. SGR [% day⁻¹]and Q₁₀ [-] are given for 0.05, 0.5 and 0.95 quantile of the cohort. Means ± s.e. over all replicate tanks per
 condition. A – Ambient PCO₂, Δ1000 – ambient + 1000 µatm CO₂, L – Larvae, J – Juveniles, C – cold life condition, W – warm life
 condition, n.a. – treatment was not available or not measured at this state

Treatment	n	0.05	0.5	0.99 ⁷¹	
		Quantile	Quantile	Quantile	
Larval dry mass					
SGR F1 C A	3	9.19±0.37	9.57±0.23	9.63±0.25	
SGR F1 C Δ1000	1	7.85	9.26	9.75	
SGR F1 W A	2	12.92±0.38	14.25±0.11	14.76±0.08	
SGR F1 W Δ1000	3	11.67±0.29	12.92±0.26	13.73±0.20	
Q ₁₀ A		1.84	1.96	2.12	
Q ₁₀ Δ1000		1.81	1.67	1.80	
Larval body length					
SGR F1 C A	3	2.27±0.08	2.41±0.04	2.40±0.06	
SGR F1 C ∆1000	3	2.14±0.07	2.33±0.02	2.43±0.03	
SGR F1 W A	2	3.09±0.15	3.37±0.06	3.50±0.02	
SGR F1 W Δ1000	3	2.88±0.01	3.01±0.06	3.26±0.04	
Q ₁₀ A		1.98	2.22	2.35	
Q ₁₀ Δ1000		1.81	1.95	1.98	
Juvenile wet mass					
SGR F1 C A	2	3.07±0.09	2.94±0.03	3.04±0.04	
SGR F1 C ∆1000	2	2.96±0.05	2.88±0.01	2.92±0.04	
SGR F1 W A		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
SGR F1 W Δ1000	2	5.16±0.02	4.93±0.11	4.82±0.13	
Q ₁₀ A		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Q ₁₀ Δ1000		2.72	2.63	2.41	
Juvenile body length					
SGR F1 C A	2	0.91±0.02	0.87±0.00	0.87±0.00	
SGR F1 C ∆1000	2	0.85±0.02	0.84±0.00	0.86±0.01	
SGR F1 W A		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
SGR F1 W Δ1000	2	1.55±0.01	1.49±0.02	1.46±0.06	
Q ₁₀ A		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Q ₁₀ Δ1000		2.52	2.45	2.31	

1074Table 4 F- and p-values of fixed effects from the linear mixed models on growth and metabolic rates of F0 and F1 larval and1075juvenile European sea bass.n.a. - treatment was not available or not measured at this state

	OAW Treatment		PCO ₂ Tr	O ₂ Treatment Temp		rature	PCO₂:Temperature	
	F-value	p-Value	F-value	p-Value	F-value	p-Value	F-value	p-Value
Larval growth								
Dry mass								
at mouth opening	n.a.	n.a.	1.18	0.3	4.49	0.06	2.13	0.18
at metamorphosis	n.a.	n.a.	11.69	0.01	6.37	0.05	2.73	0.16
over time	n.a.	n.a.	17.27	0.0032	2.61	0.1447	8.01	0.0221
Body length								
at mouth opening	n.a.	n.a.	0.23	0.66	0.21	0.64	1.72	0.23
at metamorphosis	10.04	0.0008	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
over time	275.09	<.0001	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Juvenile Growth								
Wet mass								
at 3000 dd	16.41	0.0222	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
over time	240.515	0.0005	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Body length								
at 3000 dd	46.93	0.0049	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
over time	1111.59	<.0001	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Metabolic rates								
RMR	n.a.	n.a.	0.01	0.94	29.62	<.0001	0.06	0.82
SMR	95.44	<.0001	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
PO2crit	3.79	0.0064	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.



1079 Figure 1 Schematic overview summarizing the rearing conditions of two generations of sea bass under different OAW scenarios.



Figure 2 Body length and mass of European sea bass at approx. 100 dd (mouth opening, A and D, 7 dph), 900 dd
 (metamorphosis, B and E) and 3000 dd (C and F) in F0 and F1 fish. Overlying dots are the individual data points of each treatment, different letters indicate significant differences [linear mixed effects (LME), P<0.05], asterisks indicate statistical trends [LME, P<0.1], A – Ambient PCO₂, Δ1000 – ambient + 1000 µatm CO₂, C – cold life condition, W – warm life condition, n.a. – treatment was not available or not measured at this state, n=40-90.



1089 Figure 3 Growth of F0 and F1 larvae (A, C) and F1 juveniles (B, D) of European sea bass with linear regression lines. Shown 1090 are individual data points of body length (A, B), larval dry mass (C) and juvenile wet mass (D). F1-C larvae grew significantly 1091 slower than F1-W (A, C) and F0 larvae (A). F1-W-Δ1000 larvae grew significantly slower than F1-W-A (A, C) and F0-A larvae (A). 1092 F1-W-Δ1000 juveniles grew significantly faster than F1-C juveniles (B, D). No differences were observed between PCO₂ 1093 treatments in F0 larvae (A), F1-C larvae (A, C) and F1-C juveniles (B, D), respectively. All data were tested with LME models, F-1094 and p-Values are summarized in Table 4. Arrows indicate the data points at metamorphosis (900dd, A, C) and at 3000 dd (B, D), 1095 data of different PCO₂ conditions of the same age are slightly moved for better visibility. Insert in D shows the temperature 1096 history of F1 larvae and juveniles. A – Ambient PCO₂, ∆1000 – ambient + 1000 µatm CO₂, C – cold life condition, W – warm life 1097 condition.



Figure 4 Routine (RMR, A) and standard metabolic rates (SMR, B) and critical oxygen concentration (PO_{2crit}, C) of F0 and F1
 larvae and juveniles. Overlying dots are the individual data points of each treatment. Metabolic rates are corrected with allometric scaling factors (0.89 and 0.98 for larvae and juveniles, respectively). Different letters indicate significant differences
 [linear mixed effects (LME), P<0.05]; data of 15 months old F0 juveniles taken from Crespel et al. (2019). A – Ambient PCO₂,
 Δ1000 – ambient + 1000 µatm CO₂, C – cold life condition, W – warm life condition, n.a. – treatment was not available or not measured at this state, n=20-35.

15. Supplement

1112Table S 2 Larval mortality in % in the different larvalrearing tanks (n=3). A – Ambient PCO2 and Δ1000 – ambient + 1000 μatm1113CO2, T – temperature, Rep 1-3 – replicate tank 1-3.

		А			Δ1000	
T [°C]	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3
15	73.5	28.8	83.1	67.9	55.0	47.2
20	96.4	76.2	25.8	59.3	52.5	53.7

1117 Table S 3 Biometrical data of larvae used for respiration measurements. Treatments: C – cold life condition (15°C), W – warm

life condition (20°C), A – ambient PCO₂, Δ1000 – ambient PCO₂ + 1000 μatm, values are means ± s.e.m. Different letters indicate significant differences between groups (LME, P<0.05).

Treatment	n	Dry weight [mg]	Bodylength [mm]
C – A	18	2.87±0.51 ^a	13.96±0.77ª
C – Δ1000	20	2.95±0.46 ^a	14.04±0.80 ^a
W – A	21	2.51±0.43 ^a	13.04±0.71 ^a
W – Δ1000	18	1.70±0.53 ^a	11.63±0.85ª

Table S 4 Biometrical data of juveniles used for respiration measurements.Treatments: C - cold life condition (up to 18°C), W- warm life condition (up to 23°C), A - ambient PCO_2 , $\Delta 1000$ - ambient PCO_2 + 1000 μ atm, values are means ± s.e.m. Different letters indicate significant differences between groups (LME, P<0.05).

Generation	Treatment	Age [m]	n	Fish mass [g]	Forklength [mm]	Condition factor [-]
FO	C – A	6	20	5.06±0.24ª	-	-
FO	C – Δ1000	6	20	5.85±0.27 ^a	-	-
FO	C – A	18	24	81.80±2.60 ^b	18.11±0.18 ^b	1.37±0.02 ^b
FO	C – Δ1000	18	24	81.40±3.22 ^b	18.25±0.22 ^b	1.33±0.03 ^b
F1	C – A	10	33	15.00±0.69 ^c	10.86±0.14 ^c	1.14±0.02 ^c
F1	C – Δ1000	10	26	13.05±0.56 ^c	10.31±0.14 ^c	1.17±0.01 ^c
F1	W – Δ1000	5	29	15.73±1.01 ^c	11.04±0.20 ^c	1.12±0.02 ^c



1130Month1131Figure S 1 Timeline of the rearing of the different treatment. Green (2013-2018): rearing of F0 fish; Orange (2018): rearing of1132F1-W fish; Blue (2018-2019): rearing of F1-C fish. Arrows indicate the time of metamorphosis from larvae to juveniles (first1133arrow per treatment) and when the fish reached the age of 3000 dd (second arrow per treatment). C- Cold life condition, W-1134Warm life condition.



Figure S 2 Body length measurements in larvae at different developmental stages. A – pre flexion (about 300 dd), B – flexion (about 460 dd), D- post flexion (about 460 dd) and (post)metamorphosis (about 900 dd). Until post flexion the segmented line tool in the software ImageJ (Schneider, et al., 2012) was used to measure the length of the larva, afterwards the length of the larvae was measured as a straight line, as it would be done with calipers. The lines of the measurement are marked in blue.