Microscale techniques to measure photosynthesis: A mini-review

Abstract

The benthic primary production of subtidal coastal sediments is grossly under sampled – especially in relation to its potential importance. This is partly due to lack of appropriate measuring techniques. Microsensor approaches represent a strong tool for describing and quantifying microscale benthic photosynthesis and related processes, however, spatial and temporal extrapolation from single point measurements in heterogeneous subtidal sediments is complicated. Complementary use of chambers and $^{14}$C incubations can be helpful but these approaches have their own caveats and limitations. New approaches such as in situ PAM measurements and in situ PAM imagine may turn out to be very helpful in extrapolating microsensor data to larger scales – and robust intercalibrations between the techniques have been presented. Planar $O_2$ optodes and $O_2$ eddy-correlation measurements represent other very promising in situ approaches that overcome the limitations of microscale techniques for accessing benthic primary production. The present manuscript gives a brief review on available microscale techniques for quantifying subtidal benthic primary production.

Introduction

The potential importance of subtidal benthic microphytes for local, regional and global carbon cycling is becoming increasingly recognized. In 2003, there existed a little more than 100 studies quantifying the benthic primary production in marine areas but most of these most have been performed in the intertidal zone (Cahoon 1999). Subtidal studies amount to less than 40 and only around 10 studies have been performed at water depth $>$ 5 m. Only 4 studies have been conducted in the Arctic area, hosting the relatively largest area of shallow-water, shelf sediment. Our current database on benthic microphytic activity in subtidal areas is very limited.

Apart from the relative few studies on benthic microphytic activity, the use of various techniques; $^{14}$C-incubations, chamber incubations and microsensor approaches hamper the assessment of benthic microphytes activity. The $^{14}$C incubation in principle measures the gross photosynthesis, however, inaccurate determination of the specific activity or slurry procedures at the best allow only a crude
estimate of the in situ activity. Chamber or core incubations resolve a net-activity but typically average the activity of microphytic patches with that of bare sediment and the faunal respiration. Further, the approach is essentially a ‘black box’ and gives a very poor insight in the interstitial activity distribution and the photosynthesis related respiration. In contrast microsensor measurements can both resolve the net and the gross activity at a very high spatial and temporal resolution and thereby provide detailed insight in the microphytic activity. However, due to significant heterogeneity in natural systems extrapolation to larger areas might be very difficult, and detailed mapping of larger heterogeneous areas is sometimes an impossible task. Below the traditional microsensor technique is described in more detail and potential area extrapolation of subtidal microsensor data by the aid of other newly developed techniques is discussed.

Measuring benthic activity by microelectrodes.

Since the introduction of the Clark type oxygen microelectrode to aquatic biology our knowledge on the microscale oxygen dynamics at benthic interphases as increased tremendously (e.g. Revsbech et al. 1980; Revsbech and Jørgensen 1986; Jørgensen and Des Marais 1990). Due to their minute size (tip diameter < 2 μm) fast response time (< 1s), and low stirring sensitivity (<1%), the oxygen concentration at a given point and at a given time can be accurately measured (Gundersen et al. 1998, Glud et al. 2000). Vertical concentration profiles can easily be obtained and provide detailed insight in the microbial activity (Figure 1).

For dark incubated sediments the community dark respiration \( J_{\text{dark,up}} \) can be calculated from the linear concentration gradient obtained within the DBL as \( J_{\text{dark,up}} = -D_0 \frac{dC}{dz} \) (Sten-Knudsen 2002), where \( D_0 \) is the temperature and salinity corrected molecular diffusion coefficient for \( O_2 \) and \( C \) is the \( O_2 \) concentration at the depth \( z \). Knowing the \( O_2 \) penetration depth \( (O_{\text{pen}}) \) it is a simple matter to calculate the average volume specific respiration rate. The net respiration at the respective depths can also be modelled from the curvature of the concentration profile within the sediment (Nielsen et al. 1990; Berg et al. 1998). This, however require a tortuosity corrected diffusion coefficient for the sediment, which typically is estimated from semi empirical relations between porosity and tortuosity (e.g. Ullman and Aller 1982; Iversen and Jørgensen 1993). However, in cases of high meiofaunal activity or high intercellular water content (e.g. microbial mats) such simplified approaches may underestimate the in situ transport coefficients and other alternative approaches must be applied (Aller and Aller 1992; Glud and Fenchel 1999; Berg et al. 2001; Wieland et al 2001).

In order to estimate the net-photosynthesis from microprofiles obtained in light the oxygen flux out of the photic zone can be calculated as: \( J_{\text{light,up}} + J_{\text{light,down}} = D_0 \frac{dC_{\text{DBL}}}{dz_{\text{DBL}}} + D_e \frac{dC_{\text{SED}}}{dz_{\text{SED}}} \), where \( D_e \) is the tortuosity corrected diffusion coefficient and \( dC/dZ \) refer to the gradients within the DBL and at the lower boundary of the photic zone, respectively (Fig 1). The lower boundary of the photic zone is typically indicated by the turning tangent of the concentration profile, but can also be defined from
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microprofiles of light or the gross photosynthetic rates (see below). In the present example the oxic zone in darkness is identical to the photic zone at the applied irradiance allowing a direct comparison between the activity in the two situations. In case the two zones cover different depth-spans this has to be corrected for (e.g. Kühl et al. 1996). Using the profiles above (and a measured porosity of 0.8) the community dark respiration amounted to 39.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) and the net photosynthesis at the applied irradiance was 252.8 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). The downwards flux accounted for 25% of the net photosynthesis. This is on the high end of typical encountered values which usually are in the range of 10-20% (e.g. Kühl et al 1996; Epping and Jørgensen 1999; Wenzhöfer et al 2000; Fenchel and Glud 2000; Glud et al. 2002; Christensen et al. 2003). The relative fraction of the produced O\(_2\) that diffuse downward primarily depends on the O\(_2\) penetration depths and the thickness of the diffusive boundary layer (DBL) (Glud et al. 1992; Kühl et al. 1996).

The gross photosynthetic rates of microphytic communities can be measured at each depth by the so-called light-dark-shift technique (Revsbech and Jørgensen 1983). The approach is based on the fact that at steady state the O\(_2\) production at a given point equals the respiration and the O\(_2\) export away from that point. Assuming
that the respiration and the O₂ export remain constant just after light eclipse it follows that the O₂ decrease in darkness equals the O₂ production rate in light (Revsbech and Jørgensen 1983). The two assumptions behind the technique have been carefully evaluated and it has been documented that the community respiration remains constant within the first 5 s after light eclipse (Glud et al. 1992). However, due to changes in the concentration gradient at the onset of darkness the export rate from a given point change within that period it is therefore essential to obtain the absolutely initial rate of decrease at each depth to resolve the correct activity distribution (Glud et al. 1992; Lassen et al. 1998). If a dark incubation longer than 1-2 s is applied the activity distribution will be smeared and high rates are underestimated while low rates are overestimated, the depth integrated activity does, however, remain constant (Glud et al. 1992). To obtain the initial O₂ decrease is usually now problem applying high quality microelectrodes and fast measuring equipment, but simple diffusion based modeling allow for correction if longer dark incubations have been used (Glud et al. 1992; Lassen et al. 1998). In Figure 1 the gross photosynthetic rate decreased almost exponentially with depth reflecting the biomass distribution and the light extinction. The depth integrated photosynthesis amounted to 338.8 mmol O₂ m⁻² d⁻¹ and by subtracting the net photosynthesis it followed that the respiration within the photic zone was 86 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in light or more than twice the community dark respiration. It has generally been found that the light induced enhancement of the aerobic ‘respiration’ of the photic zone is in the order of 35-80% (e.g. Canfield and Des Marais 1993; Glud et al 1999; Kühl et al 1996). Reasons for this is ascribed to a combination of photorespiration, stimulation of heterotrophic respiration following leakage of photosynthate to the carbon limited bacterial community and reoxidation of anaerobic metabolites (e.g. H₂S, FeS) accumulating during the dark period. To what extent the various O₂ consuming processes matter depends on the microbial community and a number of microenvironmental controls (e.g. irradiance, temperature, organic loading, salinity, pH). Simplified approaches comparing light and dark incubated communities to access the ‘dark respiration’ in light thus grossly underestimate the actual activity.

A detailed study performed at the site where the cores for Figure 1 were recovered showed that during a 12 h light period about 60% of the O₂ produced within the photic zone was consumed by respiration (or photorespiration). 12% was used for ‘repaying’ an oxygen-debt in the form of anaerobic metabolites (presumably FeS) accumulating during the previous dark period and the remaining 25% was released from the sediment (Fenchel and Glud 2000).

As demonstrated above O₂ microsensor studies can provide a very detailed insight in the benthic photosynthesis, respiration and the associated processes. However, to extrapolate findings to a larger sediment area is difficult when dealing with natural, heterogeneous sediments. To make an O₂ concentration microprofile and measuring the associated gross photosynthetic activity takes in the order of 20-40 min, consequently there is a limit to how many measurements that can be performed at given location, especially in situ where environmental controls might change during the measurement. Automated profiling systems may to some extent overcome this problem and have been successfully deployed; the approach does, however, require relatively
sophisticated measuring equipment (e.g. Gundersen and Jørgensen 1990; Wenzhöfer et al. 2000; Glud et al. 2002). Intercalibration between microsensor data and other techniques being faster and/or covering larger areas represent an approach for microsensor based investigations to be extracted to the ecosystem level.

Newly developed pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometers – especially the so-called diving PAM – represent a very fast and simple way of measuring proxies for biomass and photosynthesis for benthic communities. Several studies have documented strong correlations between chl $a$ content and the minimal fluorescence yield ($F_0$) of sediments and between the relative electron transport rate (ETR) between photosystem I and II and photosynthetic activity measured as $^{14}$C incubation approaches, chamber incubations and microprofile data (e.g. Barranguet and Kromkamp 2000; Glud et al. 2002). However, at the physiological level the relations are poorly defined and far from universal and studies have documented that the relations are confounded by migration patterns, light acclimation or environmental stress (e.g. Perkins et al. 2001). Nevertheless extrapolation of microprofile data to ecosystem level has been successfully performed by the aid of PAM-measurements (Glud et al. 2002). Other contributions in the present volume provide a more detailed insight and discussion on the potential of PAM fluorometer measurements both on microscale and for imaging (Kromkamp and Forster, this volume; Oxborough et al. 2000).

**Measuring benthic activity by optodes**

Another recent development applicable for accessing the activity of benthic communities are planar optodes (Glud et al. 1996). The technique is based on dynamic fluorescence quenching of oxygen acting on an immobilized fluorophore (Kautsky 1939). In the absence of O$_2$ the fluorophore absorbs light and emits the absorbed energy as fluorescence of a defined intensity and life time. In the presence of O$_2$, quenching decreases both the intensity and the lifetime of the fluorescent signal (Klimant et al. 1995; Hartmann et al. 1997).

The principle has been used to construct so-called microoptodes for traditional microprofile measurements and large scale sensors for hydrographic measurements (Klimant et al. 1995; Klimant et al. 1997; Tengberg et al. 2003). For planar optodes the oxygen quenchable fluorophore is immobilized in plasticized PVC (or another immobilization agent like sol-gels) at the surface of a transparent support foil. For most planar optodes applied so far a ruthenium based complex has been applied, but other alternatives exists (Klimant et al. 1997; Precht et al. 2004, Oguri et al. 2006). In order to avoid scattering effects and saturation the planar optode is typically covered by an optical insulation provided by a 20 $\mu$m layer of black silicone. As the sensing layer has a similar thickness and the support foil is about 175 $\mu$m thick, the total thickness of planar optodes are around 200 $\mu$m. For laboratory work the sensors can be fixed at the inside of a flume channel and excitation light can be provided from the outside (Figure 2). Irrespective of using fluorescent intensity or fluorescent lifetime as the O$_2$ sensitive parameter the signal is collected by a 12 bit digital camera after passing an emission filter (Glud et al. 1996; Holst et al. 1998).
In contrast to the Clark type microelectrodes the relationship between the \( \text{O}_2 \) concentration and the sensing signal is nonlinear, but can be expressed by a modified Stern-Volmer equation.

\[
\frac{1}{I_0} = \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} = \alpha + \frac{1}{(1 = K_{SV} C)} (1 - \alpha)
\]

where \( I_0 \) and \( I \) are the fluorescent intensities at anoxia and in the presence of \( \text{O}_2 \) at a concentration \( C \), respectively, and \( \tau \) and \( \tau_0 \) are the equivalent fluorescent lifetimes. The \( \alpha \) value represent the fraction of nonquenchable signal, while \( K_{SV} \) is the quenching coefficient (Klimant et al. 1995; Holst et al. 1998). In case of a well defined \( \alpha \) (typically around 0.15) a two point calibration routine following the outline in Figure 3 can be applied, otherwise a three-point calibration routine is required. Calibrations can either be performed pixel by pixel or by applying universal constants for the image. Lifetime based sensing allow the use of transparent optodes facilitating alignment between \( \text{O}_2 \) distribution and structures in the sample (Holst and Grünewald 2001, Frederiksen and Glud 2006). A more detailed discussion on various approaches for quantifying fluorescent lifetime is given elsewhere (Holst et al. 1998; Glud et al. 2000).

The spatial resolution of obtained \( \text{O}_2 \) images depends on the optics in front of the camera, but a lower limit is given by the thickness of the layers of optical insulation layer and sensing chemistry allowing a horizontal diffusion within the planar sensor.
This might be further confounded by light scattering. In our standard configuration images cover an area of 5 x 7 cm and as the camera chip has 640 x 480 pixels the spatial resolution is in the order of 100 x 100 μm. The temporal resolution is limited by the sensor response time but is usually in the order of 5-20 seconds. A single O2 image by our system in principle contains 640 vertical microprofiles and images obtained in laminated photosynthetic active microbial mats have documented a relatively homogenous horizontal structure (Figure 4). Despite of this, sites only separated by a few mm still express different light responses (Figure 4). In principle all the simple calculations performed on traditionally obtained microprofiles (Figure 1) can be performed on such O2 images. Thereby very detailed insights in the benthic O2 dynamics i.e. net photosynthesis, respiration, distribution of hotspots and autotrophic-heterotrophic coupling can be provided (Glud et al. 1999). Applying the light-dark shift

Figure 3. Knowing the α-value, images of the two constants required by the modified Stern-Volmer equation (I₀ or τ₀) and Ksv can be derived by a two-point calibration and measuring data can be transformed into O₂ images. The presented image was obtained at a diatom covered sediment and the horizontal heterogeneity is apparent, scale bars and sediment surface is included. For further discussion on the image please refer to Fenchel and Glud 2000.
Figure 4. Two O₂ images obtained in a cyanobacteria dominated mat in darkness and at a down welling irradiance of 578 μE m⁻² s⁻¹. Profiles extracted along the two lines indicated in the upper panel are shown in the lowest panel as they appear when the mat was exposed to 6 different light levels. (Redrawn from Glud et al. 1999)
technique also allow images of the gross photosynthetic activity to be resolved, but
due to the relatively long response time of planar optodes the activity images are
smeared – see above (Glud et al. 1999).

In contrast, as also outlined in Figure 3, measurements in subtidal diatom covered
sediments have resolved a very high degree of horizontal heterogeneity (Figure 5). Hotspots of activity can be identified and by obtaining frequent images the temporal
dynamic of the sediment-water interface can be documented (Wenzhöfer and Glud
2004). However, in extreme cases as shown in Figure 5 it becomes difficult to per-
form simple vertical calculations as the exchange rather has to be approached as radial
diffusion between hotspots and the surroundings. To complicate matters even further
this sediment was affected by intensive irrigation by *hediste diversicolor*. Software
handling entire images and extracting exchange rates (or net activities) in such extreme
cases still have to be developed. Simple 1D diffusion based calculations can of course
still be performed on selected spots of the image. Another complication is that planar
optodes operates along a wall. Hydrodynamically seen that can be a problem and
extracting information on e.g. DBL dynamics should be done with caution.

Figure 5. In situ images of the oxygen distribution measured in a diatom covered sediment inhabited by
*hediste diversicolor*. The extensive horizontal variation is apparent along actively ventilated infauna
burrows. (Data from Wenzhöfer and Glud 2004)
Despite the problems, which in many cases can be dealt with, planar O₂ optodes offers a possibility to obtain a more realistic representation of O₂ distribution in benthic microphytic communities. Images at a given location can be obtained within minutes and large areas can be scanned relatively fast. Thereby planar optodes complement traditional microelectrodes approaches and combined measurements can provide a very detailed insight in the benthic microphytic activity. Planar optodes can be adopted to inverted periscopes for on line in situ measurements (Glud et al. 2001). A new in situ approach based on eddy-correlation-techniques may also provide a very power full future tool to quantify benthic O₂ exchange rates (i.e. net photosynthesis) over large sediment areas non-invasively (Berg et al. 2003).
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