30 Technical annex: assessment criteria for biological effects measurements

Ian M. Davies, Matt Gubbins, Ketil Hylland, John Thain, Thomas Maes, Concepción Martínez-Gómez, Michelle Giltrap, Thierry Burgeot, Werner Wosniok, Thomas Lang, and Dick Vethaak

Table 30.1. Assessment criteria for biological effects measurements. Values are given for both background assessment criteria (BAC) and environmental assessment criteria (EAC), as available

BIOLOGICAL EFFECT	APPLICABLE TO:	BAC	EAC
Vtg in plasma; µg ml-1	Cod	0.23	
	Flounder	0.13	
Reproduction in eelpout; mean frequency (%)	Malformed larvae	1	
	Late dead larvae	2	
	Growth-retarded larvae	4	
	Frequency of broods with malformed larvae	5	
	Frequency of broods with late dead larvae	5	
EROD; pmol mg ⁻¹ protein	Dab (F)	178	
pmol min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein S9	Dab (M)	147	
pmol min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ microsomal protein	Dab (M/F)	680	
	Flounder (M)	24	
	Plaice (M)	9.5	
	Cod (M/F)	145*	
	Plaice (M/F)	255*	
	Four spotted megrim (M/F)	13*	
	Dragonet (M/F)	202*	
	Red mullet (M)	208	
PAHs bile metabolites;	Dab	16 ng ml ⁻¹ ; HPLC-F*	
		3.7 ng ml ⁻¹ ; HPLC-F**	
*1-OH pyrene **1-OH phenanthrene		0.15 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻ ¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nm	22 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nn
	Cod	21 ng ml ⁻¹ ; HPLC-F*	483 ng g-1 GC-MS*
		2.7 ng ml-1; HPLC-F**	528 ng g ⁻¹ GC-MS**
		1.1 pyrene-type µg ml-1; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nm	35 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nm
	Flounder	16 ng ml ⁻¹ ; HPLC-F*	
		3.7 ng ml-1; HPLC-F**	
		1.3 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nm	29 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nn
	Haddock	13 ng ml ⁻¹ ; HPLC-F*	
		0.8 ng ml ⁻¹ ; HPLC-F**	
		1.9 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nm	35 pyrene-type µg ml ⁻¹ ; synchronous scan fluorescence 341/383 nn
DR-Luc; ng TEQ kg-1 dry wt,	Sediment (extracts)	10	40

silica clean-up DNA adducts; nm adducts mol	Dab	1	6
DNA	Flounder	1	6
	Cod	1.6	6
	Haddock	3.0	6
	Sediment, <i>Corophium</i>	30	60
Bioassays; % mortality			
	Sediment, Arenicola	10	50
	Water, copepod	10	50
Bioassays; % abnormality	Water, oyster, and mussel embryo	20	50
	Water, sea urchin embryo	10	50
Bioassay; % growth	Water, sea urchin embryo	30	50
Lysosomal stability; min	Cytochemical; all species	20	10
	Neutral red retention: all species	120	50
Micronuclei; 0/00 (frequency of micronucleated cells)	Mytilus edulis	2.5*	
*Gill cells		2.5**	
Haemocytes	Mytilus galloprovincialis	3.9	
*Erythrocytes	Mytilus trossulus	4.5**	
	Flounder	0.0-0.3+	
	Dab	0.5 ⁺	
	Zoarces viviparus	0.3-0.4 ⁺	
	Cod	0.4+	
	Red mullet	0.3+	
Comet assay; % DNA tail	Mytilus edulis	10	
	Dab	5	
	Cod	5	
Stress on stress; days	Mytilus sp.	10	5
AChE activity; nmol min-1 mg-1	Mytilus edulis	301*	211*
protein		261**	191**
¹ Gills	Mytilus galloprovincialis	291+	201+
² Muscle tissue ³ Brain tissue		151++	101++
*French Atlantic waters	Flounder	235 ² *	165 ^{2*}
**Portuguese Atlantic waters	Dab	150 ² *	1052*
*French Mediterranean Waters	Red mullet	155 ²⁺	1092+
**Spanish Mediterranean Waters		75 ³⁺⁺	52 ³⁺⁺
Externally visible diseases***	Dab	FDI:	FDI:
Ep,Ly,UI		F: <i>4.4</i> , 1.8	F: <i>13.9</i> , 6.6
Ep,Ly,UI		M: <i>5.2</i> , 2.2	M: <i>32.8</i> , 17.3
Ac,Ep,Fi,Hp,Le,Ly,St,UI,Xc		F: <i>7.0</i> , 3.1	F: <i>17.8</i> , 7.8
Ac,Ep,Fi,Hp,Le,Ly,St,UI,Xc		M: <i>10.4</i> , 4.6	M: <i>29.8</i> , 13.3
Ac,Ep,Hp,Le,Ly,St,UI,Xc		F: <i>6.2</i> , 2.8	F: <i>16.0</i> , 7.4 M: <i>26 5</i> 12 4
Ac,Ep,Hp,Le,Ly,St,Ul,Xc Italics: ungraded, bold: graded		M: <i>9.5</i> , 4.3 M: males	M: <i>26.5</i> , 12.4
		F: females	
Liver histopathology-non- specific	Dab	NA	Statistically significant increase in mean FDI leve in the assessment period compared with a prior observation period or statistically significant upward trend in mean FDI level in the assessment period
Liver histopathology-			· .

contaminant-specific			FDI = 2 is, e.g. reached if the prevalence of liver tumours is 2% (e.g. one specimen out of a sample of 50 specimens is affected by a liver tumour). Levels of FDI ≥2 can be reached if more fish are affected or if combinations of other toxicopathic lesions occu
Macroscopic liver neoplasms	Dab	Mean FDI <2	Mean FDI ≥2 A value of FDI = 2 is reached if the prevalence of liver tumours (benign or malignant) is 2% (e.g. one specimen out of a sample of 50 specimens is affected by a liver tumour). If more fish are affected, the value is FDI >2
Intersex in fish; % prevalence	Dab	5	
	Flounder		
	Cod		
	Red mullet		
	Zoarces viviaprus		
Scope for growth Joules/h g-1 dry wt.	Mussel (<i>Mytilus</i> sp.; provisional, further validation required)	15	5
Hepatic metallothionein	Mussel edulis	0.61*	
µg g-1 (ww)		2.0 ^{2*}	
¹ Whole animal		0.6 ^{3*}	
² Digestive gland	Mytilus galloprovincialis	2.01*	
³ Gills		3.9 ^{2*}	
Differential pulse polarography		0.6 ^{3}	
Histopathology in mussels	VVbas: Cell type composition of digestive gland epithelium; µm³ µm- ³ (quantitative)	0.12	0.18
	MLR/MET: Digestive tubule epithelial atrophy and thinning; µm µm ⁻¹ (quantitative)	0.7	1.6
	WLYS and lysosomal enlargement; µm³ µm⁻³ (quantitative)	WLYS 0.0002	V>0.0004
	S/VLYS: µm ² µm ⁻³	4	
	Digestive tubule epithelial atrophy and thinning (semi-quantitative)	Stage ≤1	Stage 4
	Inflammation (semi- quantitative)	Stage ≤1	Stage 3
Imposex/intersex in snails	Gastropod molluscs	See OSPAR adopted criteria	See OSPAR adopted criteria

***Assessment criteria for the assessment of the fish disease index (FDI) for externally visible diseases in common dab (*Limanda limanda*).

Ac, Acanthochondria cornuta; Ep, epidermal hyperplasia/papilloma; Fi, acute/healing fin rot/erosion; Hp, hyperpigmentation; Le, *Lepeophtheirus* sp.; Ly, lymphocystis; St, *Stephanostomum baccatum*; Ul, acute/healing skin ulcerations; Xc, X-cell gill disease.

Full details of the assessment criteria and how they were derived can be found in the SGIMC 2010, SGIMC 2011, and WKIMON 2009 reports on the ICES website and in the OSPAR background documents for individual biological effects methods.

Data for biomarkers in some northern fish species have been obtained through the IRIS BioSea JIP programme (funded by Total E and P Norge and EniNorge) and the Biomarker Bridges programme (funded by Research Council of Norway) and have been used to develop EAC and BAC values for Arctic fish.